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CONSERVATION.

In the work of conservation the great centres of Mughal architecture—Delhi, Agra and Lahore—figure again most prominently. It was mentioned in last year’s Report that in the Delhi Fort a commencement had been made to fence off the archaeological area from the grounds occupied by modern barracks. The iron railing erected for this purpose has now been completed, except for short lengths at three different points where a servants’ godown and two wash-houses are still to be removed by the Military Works Department. It is hoped that they will not for long obstruct the completion of the work. There will be three entrances to the enclosure: one, the main entrance, in the archway of the Naqqár Khāna; another to the south of the Diwān-i-āmm, to be used as a service gate; and the third near the Shāh Burj leading to the Motti Mahāl Battery, for the use of the garrison.¹

The archaeological area thus enclosed comprises the ancient garden Hayāt Bakhsh or “Life-giver,” the resuscitation of which will add in no small degree to the charm of Shāh-jahān’s palace. By the end of last year the minor causeways, regularly intersecting the four quarters of the garden, had been completed. Their miniature water channels have been plastered within and without, where necessary, the sandstone curbs of the pathways completed and the intervening space spread with baqri. The whole of the garden level has now been lowered to the required depth below the causeways and the surface prepared for the planting of grass. For some years the water supply has been a question of great difficulty. After many projects had been rejected, a scheme involving the use of electricity as the motive power for the pumps has now been adopted. “At the end of the year,” the late Mr. Tucker wrote, “the work was well in hand, practically the whole of the year’s allotment having been expended in the purchase and collection of pipes, in securing tanks and in excavating for their foundations. Every effort is being made so that an efficient water supply may be ready by the end of next rains, and it is hoped that at last the Superintendent of gardens will be able to plant the grass, trees and shrubs which are to be the completing note in the harmonious chord of waterways, terraces and pavilions.”

One of these garden-pavilions belonging to the Shāh-Burj or Royal Tower was mentioned in last year's Report as having been severely damaged in the earthquake of the 4th April 1905 and successfully repaired in 1908. A special article on the Shāh-Burj and the adjoining marble pavilion is contributed to the present Report by Mr. Gordon Sanderson.

The most important work in the Agra Fort was the conservation of Akbar's palace. This work, especially as regards the shattered west front, proved one of exceptional difficulty and required Mr. Tucker's constant attention and advice. It was strictly limited to conservation, except where structural safety required an extension of this policy. The south-west corner which for the most part consisted of a facing of modern brickwork supporting a broken wall, had to be rebuilt. "Throughout the course of the work," Mr. Tucker wrote, "where stone has been removed owing to its insecurity, it has been replaced by stone; elsewhere small country bricks have been employed with wide recessed joints. This has been found to give a very good effect after a few years. In some places it has also been necessary to use stone beams for door-lintels, etc."

"The most noticeable effect of the repairs to the east side will be seen from outside the Fort. Thence it will be observed that many windows and doors, which formerly opened on to a spacious balcony running from end to end of the façade have been re-opened, while others, cut through the wall to satisfy modern requirements, have been closed up again. The somewhat unattractive façade, in short, has resumed something of its pristine state, but this is mainly due to the conservation of its central feature. This consisted of a double-storied open arcade, three bays in width, with a balcony to each floor and a deep chajja overhead. In common with the rest of the palace, the construction was of the Hindu lintel and bracket type and of rich design. Before conservation little of this was to be seen, the spaces between the columns having been filled with rubble and blackened plaster. On the removal of this from the ground floor the belvedere was found to be in very fair condition and this encouraged the hope that the upper floor would be tolerably perfect. For structural reasons it was advisable to demolish the wall at this point, and if anything but a reconstruction had been decided upon, all trace of the original feature would have been lost. Unfortunately, nearly every column which remained was so unsound that it had to be replaced by a new one, while most of the brackets had broken off short and were without bearing."

Other works of conservation in the Agra Fort related to the fountains in the Angūrī Bagh, the Amar Singh Gate, the Chītārī Gate and the Mōtī Masjid. For further particulars I must refer the reader to Mr. Tucker's Progress Report for the year under review.

In the Lahore Fort repairs were carried out on the Diwān-i-‘āmm, the Chhōṭī Khwābāgāh and the Shish Mahāl. The Diwān-i-‘āmm or Public Audience Hall, which occupies the centre of the Fort, is of particular interest as one of the first buildings of Shāh-jahān's reign. Its historical associations are many, but from an architectural point of view it is disappointing, the entire superstructure being modern. As the present volume contains a special article on this building and on the measures adopted for its conservation, it will be unnecessary here to enter into any further detail.
The pavement of the Chhōṭī Khwāhgāh or Lesser Sleeping-chamber of Shāh-jahān was repaired and the little formal garden in front of that edifice laid out in the original style. Some of the old unsightly garden trees were removed and young cypresses planted along the pathways. The brick paths set in herring-bone pattern with borders of sany-i-Miśa have also been repaired.

As regards the Shīsh Mahāll, or Palace of Mirrors, the problem of supporting the thin ceiling of glass and lacquer which had become detached from the beams of the roof proved extremely difficult of solution. For the last five years the interior of the hall was disfigured by a row of unsightly scaffold poles and battens of timber which upheld the rotten beams of the ceiling. It is now proposed to attach the thin ceiling to the supported cross beams by means of buttons at the end of steel wires. This arrangement promises to afford a most satisfactory solution of the problem, and at the close of the year the work was put in hand.

It is gratifying to record that in November 1906 a committee of military experts met at Lahore to consider the feasibility of resigning certain buildings in the Fort for conservation as ancient monuments, Mr. R. Froude Tucker, the Archaeological Surveyor, Northern Circle, being present. It was decided that the early-Mughal buildings forming the so-called Quadrangle of Jahāngīr should be made over to the Archaeological Department as soon as arrangements could be made for the removal of the Medical Store Depot to Karachi and of the mobilisation reserve stores to Cantonnments.

Besides the Mughal palaces of Delhi and Lahore, there were in the Panjāb a number of other Moslem monuments for which special measures of conservation were required. I mention particularly two Pathān mosques in the neighbourhood of Delhi—the Khiirkī Masjid (c. 1387 A.D.) of the reign of Firōz Shāh and the Mōsh-ki-Masjid (A.D. 1488) at Mubarakpur. The well-known Tomb of Safdar Jang near Delhi, one of the last efforts of Mughal architecture, also required attention. Great improvements have been effected to the Shālimār Bagh near Lahore, "the most pleasing of which is the opening up of the vistas down the long side channels which once ran parallel to the central waterway. The plots in the lower garden have been cleared of undergrowth and useless trees, while a few new ones have been introduced. More flowers have been planted round the central tank and it has consequently gained much in brightness of colours."

"A noticeable feature of the year under review," Mr. Tucker wrote, "is the number of lamps which have been provided to monuments or are on order. Last year, as noted in my report, a silver and bronze lamp was suspended over the tomb of Mumfāz Māhall in the Tāj; another lamp has recently arrived and this will be hung in the Tāj gateway. A short time ago a brass hanging lamp was presented by the Local Government to the Bādshāhī Masjid at Lahore; a somewhat similar one is now on order for the mosque of Wazīr Khān in the same city, and also a standard lamp for its courtyard. The neighbouring tomb of the Emperor Jahāngīr is soon to be provided with much needed light, from a bronzed hanging lamp; while hand lamps for illuminating the inlaid work of the sarcophagus will probably be shortly added. Similarly hand lamps for Salīm Chishti's tomb at Fatehpūr-Sīkri will be designed, and reference has already been made to the lamp newly hung in the prayer chamber
of the mosque. The majority of these lamps are sadly needed and replace dirty oil lamps or ordinary hurricane lamps." With the exception of the first two, all these lamps were made from designs supplied by Mr. Tucker.

As regards Buddhist and Hindu monuments in the Northern Circle, adequate measures were taken to preserve the ruined monasteries and other buildings of the Jethavana excavated by Mr. Marshall on the site of Saheth in the Gonda district during the previous year. Similarly at Sarnath near Benares the so-called Jagat Singh's Stūpa and the surrounding minor stūpas were preserved. It should also be recorded that a sum of £1,106 was spent on conserving the ancient brick temple at Bhitaragawa, Cawnpore district, a full account of which was given in last year's Annual. Smaller sums were devoted to the upkeep of the temples of Gōvind Deo, Jagat Kishor and Radha Ballabh at Brindaban near Mathura (ulgop Muttra) which are well-known as examples of the mixed Hindu-Mughal style of about 1600 A.D.

In the Western Circle the work of conservation has been very considerable, including not less than sixty-six items in the Bombay Presidency alone. The list published by Mr. Cousens in his Progress Report¹ comprises Buddhist, Brahmanical, Moslem and Christian monuments. Extensive repairs were carried out on the well-known Brahmanical cave temples of Elephanta. The Buddhist caves at Nasik also received due attention, and likewise the Brahmanical temples at Gadag. Among Moslem monuments those at Bijapur and Ahmadabad rank prominently.

In the former place I must mention, first of all, the Ibrahim Rauza or Tomb of Ibrahim II `Adil Shah who died in A.D. 1626. The ceiling of the mezzanine gallery is made of flat stone slabs which are rotated, tongued and grooved to keep them in position. It was found that a movement was taking place which might endanger the ceiling. It was, therefore, proposed to remove part of the stone slabs and to replace them by reinforced concrete. Fortunately, a large portion of the original ceiling could be preserved.

The Jal Mandir situated immediately in front of the Sat Manjil is an elegant little pavilion, the original purpose of which is unknown. It was probably a water pavilion, standing in the middle of a reservoir which has since been filled up. The repairs carried out consisted in renewing the missing slabs belonging to the upper cornice. A few cross brackets, struts and a finial were also replaced. In the lower cornice, also, some of the missing brackets had to be renewed. The parapet was replastered. The square tank in which the pavilion stands has also been repaired and surrounded with an ornamental iron chain railing for future protection.

The Asar Mahall or Relic Shrine of Bijapur is a two-storied structure of no architectural beauty, but on account of its religious associations and curious wall-paintings, its preservation seemed desirable. The ceilings of teak-wood were found to be partly decayed, especially at the ends of the beams where they were embedded in the masonry. Masonry buttresses have been built to support the beams of the ground floor, whilst in the upper storey the ceiling will be propped by means of teak-wood

posts placed under the ends of each beam. The old terracing on the roof and the ceiling planks have been removed and in their place a brick-on-edge roof with teak joists has been substituted.

Among the buildings in the Āḥmadābād district, the first to claim mention is the mosque of Inādū-l-Mulk or Malik Īsānū-l-Mulk at Īsānīpūr, on which some measures of conservation were carried out. The mosque and tomb of Sayyid ʿUsmān at ʿUsmānīpur were also repaired. At Sarkhej, the south wall of the Harem building had to be dismantled and rebuilt, the ornamental bay window being restored to its original position. The Palace at the same place also required attention, the greater portion of the rear wall of the west wing having collapsed. The stone work in front of the roof with eaves, cornice and parapet had almost fallen away. The concrete of the terraced roof had become exposed and its edges had been broken away by exposure.

The Jāmiʿ Masjid at Broach in the district of the same name was also repaired. It is a very large structure built of materials taken from older Brahmanical and Jain temples. For a long time it had been occupied by Musalmān fāqirs and was consequently in a neglected and dirty condition, the ceilings having become blackened by the smoke of their fire-places. The mosque has now been cleaned and several repairs have been carried out so as to insure its structural stability.

The Jāmiʿ Masjid at Champaran in the Panch Mahals district was likewise repaired. The repairs consisted in the mending of the shattered top of the southern minār, the removal of unsightly sheet zinc water shoots from the roof and the substitution in their place of cut stone waterspouts. The plaster on the domes was renewed.

Among Brahmanical buildings the temple of the goddess Sarasvati at Gadag in the Dharwar district deserves special mention. The ceiling of the mahdāpa was open in the centre owing to some of the slabs having fallen. This gap has been filled. The overhanging cornice slabs were restored all round the hall outside, wherever they were missing. All displaced carved slabs above the cornice, especially on the east side of the mahdāpa, were reset, and where these stones were missing, well-dressed but plain cut stones were inserted.

It is gratifying to note that in the Hyderabad State special attention is being paid to the preservation of ancient buildings. The Nizām's Government has shown its enlightened interest in the matter by extending its care both to Moslem and pre-Moslem monuments. At the instance of Lord Minto the preservation of the Tower of Victory at Daulatabād has been undertaken at an estimated cost of ₹13,919. At Aurangābād that of the so-called Bibi kā Maqbarah (i.e., the Mausoleum of Dīras Bānū Bēgam, the wife of Aurangzēb) has likewise been taken in hand, the cost being estimated at ₹5,000. A similar amount is proposed to be spent on the Mosque of Gulburgah. For repairs to the well-known cave temples of Ellörn a sum of ₹6,329 was sanctioned and the work is reported to be in progress. For the not less famous caves at Ajanta an estimate of ₹19,037 has been prepared. Whereas the Nizām's Government is thus displaying a singular activity and liberality in the preservation of national monuments, the States of Central India and Rajputana have shown a remarkable apathy and indifference in this matter. No works of any importance were carried out with the only exception of that of preserving the temples at Khajuraho.
in the Chattarpur State of Bundelkhand which is making constant progress under the able superintendence of Mr. R. A. Manly. No less than ten temples were repaired at a cost of Rs 19,050, supplied by the Government of India.

In the Southern Circle attention centres in the important group of partly ruined buildings at Hampi, the ancient Vijayanagar, which, though not among the oldest monuments of the Deccan, possess such unique interest for the history of Southern India during the 14th and 15th centuries. The buildings repaired were the Queen's Bath with the adjoining aqueduct, the so-called Throne, the underground temple (built over a spring), one of the small Jain temples above Hampi village and the Achyutarāya-swāmi Temple. Altogether a sum of nearly Rs 8,000 was spent on the Vijayanagar buildings. The site is one requiring constant and careful attention. Other important works carried out in the Southern Circle were the preservation of the rock fort at Gooty in the Anantapur district and repairs to the Gandikota temple in the Cuddapah district. Measures were also taken to preserve the ancient fort wall of Georgetown at Madras. For a full account of these and other archaeological works I may refer to Mr. Rea's Progress Report for the year under review.

In the Eastern Circle the works of conservation carried out in 1909-10 number over a hundred, the great majority relating to buildings in Western Bengal. The clearing of sand from the compound of the Black Pagoda at Konīrak in Orissa was continued as well as the planting of casuarina trees to prevent the sand from drifting. The greater part of this important work has now been accomplished, but much still remains to be done.

In a previous Annual Report the late Dr. Bloch gave a description of the curious structure, named Maṇiṇīyār Math, which was discovered by him at Rājgir, the ancient Rajgrīha. The main feature of interest is its decoration of stucco figures of Brahmanical deities and Nāgas apparently belonging to the Gupta epoch.1 It has now been found necessary to protect this ruined shrine by means of a wrought iron railing and iron roof which will serve the purely utilitarian purpose of sheltering it against the weather.

In 1907-08 Pandit Daya Ram Sahni carried out some excavations round the Aśoka pillars of Rāmpūrā in the Champārān district and discovered two capitals, one crowned with a lion sejant and the other with a bull.2 These two valuable sculptures, which have evidently belonged to the shafts, have now been removed to Calcutta, where they will form an important addition to the Mauryan section of the Indian Museum. An endeavour to remove the shafts to a higher position unfortunately failed owing to their excessive weight.

Among Muhammadan monuments I may mention the mausoleum of Shāh Daulat or Makhūr Daulat at Mauër in the Pabna district. Shāh Daulat died at this place in A.D. 1608, and the construction of his mausoleum was completed in A.D. 1616. "The building," according to Mr. Longhurst's description, "stands on a lofty platform which originally had a high minār or tower at each corner of the high retaining walls

1 A. S. R. for 1905-06, pp. 102 ff; plates XXXIX and XLI. Dr. Bloch's identification of one of the stucco figures with the Asura king Bāga seems doubtful. I take it to represent Vishnu.
2 Cf. A. S. R. for 1907-08, pp. 181 ff; plates XLVI and XLVII. The ball-shaped capital of the lion pillar had been previously noticed by Mr. Carleyle. A. S. R., Vol. XXII, pp. 51 ff; plate VII.
of the compound. The mausoleum enshrining the tomb occupies the centre of the compound. It is a well built sandstone structure, of good proportion, and profusely decorated with carving of considerable beauty and finish. It stands on a raised platform with an ornamental verandah running all round; the ceiling of this verandah is particularly beautiful owing to its profuse ornamentation. The interior is lit by means of well-carved perforated stone windows which produce a delightfully cool and peaceful atmosphere within." In the year under review the Dargâh itself has been repaired, as well as the tank to the south, at a cost of Rs. 6,852.

In Lower Assam the temple of Hayagriva at Hajo, Kâmrûp district, was repaired and its vestibule rebuilt at a cost of Rs. 4,508. It is stated that the work was not yet completed by the end of the year, owing to the materials having not arrived in time.

In the year 1907-08 certain proposals were made by Mr. Longhurst for the preservation of the Mosque at Kusumhâna in the Râjshâhî district of Eastern Bengal. The building is described as a well-built mosque, all the lower part of which up to the springing of the arches is constructed of stone. The arches and the six domes are built of brick, but of the latter four have collapsed. The three prayer-niches or mihrâbs in the western wall are beautifully carved and in perfect condition. The repairs to the mosque were completed in March 1910.

The most important work in the Central Provinces was that relating to the Jâmi' Masjid at Bûrbhânpur. "The Jâmi' Masjid," General Cunningham wrote, "is an unusually plain building, its exterior ornament being confined to a fluted battlement which runs all round the walls, while the only ornament of the interior is lavished on the pilasters of the niches in the back wall. These are all highly carved; and their contrast with the plain square pillars and the bare walls is so great, that I strongly suspect the intended ornamentation was suddenly stopped by Akbar's conquest of Khândes, which took place only eleven years after the date of the inscription. The building is generally in very good condition. Some repairs are said to have been made by Akbar, as well as by Aurangzeb; but if any work was done by Akbar, it must have been the completion, and not the repair of the building. It is considerably larger than the Bibi Masjid [at Bûrbhânpur], being 148 feet long by 49 feet broad inside, and 157 feet by 54 feet outside. The roof is vaulted throughout, with pendentives at all the points of intersection of the vaults. There is no lofty arch, and no great colour to attract the eye, but the long line of battlement pierced by fifteen pointed arches and flanked by two lofty minârs, 120 feet in height, has certainly a very pleasing as well as a very striking effect. The front view recalls the Moti Masjid at Agra, and the pleasing effect of both is no doubt due to the same cause, the harmonious symmetry of their proportions."

It is a curious circumstance that the founding of this mosque by the second 'Abd Shâh, the son of Mubârak Shâh, in A.D. 1500, is recorded both in Arabic and in Sanskrit on two stone tablets fixed into the back-wall. "This is perhaps the only case known to us," Dr. Bloch wrote, "where Sanskrit was used to record the erection

---

1 Annual Report of the Archaeological Survey, Eastern Circle, for 1907-08, pp. 31 ff.
2 A. S. R., Vol. XIX, pp. 117 ff., plate XVIII.
3 Annual Report, Eastern Circle, for 1907-08, pp. 21 ff.
of a Muhammadan place of worship, and it is difficult to understand 'Ādil Shāh's motive in doing so. It was, however, very likely more than one of those occasional whims, which oriental potentates always have been extremely fond of. For we should remember that the inscription dates from a time when the Imperial Court at Agra under Akbar set an example of overlooking the differences that existed between Hindu temples and Muhammadan mosques, both of which were regarded as suitable places for the worship of the Divine Being."

In January 1907, Mr. Marshall made certain proposals mainly for the improvement of the surroundings of the Jāmi' Masjid, the building itself being in a satisfactory state of conservation. These measures have now been carried out at a cost of Rs 2,455. The compound has been cleared and the vegetation removed. The hujras in the south-east corner of the courtyard have been made safe and two new hammām-khānas have been constructed to replace the two hideous looking bathrooms which disfigured the courtyard.

Minor measures were taken for the preservation of several other historical monuments at Burhānpur, namely, the Bibi Masjid and the Tombs of Shāh Nawāz Khān, Shāh Shujā' and 'Ādil Khān, at a total outlay of Rs 2,076. All these works were completed by the end of the financial year.

At the same time Mr. Marshall also reported on the two ruined temples at the village of Janjir, 20 miles east of Bilāspur. The repairs recommended by him have now been carried out. They consisted mainly in filling gaps in the masonry with cement, removing vegetation and similar necessary measures of preservation. The larger shrine was also provided with a door of teak wood so as to prevent its being occupied by vagabonds.

Another temple which was repaired is that of Mahādeva, the only surviving one of a group of temples, found at the village of Pāli, about 12 miles north-east of Ratānpur, in the Bilāspur district. This edifice was visited and described by Mr. Longhurst in December 1907, who assigns it to the beginning of the eleventh century. The repairs recommended by him had been nearly completed by the end of the year under review.

Mr. Longhurst also reported on a small ruined temple at the village of Deo-Baloda about 12 miles west of Raipur, Drug district. The work of repairs was completed in December 1909. Parts of the walls which had fallen have been rebuilt, and the broken sill stones either supported or replaced. Further repairs were carried out and the site was cleared. "This little temple appears now to be in very good condition and will not need any further constructional repairs for some years. The walls of the tower or sanctum are sound and strong and a proper watertight terraced roof has been provided in place of the fallen spire. This roof stands about one foot higher than the flat roof of the maulāpa. Plain square columns have been inserted in three places under stone beams, which were badly cracked and needed support. They do not add to the beauty of the maulāpa, but serve their purpose well and do not pretend to be more than they are—supports."

---

1 Annual Report, Eastern Circle, for 1907-8, pp. 40 ff.
2 Ibid., pp. 37 ff.
3 Ibid., p. 35.
The two principal archaeological works in Burma related to the Nanpaya at Pagán and the spire of the Mandalay Palace.1

As museums are such an all-important agency in the preservation of movable antiquities, it will not be out of place here to mention the main facts of the year with regard to those institutions. It should, however, be understood that the antiquarian collections existing in India are not, as a rule, placed under the direct control of the Archaeological Department, which, therefore, cannot be held responsible for any defects in their arrangement or custody. In most cases the Superintendents act in an honorary capacity as advisers to the Curators of the provincial museums, and as such they are in a position indirectly to exercise their influence.

The most important fact to be noted is the passing of the Indian Museum Act on the 18th March 1910, in section 2 (1)a of which it was laid down that the Director-General of Archaeology was to be ex-officio one of the Trustees of the Museum. The need of a closer connection between the head of the Archaeological Department and the most important collection of antiquities in India had long been felt. But at present it is impossible to say how far the measure now adopted will contribute to place the archaeological section of the Indian Museum on an equal footing with the other sections. This will entirely depend on the special staff and funds placed at the disposal of the Director-General. As a preliminary measure the Government have sanctioned the appointment of an Indian Assistant Superintendent, on the understanding that the Director-General should be at liberty to depute either him or any other member of his staff for work in the Museum.

In this connection I wish to record that Mr. Marshall arranged for the purchase of the important collection of gems brought together by the late Colonel G. G. Pearse. It was acquired by the Government of India at a cost of Rs.3,000 and has been deposited in the Calcutta Museum. Besides, a large number of ancient coins were added to the coin cabinet, including Mughal coins which were bought for Rs.1,098 from the Baháwalpur State.

In the United Provinces the year has been one of singular progress with regard to museum administration. It has been decided by the Local Government that the Provincial Museum, Lucknow, which was hitherto housed in two of the Oudh palace buildings ill-suited for the purposes of a museum, will be transferred to a more spacious and suitable edifice in the Qaisar Bagh till recently occupied by the Canning College and purchased by the Local Government for the sum of Rs.2,10,000. The Archaeological Department has been consulted on the manner in which the different sections could be best distributed over this building. The new museum at Sarnath designed by Mr. James Ransome, late Consulting Architect to the Government of India, is now completed except for some decorative details. As soon as the inner fittings are finished, it will be possible to remove the sculptures and inscriptions into the new building. Some repairs have been carried out to the Municipal Museum at Muttra (Mathura), so as to provide more space and light. The cost of these repairs had been estimated at Rs.4,000, to which the Government of India contributed a grant of Rs.2,000 on the understanding that an equal sum should be found locally. But after the sum of Rs.2,000 granted by the Government of India had been spent, the

---

Municipal Board of Muttra declared themselves unable to provide the remainder, so that a considerable part of the proposed work had to be abandoned. The Mathurā Museum collection was again increased with a number of sculptures and inscriptions acquired locally by Pandit Radha Krishna. These acquisitions will be described in a special article included in the present volume.

The Delhi Museum of Archaeology, under the able superintendence of Mr. Froude Tucker, was enriched with some robes and jewellery once in the possession of Zīnat Maḥal Begam, the favourite wife of Bahādur Shāh, the last king of Delhi. Among other objects acquired by Mr. Marshall I may mention some ancient Mughal paintings and an autograph of General Nicholson. The collection of coins relating to the different dynasties which once ruled at Delhi was completed with the assistance of Mr. R. B. Whitehead, I.C.S., who is preparing a catalogue of the collection.

J. Ph. Vogel.
ANCIENT BRICK TEMPLES IN THE CENTRAL PROVINCES.

At Śirpur, a little village situated on the right bank of the Mahānadi river, about 37 miles east by north of Rāipūr town in the Rāipūr district of the Central Provinces, there are the remains of an ancient city of considerable size, now mostly hidden by dense forest. These remains consist chiefly of mounds of decayed brick, often containing stone pillars and sculptures of a very early period. The only building now standing that may be said to form anything like a complete structure, retaining in their original places the stone sculptures, pillars and carved brick surfaces of former days, is the brick temple of Lakshminā. The shrine is still standing with the greater part of its brick tower, the upper portion being much decayed, and covered with rank vegetation when I visited Śirpur in December 1907, with a view to submitting a report to Government for its future preservation.

The temple is built entirely of large-sized red bricks, with the exception of the stone door-frame of the sanctum entrance and the pillars and pilasters which once supported the flat roof of the mandapa or anteroom. It stands on a large, well-built stone platform with cell foundations (Plate I). This platform is 77 feet long by 39 feet broad and 7 feet in height, and is still in a good state of preservation. Two small flights of steps originally led up to the platform, one on either side of the temple entrance at the extreme ends of the front or east side of the platform. The sanctum, which is built entirely of brick, with the exception of the door-frame, is 22 1/2 feet square outside, containing a room 9 feet 9 inches square inside, for the reception of the idol and faces the east. The stone door-frame is richly sculptured and of unusual size (Plate II). Carved on the lintel is a large figure of Viṣṇu reclining on the folds of the serpent Śesha, the whole scene representing the birth of Brahmā. Down the two outer sides of the door-jamb are the Avatāras and other scenes, including one representing a horse apparently attacking a man, while the inner sides are adorned with pairs of human figures, male and female. The inner mouldings of the door-

1 The ancient name of Śirpur appears to have been Śūkapura. From this place the Rājput copper-plate charter was issued by Tivāndēva, the ruler of Kōshala. It appears from a Śirpur inscription that Tivāndēva was the adopted son of Nāmāndēva, who was the son of Indrabala. The Padātī's derivation of Śirpur from Savārapura is untenable. Cf. A. S. R., Vol. VII, pp. 163 ff. and Vol. XVII, pp. 23 ff., plates XIV-XX [Ed.]
frame are particularly richly sculptured with conventional ornament. The general
effect of this doorway is very pleasing, the sculptures being executed in a light buff-
coloured stone. From these sculptures we may infer that the temple was originally
dedicated to Vishnu. There appears to be little doubt that the large well-carved
image of Vishnu found by Mr. Beglar lying outside the temple must have originally
stood in the sanctum.\footnote{See Cunningham, J. S. R., Vol. XVII, plate XVII, for a photograph of this statue.} At present the sanctum which is quite plain inside, is empty,
with the exception of a small stone image of a Nāga-rāja, seated in a natural pose,
upon the folds of a huge snake, whose five-hooded crest rises from behind and
canopies his head.

There is no second or false roof over the sanctum such as we find in the ancient
brick temple at Bhitargāon in the Cawnpore District,\footnote{Cf. A. & R. for 1903-04, pp. 8 ff.} and in most old stone-temples.
The sanctum is roofed in the usual way, by projecting courses of bricks rising up
until they meet, and not by a curvilinear dome as we find at Bhitargāon. The
porch was provided with a kind of dormer window, as may be seen in stone
examples elsewhere. Nothing now remains to show the style of this window, except
a large triangular opening immediately above the sanctum doorway. Its construction
is similar to that of the roof over the sanctum, being formed of overlapping
courses of bricks, like the large opening that existed in the great brick temple at
Bōlīh Gayā before repairs. It is of the same breadth as the doorway, 3 feet 3
inches, with a height of 5 feet 3 inches in twenty-five courses of bricks. (Fig. 1.)

Very little exists of the maṇḍapa; only traces of the side walls, which were of
brick, and the stone bases of the pillars that once supported the roof remain. It may
be surmised that the roof consisted of flat slabs of stone resting on architraves, them-
selves supported by stone pillars and pilasters. It is quite possible that the maṇḍapa
was added to the porch at a later date. I am inclined to think that such was the
case, for in the earliest examples of brick temples that have come down to us, such
as the ancient brick temple at Bhitargāon, which has with probability been assigned
to the fourth or fifth century, the maṇḍapa is unknown; only a small porch projects
in front of the sanctum entrance of such examples.

The brickwork of this temple is particularly good and most ornamental, the
decoration being carved on the brickwork after the walls were built; the carved lines
of the ornament are thus much sharper and more clearly defined than would be possi-
able had the bricks been merely moulded. Little heed has been paid to the joints
between the bricks in applying the ornament, which has been carried out as though
executed in stone. The average size of the bricks used in the construction is 17
inches long by 9 inches wide, and rather less than 3 inches thick. They are just a
trifle smaller than the bricks used in the construction of the Bhitargāon temple which
measure $17\frac{3}{16}\times 10\\frac{7}{8}\times 3$ on an average, but I found plenty of bricks there that measured
$17\frac{3}{8}\times 10\frac{7}{8}\times 3$. The surfaces and joints between the brickwork of the Śirpur
temple have been rubbed down to a beautifully smooth surface and covered with a
thin layer of excellent white plaster (Plate III). Very little of the plaster remains,
but there is sufficient to show that the whole structure was once covered with it.
Perhaps this plaster was added at some subsequent date, for it is a little difficult to
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understand why the original builders of this temple should have taken such pains to give the whole surface of the structure such a beautiful finish, if only to cover it over and hide it from view with plaster. It is, however, possible that the thin coating of plaster may have been applied as a ground-work for colour, in the same manner as we find it employed on some of the earliest Buddhist monuments. It is a remarkable fact that all the ancient brick temples in the Central Provinces show signs of having been covered over with a thin layer of plaster at a very early period. I do not remember having seen any traces of old plaster on the brick temple at Bhilargoon, but I did notice that portions of the brickwork in sheltered angles showed signs of having been carefully rubbed down to a smooth surface in exactly the same manner as at Sirpur.

Plates I and III show far better than a written description could explain the nature of the carved brick surfaces of the sanctum tower. The chief points of interest are the vase-shaped moulding of the plinth, the numerous rows of chaitya roof and gable moulding and the chaitya arched niches. The large oblong false
windows in the back and side walls of the temple are also interesting. The delicate lotus leaf mouldings and slender pilasters, with plain pot-and-foliage capitals, of these window frames are similar in design to the mouldings of many of the shrine door-frames at Ajanta and Ellora. The carved panels between the mullions indicate unmistakably a wooden origin, for these panels are intended to represent fret-work, similar to that employed in the fan-lights over the doorways and windows of the chaityas.

The temple has a double cornice like the one at Bhitargāon, the upper cornice being represented in places as supported by fabulous lions and other animals, an idea which may have been borrowed from the ornamental capitals of the Persepolitan pillars, which were usually adorned with groups of animals in recumbent positions. Above the double cornice we have a plain band ornamented at regular intervals with three rows of little square recesses, reminding one of the square lattice-work of the Buddhist windows. Above this are more rows of the chaitya roof and gable moulding and chaitya arched niches until the top of the roof is reached. The sides of the temple above the double cornice taper towards the top, but not sufficiently to form anything like a cone. In all probability the top of the roof was flat, surmounted by a rather high brick amalaka, similar in style to the one which crowns the tower of the big brick temple at Bōdha Gayā. The date of the temple is not known, but on purely architectural grounds it would appear to date from the 7th or 8th century A.D.¹

The only other temple at Sirpur now standing is that of Gaudhéśvara, situated on the very brink of the river. This is a comparatively modern structure built of old material taken from the numerous brick temples which once existed here. A number of sculptures are collected within the enclosure, including the inscribed image of Buddha shown in figure 2; but this shrine is chiefly interesting for its inscriptions which have been dealt with at some length by General Cunningham.²

There appears to be little doubt that the famous temples of Rājim, a town not many miles from Sirpur on the same river, have been built mainly with ornamental stone material taken from the ruins at the latter place. Their beautiful pillars and sculptures are worthy of a special illustrated article. Figure 3 shows a very beautiful door-lintel representing

---

¹ General Cunningham, A. S. R., Vol. XVII, p. 38, believed the Lalakeshvara temple to be contemporaneous with the inscriptions found at Sirpur which he attributes to the last quarter of the 5th century. Dr. Fleet, however, refers them on palaeographical grounds to the eighth or ninth century of the Christian era [Ed.]
a bust of Śiva with the bull Nandi below, flanked by Nāga figures, whose tails knot and intertwine in a bold and very effective piece of sculpture. This lintel originally adorned one of the brick temples at Sirpur. It was found built into a small modern temple at Dhamtari, a village in the neighbourhood and is now in the Raipur Museum. A similar lintel is built into one of the doorways of the modern Gandhēśvara temple at Sirpur. It is interesting to note that brick temples dedicated to Buddha, Viṣṇu and Śiva (all approximately about the same age) appear to have existed here side by side.

Fig. 3.

The ancient site of Sirpur should well repay a detailed survey, for even now, in spite of the amount of ornamental stone material that has been removed in order to build temples elsewhere, there still exist a large number of beautifully carved pillars and sculptures; and I have no doubt that, if the numerous brick mounds were excavated, many valuable sculptures, and perhaps inscriptions, would come to light. I was able to spend only one day at Sirpur, when the whole of my time was occupied in taking photographs and preparing conservation notes for the future preservation of the Lakshmana temple. It would be necessary to take tents and go into camp for at least a month in order to carry out a proper examination of this interesting ancient site.

At Kharōḍ, a small village about three miles to the north-west of the town of Seori-Nārāyaṇ on the Mahāṇadi river in the Bilāsphur district of the Central Provinces, there are two very old ruined brick temples apparently of the same age and similar in style to the Lakshmana temple at Sirpur. The larger of the two, outside the village on the south side, appears to have been practically a copy of that temple, though neither so large nor so elaborately decorated. The general lines and mouldings, together with the plan, are the same. It, too, is a Vaishnava temple, but is now dedicated to a goddess called Šahari or Šavari. The carved stone door-frame of the shrine is ornamented with a Nāga design, the long tails of which run up the door jambs and along the lintel. On either side, below, are large, well executed figures of Gaṅgā and Yamunā. This temple has been so extensively repaired and altered that it ceases to be of much interest, except for the fact that enough remains to show that originally it was similar in style to the one at Sirpur.

---

To the north of the village there is another small, square brick-temple of the same style and age, partly ruined. The porch has been removed, but it does not appear to have ever had a mandapa in front. It is built of large-sized red bricks, while the exterior ornamentation of the sanctum tower and its mouldings are much the same as those employed at Sirpur (Plate IV). The stone door-frame of the sanctum is a well-executed piece of sculpture. The inner frame mouldings and jambs are richly carved in conventional ornament in which makaras and lotus medallions so familiar on the posts of the Buddhist railings, are most conspicuous. On each side of the door-frame is a well-carved, life-sized female figure, standing under an umbrella and attended by a diminutive maid. The bases are too decayed to show on what objects these figures originally stood, but in all probability the images represent the river goddesses Gaṅgā and Yamunā standing on the crocodile and the tortoise. The door lintel is ornamented with intertwining Nāga figures, while a little image of Vishnu, seated upon Garuḍa, occupies the post of honour in the centre. Both the temples at Kharōḍ stand on raised platforms with cell foundations, and both show signs of having once been covered over with a thin layer of white plaster.

Pūjārīpāḷī is a small village about forty miles west by north of the town of Sambalpūr. Here are the remains of three old brick temples. Only two retain their stone door-frames, and all three are in ruins. They are built of large-sized bricks, and appear to have originally stood on raised platforms with cell foundations. The brickwork is remarkably plain, but of good workmanship. Traces of the chaitya arch ornament appear on the back and side walls of the exteriors of the temples, but only in very low relief and badly executed. In the plain, heavy convex mouldings of the plinths and cornices we can trace the form of the chaitya roof-like mouldings that we noticed at Sirpur and Kharōḍ, but here the gable ornament is omitted. The exterior angles of the temples are decorated here and there with the peculiar ribbed ornament invariably found on the āmalaka, or top stone which always crowns the sanctum towers of temples built in this style of architecture, whether constructed of brick or stone.

These temples originally had brick porches with stone doorways. Apparently high up over the porch was a small dormer window for lighting the interior of the sanctum. The large triangular opening over the doorway shown in Plate Vα is similar to the one we noticed at Sirpur and is constructed in the same manner. If the accumulated brick rubbish on the porch of the temple shown in Plate Vβ were removed, I have no doubt we should find a similar triangular opening there. The architrave and carved stone pillars which once supported the roof of the porch of the temple shown in Plate Vα, appear to be complete. The large female figures standing under umbrellas are probably intended for Gaṅgā and Yamunā. At the back of these pillars we have the stone door-frame of the sanctum which is free from all ornament. In the other temple shown in Plate Vβ, the carved pillars originally supporting the architrave over the entrance have been removed, leaving only the plain stone door-frame of the sanctum. Both these temples show signs of having once been covered over with a thin layer of plaster.

---

ANCIENT BRICK TEMPLES IN THE CENTRAL PROVINCES.

Although nothing is known as to their age, they are obviously of a much later date than the temples at Śirpūr and Kharōḍ, not to speak of the one at Bhitargāon. They are, however, of interest, as so few ancient brick temples have survived in India.

A. H. LONGHURST.
THE TEMPLE OF MAHĀDEVĀ AT BAJAURĀ, KULŪ.

In July 1900 Mr. A. H. Longhurst, while officiating for me in the Northern Circle, proposed some petty repairs to the temple of Mahādevā at Bajaurā, one of the most ancient and finest shrines of the Kulū valley. In order to restore this edifice to something approaching its original condition, it would have been necessary, as Mr. Longhurst pointed out, to dismantle the whole structure and rebuild it. But as the temple is still used for daily worship, such a course could not very well be adopted in a mountain-tract inhabited by an uncultured and superstitious population. It is, therefore, hoped that the petty repairs proposed will save the building from collapse, although it must be admitted that the disjointed and shaken appearance of the structure is bound to raise grave apprehension as to its safety. The well cut and carved stone blocks set dry and fastened with iron dowels, are displaced to such an extent that it looks as if the slightest shock of earthquake would bring the whole fabric down. Mr. Longhurst was indeed under the impression that the present precarious state of the temple was due to the great earthquake of 4th April 1905 which wrought such terrible havoc among the ancient monuments of the Biās Valley.

That dreadful catastrophe, however, cannot be held responsible for the state of the Bajaurā temple, as it is evident not only from the very accurate description published by Captain A. F. P. Harcourt in 1871, but also from a photograph taken in the early sixties by the late Mr. R. G. Elwes, an Engineer in the Public Works Department. I owe it to the kindness of his daughter, Madame Elwes-Sarton, that

1. The temple really belongs to the handful of Hāt mentioned under the name of Hātta in the copper-plate grant of Rāhādur Singh of Kulū dated in the year 95 (A.D. 1539). Cf. A.S. R. for 1905-6, pp. 26 ff., Plate LXXI.
2. Abûl Ḥasan T-i-nge, Sihr-i-či (trav. Del.) Vol. I, p. 177, says:—“The people [of Khi-lo-to] are coarse and common in appearance, and are much afflicted with goitre and tumours. Their nature is hard and fierce; they greatly recoil before justice and bravery.” A modern proverb says:—Jāqā Kuli bāqā abā.
3. Cf. my Ancient Monuments of Kānigāru invaded in the earthquake in A.S. R. for 1905-6, pp. 10 ff., Plates I-VII. The Bajaurā temple is mentioned on p. 27.
I am able to publish here what is probably the earliest photographic reproduction of the Bajaurā temple and a valuable record of its condition, half a century ago. A comparison of Mr. Elwes' photograph shown in fig. 1 and those taken by Mr. Longhurst in the summer of 1900 (Plate VI) will make it quite clear that fifty years ago the temple was in very much the same shaken condition as it is now, and that the earthquake of April 1905, strange though it may seem, has not, as far as one can see, made matters worse. We may, therefore, reasonably hope that the final ruin of the Bajaurā temple is not as near at hand as its shattered appearance would lead one to fear.

The temple of Bajaurā is of great archaeological interest, as temple-towers of this type, built entirely of stone, are as rare in the Hills as they are common in the Plains. Captain Harcourt\(^1\) enumerates only sixteen buildings of this kind in the whole of the

\(^1\) Harcourt, op. cit., pp. 195 ff.
Kulū valley, and hardly any of them dates farther back than the 17th century when the Rājas of Kulū vigorously promoted the worship of Krishṇa and Rāma. They include, moreover, several small and insignificant shrines.

There is indeed every reason to suppose that the so-called śikhara temple or stone temple-tower was originally foreign to the Hills. The indigenous hill-temple is built of wood and stone and has either a pent-roof covered with slates or shingles or a pyramidal wooden roof sometimes rising in several tiers. Temples of the latter variety are typical of Himalayan architecture and are best known from Kashmir and Nepal. In the early temples of the former country we find the pyramidal or pagoda roof executed in stone. In Kulū the pent-roofed village temple is commonest. Of the pagoda-roofed type there are three examples:—the temple of Hidimbā (or Himā) Dēvī at Dhuārī near Manūlī, in the upper Bīās valley (right bank), that of Tripura sundari Dēvī at Nagar, the ancient capital, and that of Triyuga-Nārāyaṇa at Dyār on the left bank of the Bīās, opposite Bajaurā.¹

Fig. 2.

To revert to the Bajaurā temple; it is dedicated to Mahādēva under the name of Bashesur (i.e., Sanskrit Viśeśvara meaning "Lord of the Universe"). The object

TEMPLE OF MAHADEVA AT BAJAURA, KULU.

(a) IMAGE OF CAITRE.
(b) IMAGE OF VISHNU.
(c) IMAGE OF DURGA.
of worship is a large stone liṅga occupying most of the space in the sanctum which measures only 8' 6" by 7' 2". This cella is enclosed within heavy walls forming a square of 13' outwardly, from which project four ornamental porches, that to the east containing the doorway (9' 6" by 2' 10") and the other three each a large niche or chapel which enshrines a well-carved image-slab. Each porch is surmounted by an elaborately decorated pediment showing three miniature śikhara shrines in relief and over the central one a sunk circular medallion containing three faces, the one in the middle being shown full and those at the sides half. This medallion, though a very common device in the temples of the Panjāb Hills, I am unable to explain. It may be either a reproduction of the Trinūti—Brahmā, Viṣṇu and Śiva—or of the last-mentioned deity in his triple form. I have heard it designated as Bhadranikh.

The whole outer surface is covered with carving in which the pot-and-foliage motive is often repeated. It will be remembered that this is an ornament used for capitals of pillars and pilasters, but here we find it, as it were, doubled, two blocks carved in this fashion being every time placed one over the other. We notice, besides, a Nāga couple with interlaced snake-tails and two Kinnaras, male and female, in fond embrace, their bushy tails merging into profuse scroll-work. The not less elaborately carved tower or śikhara is, as usual, surmounted by an āmalaka stone, part of which had fallen down but has now been replaced.

The three large image slabs (height 5' 4") which occupy the niches deserve special notice (Plate VII). That on the south side shows the familiar figure of the elephant-headed Gaṇeśa seated on a lotus throne supported by two lions couchant to front. The god is four-armed and holds in his right hands a hatchet and an indistinct object partly broken. In his upper left hand he has what appears to be his second task, and his second left clasps a vessel of sweetmeats to which he applies his trunk. He wears a long garland hanging down below his knees and a sacred thread in the shape of a snake. Between the two lions of the śikhara we notice a nude miniature figure kneeling and holding in both hands an indistinct object. The figure which is characterised by a large head with protruding eyes and elephant ears reminds one of a similar grotesque figure found in a somewhat analogous position on the Gaṇeśa image of Mēruvarman (c. A.D. 700) at Brahmar near the ancient capital of Chamba State. I presume that it represents in both instances one of the Gaṇas or dwarfs, of which Gaṇeśa is the lord and leader. The top of the slab is broken, so that its height has been reduced to 3' 10". Its width is 2' 5".

The sculpture in the back or west niche is a beautifully carved image of Viṣṇu standing to front between two miniature attendant figures. The god is four-armed and holds his usual attributes—the wheel (chakra) and lotus-flower (padma) in his two right hands, and the mace (gaṇḍā) and conch-shell (śanātha) in the two left. He is distinguished by a quadruple circular halo, from which a pair of flying, garland-carrying figurines project, and wears a three-pointed diadem or tiara and long curly locks streaming down on both shoulders. His body is adorned with the usual ornaments, a sacred thread and a long garland slung round his shoulders.

1 Cf. my Antiquities of Chamba State. Part I: p. 139, Plate VIIIc.
and hanging down below his knees. The attending figures, male and female, each hold a fly-whisk (Skt. chāmara).

The third image which is placed in the niche on the north side represents the goddess Durgā in the act of slaying the demons.1 Her head is encircled with a circular flaming halo of similar design to those of the two previously described sculptures. She also wears a crown and a long garland hanging down from the shoulders to below the knees. She is eight-armed, whence the name Ashtabhuṣj, by which she is commonly indicated. With one of her right hands she plunges a trident (triśula) into the body of a demon, whose tuft of hair she has seized with one of her left hands and who seems to struggle in her grip. The remaining three right hands of the goddess hold a thunderbolt (vaṭra) half broken but similar in shape to the vīḍrje of the Lamas, an arrow (bāga, bāra) and a sword (khaḍga). The three remaining emblems on the left side are a bell (ghauṭa), a cup (pātra) and a bow (dhanus, chāpū).

To the left of Durgā, somewhat in the background, is a second demon, armed with sword and shield, who seems to strike a desperate blow at the warlike goddess. The demon in front, somewhat larger in size, has already been described; he also holds an elongated shield attached to his left arm. We may assume that these two demons represent the Asura kings Śumbha and Niśumbha who were slain by Durgā—a much-lauded act of the goddess.2

Another not less famous exploit of Durgā, the destruction of the “Buffalo” demon (Mahishāsura) is also shown in this same bas-relief. For at her feet we notice the prostrate body of the buffalo, its head severed from the trunk whence issues half-way the vanquished demon-king in human shape, clasping a mace in his right hand.

Finally we notice near the right foot of the goddess (with which she tramples on her enemy) the head of her vehicle, the lion, who takes an active part in the combat by attacking two fallen Asuras with tooth and nail. All the details of the scene here shown in stone are in perfect agreement with the sacred text in which the deeds of the demon-slaying goddess are extolled.3

To complete the description of the Bajaurā temple, we must call attention to the two female figures, carved on two slabs (2’7” by 1’2”) which are found on both sides of the entrance porch (Plato VIII). They personify the two sacred rivers, Gaṅgā and Yamunā (the Ganges and Jamna), which we find regularly represented on both sides of the doorways of ancient temples all over Northern India.4 Gaṅgā, placed to the left side on entering the temple, is shown standing on a lotus cushion supported by a crocodile or makara. This animal emerging from a mass of scroll-work is so

---
1 This sculpture has been reproduced by Mr. E. B. Havell in his book Bemares the sacred city, p. 107. In the text (p. 106) it is erroneously stated that it comes from Chamba.
2 At the end of the sixth act of “The little clay cut” (Marīchhakaṭkika) Chandamsaka, the police officer, says to Aranyak, the pretender:—

"May Siva, Vishnu, Brahmā, Three in one,
Protect thee, and the Moon, and blessed Sun,
Stay all thy foes, as mighty Parvati
Slay Śumbha and Niśumbha fearfully."

3 Cf. Jātakapari (Bombay 1871) forming part of the Mārgaṇḍū-purāṇa.
conventionally treated as to be almost unrecognizable, but its head with the snout-like upper jaw holding a lotus-stalk is quite clear. The river goddess is two-armed and lifts on the palm of her left hand a *lota*-like vase to the height of her shoulder in the manner of Indian women. Her right hand resting on the crowned head of a dwarf-like attendant holds a long lotus stalk which rises in various stems, each carrying a flower or bud. A second attendant, somewhat larger than the first and evidently female, holds the long staff of an umbrella which forms a canopy over the head of the main figure in the midst of over-hanging palm-leaves. The corresponding figure on the right side of the temple entrance is the river goddess Yamunā, as appears from the tortoise, her vehicle, the head of which is visible among the scroll foliage at her feet. The attitude of the goddess is similar to that of her counterpart just described, but she has only one female attendant, and at the end of the long staff which the latter is holding we notice a kind of fan visible over the head of the divine figure. On both sculptures the two river goddesses stand out gracefully against the background of luxuriant flowers and foliage.

There can be little doubt that the three large image-slabs as well as the two last-described, were all carved at the same time, as is evident from the similarity of style and the sameness of certain decorative details. The three figures in the niches are all provided with the same circular halo, and the Vishnu image in the back niche wears a three-pointed crown identical with the diadems worn by the goddess Durgā and by the two sacred Rivers. The exact time of execution is not so easy to establish, but the excellent workmanship of the large bas-reliefs and, in fact, of all the sculptural decoration on the Bajaurā temple points to an early period.

Unfortunately we possess no data, apart from the evidence of style, to settle the chronological problem. It is true that on the right door-jamb there is an inscription, but it must be posterior by many centuries to the founding of the temple. It is written in the Tānkāri character and composed in the vernacular, and records a donation of land to Mahādeva by a Rājā Śyāma-sena in the year 40. Now we may safely identify the princely donor of the inscription with Rājā Śyām Sen of the neighbouring hill-state of Maṇḍi, whose *sati* monument is still extant. It bears an inscription recording that Rājā Śyām Sen “went to heaven with five queens, two concubines and thirty-seven slave-girls on the 12th day of Sauj of the year 55.” The date is expressed in the *Lokakāla* or *Saptarshi* era (also called *sāstra* era) and must correspond to A.D. 1670. It follows that the date of the Tānkāri inscription on the Bajaurā temple corresponds to A.D. 1673.

The earliest recorded account of the Bajaurā temple is that by the traveller William Moorcroft who passed through the Kuṭija valley on his way to Ladakh and Bukhara in August 1829.

“The road [from the Pass],” he says, “was now a continued descent and was

---

1 In a recently published guide-book (*In Kuti and back by*) M.C. Forbe, Sìnla 1911, p. 59) I find it stated that the Bajaurā temple “was built when Jagat Singh in the 17th century popularised the worship of Viṣṇu in this country.” This statement, which is without any foundation, is the more astonishing, as the temple is plainly dedicated to Śiva.

2 Cunningham, A. S. R., Vol. XIV, p. 213, Plate XXX.

accompanied by many water-courses, which uniting at the foot of an eminence, on which stood the village of Syri, formed a considerable stream, the Ruparez. This river here divides Mundi from Kulu, and running under the walls of Bajaura, falls into the Byas on its right bank. Bajaura is a large square fort belonging to Kulu; it consists of square towers connected by a low curtain; the whole built of hewn stone strengthened with beams of fir. On the right bank of the Ruparez was a Hindu temple covered with sculptures in relief, in general well executed. A sort of chest with raised sides, and festooned with flowers, was an ornament frequently repeated, but the chief decoration was in the clustered pilasters at the doorways, tastefully entwined by richly-curved scrolls of creeping foliage. There were many images most of which were in good preservation, except their noses, which were said to have been knocked off by the soldiers of the grand-father of Sansar Chand when he invaded Kulu. Mr. Trebeck informed me that the statues of the Rajas of Mundi have suffered a similar mutilation."

It is difficult to decide when exactly the war between Kāŋgṛā and Kūḷā referred to by Moorcroft took place, as the history of the Panjāb hill states during the 18th century is one of continual warfare. This is evidenced by the Chambā archives. Here we find an agreement written in Tūlkāri whereby Rājā Sansār Chand of Kāŋgṛā, Rājā Rāj Śiṅh of Chambā, Rājā Shamsār Sēn of Māndī and Mīān Surā Kēn of the same state combined to attack Makarā (i.e. Kūḷā), seize Bangahāl and divide it equally among them, each taking the portion nearest his own territory. The document is dated, Māgh, Vikrama 1834 (A. D. 1777). It appears that the three allies were successful as far as Bangahāl was concerned which then ceased to exist as an independent principality. The tragic death of the last Rājā of Bangahāl is still remembered in a popular ballad. In Lahul, Dr. A. H. Francko recovered another song relating to a battle fought near Bajaura in which apparently a Lahuli contingent fought under the Kūḷā Rājā against the invaders from Kāŋgṛā.

If, however, Moorcroft was rightly informed that the images on the Bajaurā temple were mutilated by the soldiers of Sansār’s grand-father, the damage must have occurred on the occasion of some earlier invasion. The grandfather of Sansār Chand was Ghamand Chand.

An accurate description of the temple, as stated above, was given by Captain A. F. P. Harcourt in his useful book on the Kūḷā sub-division of which he held charge as an Assistant Commissioner.

J. PH. VOGEL.

---

1 I suspect that the “vee and foliage ornament” is meant.
3 When visiting the temple a few years ago, I was told that the damage was due to the Sikhs. This shows how little one can rely on “tradition.”
4 It is also mentioned by J. Calvert, Passeri Rājā (London 1872), p. 15, who gives some very crude reproductions of sketches of some of the sculpture, and of a handwriting of the inscription together with an inaccurate, coloured picture of the temple.
THE SHĀH BURJ, DELHI FORT.

In 1902 the Director General of Archaeology recommended that the "Burj"1 with its adjoining pavilion of white marble, be taken in hand, "so that it might take its part in the scheme for the conservation of the Hayát Bakshī Garden." In 1904 all traces of the two modern doorways which had been cut in the back wall were removed and the marble dado restored in the openings. The sloping marble cascade referred to below was reconstructed and the tank in the floor of the building and the original form of the roof were revealed by dismantling of modern additions. The earthquake of 4th April 1905 rendered considerable structural repairs necessary without further delay, and an estimate embodying these repairs was prepared. The central and eastern domes of the pavilion fell down, bringing with them a great portion of the marble chajja, and causing many cracks in the marble roofing slabs. The marble roof-supporting arches were also seriously disturbed and in June of the same year it was noticed that the outer pillars were out of plumb. It was then found necessary to take down and rebuild the whole of the outer or southern front of the pavilion. The actual work on the building did not however begin until January 1908.

1 The text illustration is reproduced from a photograph taken in 1877, when the Shāh Burj, like all other buildings in the Delhi Fort, had been put to some practical use. At the time it was occupied as the private quarters of Captains Stratford and Col. of the 1st Warwickshire Regiment. I owe it to the kindness of Mrs. Stratford that I am in a position to publish here this interesting photograph.
Primarily it must be remembered that the pavilion is only a part of the Shah Burj erected by Shâh-jâhân. The "Burj," or "Tower" itself, lies behind it and is entered from the small room on the east side of the pavilion. The building is situated in the extreme north-east corner of the "Life-bestowing" Garden and at the end of the terrace overlooking the low-lying land between the Fort and the Jamma. The little marble pavilion consists of a central compartment flanked by two small rooms, with a "verandah" of five bays on its south side, the central bay being rather larger than the others. Its total frontage is 63 feet 3 inches, its lateral measurements being some 32 feet. In the central compartment is a square tank, with a "scalloped" basin, which was found hidden under the modern floor. Water came to the Shah Burj through an aqueduct, which ran along the north side of the Hayât Bakhsh Garden, and entered the pavilion at the back of the central niche. For this latter at first it was hard to assign a meaning. Modern brickwork had been built in the niche recess and a modern doorway led from the pavilion to the "Burj" proper, then used for military purposes. The back wall had also been broken through in another place for the purpose of a doorway. Mr. Marshall in a Note, dated the 8th of October 1902, says:—"After the removal of the modern work in the
THE SHAH BURJ, DELHI FORT.

PLATE IX.

(a) BEFORE EARTHQUAKE OF 1905.
central niche, a horizontal ledge was found in the back of the niche, 6 feet above ground level. From the extremities of this ledge two straight strips ran down along both the sides of the niche reaching the back wall of the building at a height of 3 feet 9 inches above the ground. Only that part of the wall which is above the sloping strips is faced with marble."

It was surmised by Mr. Marshall—and from the particulars given above it will appear that this supposition was correct—that the niche originally contained a sloping marble slab, 8 feet 6 inches in width, fitting between the ledge in the back and the two strips in the side walls of the niche. A small portion of the sloping slab, with its peculiar sunk pattern, was found in position, where it joined the edge of the marble basin. Some other broken pieces were also found in the basin itself, which had been filled up to make an even floor. Over this slab the water flowed down from the Shah Burj and fell into the tank. This sloping waterway has accordingly been reconstructed.\(^1\)

---

\(^1\) Cf. A. & R. for 1904-5 pp. 17 ff.; fig. 10.
remainder have been restored (Fig. 4). Thence the water ran into the Nahr-i-Binisht, or "Stream of Paradise" which flowed in an ornamental channel along the east terrace of the Fort to the "Royal Baths," and on through the buildings which formed the private apartments of the Palace.

The work of repair may be cited as a good example of archaeological conservation work and every praise is due to Rai Sahib Bishanbaru Nath, the Executive Engineer of Delhi, who was in charge of the work. Prior to dismantling, the building was most systematically measured, and the stones were carefully numbered and photographed, while the greatest care was taken to match the old marble, where new pieces had to be put in. Why the building suffered so severely in comparison with others in the Fort is hard to say, but it may be that its foundations do not go down to bed-rock as is probably the case with the foundations of the other buildings on the east wall of the Fort.

The inlay work, with which the interior of the building and tank was decorated, has mostly gone, for which the Rohillas under Ghulam Qadir are probably more to blame than the recent military occupation. The marble dado, inlaid with pietra dura work, is spaced into panels by floral designs, the borders of the dado being formed by a simple intertwining pattern. The framing of the niche, a broad cavetto, is adorned with a somewhat similar intertwining pattern which is also extended to the spandrels of the niche arch. The upper and inner portion of the niche is relieved
by a curvilinear design, the centre of each “mesh” being marked by a flower. The
pietra dura work is not so lavishly used as in other buildings of Sháh-jáhán, but its
treatment is undeniably graceful.

The casing of the arch over the niche terminates in little pendent of similar
character to those on the outer arches. Above the dado the wall surface is broken
up into a series of superposed panels. The origin of the peculiar shape of these
panels may be looked for in the earlier buildings, in the walls of which were little
mulfoil-arched niches, which served for the storing of books (as in Akbar’s library,
Agra Fort), articles of clothing, and for the lamps used to light the rooms on festive
occasions. The Mughal builders evidently found the treatment a successful one and
carried on the feeling by means of panelling.

The modern balustrading and cupola on the roof seen in Plate IXa
also fell down owing to the earthquake and in the reconstruction of the roof
these two modern accretions have, needless to say, been omitted. The domes
constructed of brick and rendered outside with polished chunam have, by the
replacement of their finials and lotus-leaf crestings, been restored to their
original condition. The domes do not represent the internal arrangement and
are constructed most probably for effect, and perhaps partly to keep the building
cool. The central compartment, higher than the others, is ceiled by a vault of
“Bengali” type. This, it is true, is repeated in the form of the external central
dome. The ceilings of the smaller compartments, however, consist of a flat sunk
panel, formed of marble slabs, and only the two outer east and west compartments are
indicated by domes. The ceiling cornice is of simple section, well adapted to the
nature of the material employed. Its principal member is a deep cavetto which
dispels any abrupt effect that might be due to a flat ceiling meeting with an internal
wall. It also gives the idea of support.

After the earthquake it was found necessary to shore up the building until
repairs could be effected. This was done with temporary brick centering (in India
a cheaper commodity than wood). The total amount spent on the conservation of the
pavilion amounted to Rs.15,448, to which must be added the sum of Rs.2,807 for the
restoration of the central marble channel.

Built during the reign of Sháh-jáhán, at a time when that Emperor was leaving
his memory indelibly stamped on the architecture of India, even this little edifice
exhibits the gradual decline of Mughal architecture. The small Mughal cinquefoil
arches of the pavilion are certainly somewhat weak, the foils themselves and the
whole arch being flat. This is not so noticeable in the larger central arch.
The horizontal rim of the chajja is broken over this arch, a treatment not found
in earlier buildings. It is often the case that a wave of architectural enthusiasm
marks the beginning of the decadence of the style employed. Exuberance in
architecture must be curbed, and restraint in form and design rigidly practised.
What in Mughal architecture can equal the buildings of Akbar, so perfect in their
proportion, so sensibly and solidly constructed, and yet with such a sense of refine-
ment and delicacy in their ornament! At first sight it may appear strange that with
the advent of more advanced European civilisation the decline of Mughal buildings
should have set in, but the art and architecture of the west were ill adapted to a
country whose influences—climatic, religious and social—were so different. There were other forces that were sapping the Empire so firmly established by Akbar, and the last years of Shah-jahan's life saw him a prisoner at Agra and his sons fighting for the throne—a period of anarchy that sounded the death-knell of Mughal architecture.

European influence appears to be traceable in the acanthus foliage which tops the capitals of the columns. The mouldings, by which the façade is divided, as it were, into so many panels, merge into the foliage—another western touch. One can compare the vault ribs of some mighty medieval cathedral, or the hood-mouldings of some traceried window, terminating in a boss of foliage, conventionally fashioned by the medieval craftsman of Europe from a study of the flowers of his own country-side. A far cry, it is true, to Delhi and the palace of the great Shah-jahan, "Sahebjirin-i-Thani, who has carried the banners of his glory beyond the sky and the sun."

According to Manucci, each of the forts at Agra, Delhi and Lahore had a Shah Burj, and all of them were the work of Shah-jahan. "There are," he says, "in the empire three principal imperial abodes; the most ancient is at Dilli, the second at Agrab, the third at Lahor. At each there is a great bastion, named the Xanaburg (Shah-burj), which means 'Royal Bastion.' They are domed, and have architectural adornments of curious enamel work, with many precious stones. Here the king holds many audiences for selected persons, and from it he views the elephant fights and diverses himself with them.'"

The Shah Burj in the Lahore Fort is now known as the Saman (i.e., Muthamman) Burj. But it is mentioned by its original name in the inscription over the Hathi Pol or Elephant Gate.  

At Agra every visitor to the Fort knows that gem of Mughal architecture referred to in the guide books as the "Jasmine Tower." The correct appellation of the building is the Muthamman Burj. It is possible that it was called the Shah Burj originally as was the case at Lahore. The Shah Burj at Delhi, which, as has been previously stated, lies behind the pavilion heretofore described, has undergone drastic changes since shortly before the Mutiny when Sayyid Ahmad's Athuru-e-Samadid was written. At the date of writing little has been done to it beyond the dismantling of all modern additions. It is perhaps not too much to express the hope that ere long it may be possible to give it more attention.

Lieutenant Francklin in his account of Delhi says:—"In the Shah Baug, or the royal gardens, is a very large octagon room, which looks towards the river Jumna. This room is called Shah Baorj or the royal tower; it is lined with marble; and from the window of it, the late heir apparent, Mirza Juwan Bukht [Jawān Bakht], made his escape in the year 1781, when he fled to Lucknow; he descended by means of a ladder made with turbans, and as the height is inconsiderable, effected it with ease. A great part of this noble palace [referring to the whole Fort] has suffered very much by the destructive ravages of the late invaders. The Rohillas, in particular, who were introduced by Gholam Kauder [Ghulām Qādir] have

stripped many of the rooms of their marble ornaments and pavements, and have even picked out the stones from the borders of many of the floorings.

The Rohillas passed three days in digging up the floors for treasure which they supposed had been hidden in the palace by the Emperor Shâh 'Alâm, whom they blinded, when their quest proved vain. Mirza Jawân Bakht was anxious to inform the British Governor, newly arrived at Lucknow, of the disorderly state of affairs at Delhi, consequent on the appointment of Afâşyâb Khân, who had been created Amir-ul-umra, or "Premier noble of the realm," by the Emperor Shâh 'Alâm, mainly at the instigation of the sister of Mirza Najâf Khân, the deceased Premier. Keene in his "Fall of the Moghul Empire," seems to point out that it was from the Salimgarh that Jawân Bakht escaped, after secretly departing in disguise from his chamber in the palace, and passing from the roof of one building to the roof of another, until he reached the aqueduct which crossed the garden Hayât Bakhsh. "The night was stormy, and the prince was suffering from a fever, but he found a branch where the canal issued, by which he got to the rampart of the Salimgarh. Here he descended by means of a rope, and joined his friends on the river sands."3

Bishop Heber, when visiting Delhi in 1824-25, remarks on, "a beautiful octagonal pavilion [at the end of the terrace], also of marble, lined with the same Mosaic flowers as in the room which I first saw, with a marble fountain in its centre, and a beautiful bath in a recess at one of its sides. The windows of this pavilion, which is raised to the height of the city wall, command a good view of Delhi and its neighbourhood. But all was, when we saw it, dirty, lonely and wretched: the bath and fountain dry: the inlaid pavement hid with lumber and gardener's sweepings, and the walls stained with the dung of birds and bats."4

The following description, from the Āthâr-u-Sanâdîd, gives a good idea of the tower, and from it the reader must be left to conjecture its pristine condition, with the help of the photographs showing it in its present state.

"This tower," says Sayyid Ahmad, "is also a wonderful building. Its diameter is sixteen gaz. Its structure is three-storied. The first storey is built on a plinth 12 gaz above the ground. Its ceiling is round inside and flat from above. The building is wholly of stone. It is built of marble to the dado, with a work in mosaic and stones of various colours, and from the dado to the ceiling it is made white with the Patânâ stone (?) and has gilt foliage. This storey is octagonal and its diameter is 8 gaz. It contains four niches and two semi-octagonal seats overlooking the river. Its front is of marble. The northern and eastern niches are each 4 by 3 gaz and the western and southern each 4 by 3 gaz. In the middle of the octagonal storey there is a tank with a diameter of 3 gaz so charming and extremely beautiful that on a view of its decorative work the intellect is at a loss and calls to mind the work of God. 5 In the western niche there is a waterfall and small arched niches, wherein flowers are placed in the day time and lamps at night. In front of this waterfall there is a tank of marble 3½ by 2½ gaz.

---

1 Asiatic Researches, Vol. IV, pp. 439 ft.
2 Naba-i-Fahar, canal of old foundation which was restored to use by Shahjahan on his building the fort.
3 H. G. Keene, The Fall of the Moghul Empire, London and Calcutta, 1876, pp. 115 ft.
5 I have recently found this. All that remains are some marble slabs which form three sides of the tank.
From this tank to the edge of the eastern niche there is a canal \(1\frac{1}{2}\) gaz wide, wholly of marble, very fine with a work of mosaic and relief. The two tanks have the same work in mosaic and relief, and the cornelian, coral and other precious stones have been set therein. From this canal branches off another which flows into the tank of the western niche and which, coming therefrom in the canal of the tower and passing through the octagonal tank, flows towards the eastern niche, under which, on the riverside, a waterfall is constructed. The waterworks in the whole Fort start from this very place [the \(\text{S\text{h\text{\text{a}}h B\text{u}r\text{j}}\)] and the water-courses for every part have been made in this very tower. Each water-course bears the name of the tank or canal to which it runs. The building of the second storey is also octagonal and fine, with a diameter of 8 gaz, and on its eight sides is a verandah all along of twenty-four pillars. The building of the third storey is a domed pavilion with eight pillars. Its dome is of marble with a golden pinnacle. In short, this building is very magnificent.”

Gordon Sanderson.
THE DĪWĀN-I-ĀMM, LAHORE FORT.

COMPARED architecturally with its sister buildings at Agra and Delhi, the Dīwān-i-āmm or Hall of Public Audience in the Lahore Fort is disappointing. It is to its historical associations and to the ceremonies enacted in it that it owes its claims to recognition. For many years used by the military for barrack purposes which went far to deprive it of its former glory, it now stands out of what was once the great quadrangle, wherein the king was wont to review his troops and receive his subjects in audience, a pathetic relic of past splendour. No longer does it look out on the elephants as they passed in review before the “Great Mogul,” or the throngs of Amīrs and Rājās bowing low in obeisance to the figure in the jharokha, but on the inevitable football ground and goal-posts of the British soldier.

As far back as May 1901, Dr. Vogel, then Archaeological Surveyor of the Panjāb Circle, was asked to report on the ancient buildings in Lahore Fort. Twenty years before, Major Cole, R.E., Curator of Ancient Monuments, had expressed the hope, “that all buildings of interest in the Lahore Fort be as far as possible restored and fenced in so as to keep them separate from the barrack buildings, and that they be kept solely as show places and as the only means of perpetuating some of the most beautiful and interesting specimens of Lahore Imperial Mughal Art.” It is only recently that these recommendations have partly borne fruit.

The gradual evacuation of the old buildings of interest by the military is now steadily going on, and the Dīwān-i-āmm, the Chhōti Khwābghās and the Mātī Masjid have already been taken over by the Archaeological Department. These are the first moves in the scheme by which it is hoped to put the Lahore Fort on the same footing, so far as conservation is concerned, as the Forts of Agra and Delhi.

In revisualising the arrangement of the buildings in which the Dīwān-i-āmm was the principal and central feature, it must be remembered that, of the great quadrangle, from the centre of the northern side of which the Audience Hall projected, all that is left is a little cloister through which access is gained to the Mātī Masjid. The great quadrangle, 730 feet by 400 feet, was entered from the east and west sides. In the first year of his reign (A.D. 1628), Shāh-jahān ordered the Dīwān-i-āmm to be erected simultaneously with the one in the Agra Fort. The work was carried out under the supervision of the Emperor’s father-in-law, Yamān-d-daulah Asīf Khān, then
Governor of the city, who was also in charge of the building operations at the neighbouring Shāh Burj. Shāh-jahān's motive for its erection is explained by 'Abdul-Iṣāmid, the court chronicler, who states that in the reigns of the Emperor's father and grand-father the assembled courtiers had only an awning to shelter them from the sun and rain. It was, therefore, the Emperor's order that "a hall of forty pillars be erected, in front of the jharokā of the Daulat-khān-i-khāss-o-āmm." (Fig. 1.)

'Abdul-Iṣāmid's account is worth quoting in full. "In the reigns of their departed Majesties [Akbar and Jahāngīr], and after the accession of the Lord of the World [Shāh-jahān], till this date [4th Dhu-l-ḥijjah, A.H. 1037, i.e., the 10th May, A.D. 1628] in front of the jharokā of the Daulat-khān-i-khāss-o-āmm (Hall of public and private Audience) where all servants gain the fortune of admittance [to the royal presence] and of the interview, there was no building [in the Agra Fort] to protect the courtiers (lit.: adherents of the royal carpet) from rain and heat, and an awning of cloth used to be erected, as has been mentioned above. As in this august age all means of comfort that a ruler can give have passed from the region of potentiality into that of actuality, and every ornament of the world has hasted from the nadir of non-existence to the zenith of existence, in accordance with the royal order, masons like magicians and carpenters like Āzar 1 finished a lofty hall, that has raised its head to Saturn, and a high building that has reached the entrance 2 of the seventh heaven, in front of the jharokā of the Daulat-khān-i-khāss-o-āmm, 70 imperial yards long and 22 imperial yards broad, in forty days, as had been conceived in the enlightened mind of the world-conquering Emperor. Those who stand before the royal throne [thus] acquired a fresh shelter from the rain and the sun, and the face of

---

1 Name or title of Abraham's father, who was an idol maker. Cf. Qurān, sūra II, verse 71.
2 The gate to the seventh heaven beyond which the Angel Gabriel cannot go. Cf. Qurān, sūra LIII, verse 14.
DIWAN-I-'AZM, LAHORE FORT.

PLATE X.

(o) VIEW FROM WEST IN 1800.
(a) View from West; In 1903.

(b) View from West; After Removal of Modern Additions from the Throne and Pillars.
the heavenly court also gained an immeasurable ornament. On the three sides of this lofty hall, each of which has an entrance for the Amir's, servants, and other officials of note, has been pitched a silver balustrade. In this palace, the servants, stand in order of rank, and in an appointed place, and in a manner worthy of the assembly of mighty emperors. Most of them stand with their backs towards the balustrade, several who are distinguished by a closer connection [stand] by the two pillars which are near the jharōkā, and armour-bearers with golden banners and flags, in royal armour, towards the left hand with their backs turned to the wall. In front of this heaven-like building is a spacious court-yard with a coloured wooden balustrade around, on which are stretched canopies of brocaded velvet. In this place those whose rank is less than two hundred [horsemen] and bow-bearing ahadīs,1 and skilled musketeers, and some of the attendant Amirs, receive admittance. At the doors of the Daulat-khāna-i-khāss-o-āmm and of both the balustrades, stand trustworthy mace-bearers, staff-bearers, and door-keepers, all in fine clothes, to refuse entrance to strangers and those who are unworthy of such an honour. The gleamer of good meanings and ornament of poetry, Tālib Kālim, wrote this quatrain in praise of this lofty building, laid it before his most holy majesty, and the skirts of his hope became heavy with the royal reward.

This new edifice of which the Divine throne is a neighbour,
Of whose rank Height is but a letter,
Is a garden, each pillar of which is a cypress,
In whose shade repose both nobles and common.'

The sacred decree went forth that in the capital of Lahore also should be constructed a high palace in front of the jharōkā of the Daulat-khāna-i-khāss-o-āmm, in the same style [as at Agra], and that the building of the Shāh Burj should be completed. 2

The jharōkā (Plate XI), which already existed at the time when Shāh-jahān made his addition, is a small projecting balcony of marble supported on sand-stone brackets. With its flanking arcades it formed the central feature of the façade in front of which Shāh-jahān erected his Diwān-i-āmm.

Flanking the throne-balcony," the late Mr. Tucker wrote, 3 "is a long narrow passage, blocked at intervals by partition walls, but running the whole length of the hall on to which it opens at intervals between the pillars. A little careful demolition of these partition walls clearly showed that before the erection of the great hall an arcade of richly painted columns and brackets formed the main feature of the façade in the centre of which was the jharōkā. When the hall was added, the bays against which the back row of half columns were set, were filled up to support them and the continuity of the arcade was thus broken. At some later period, the lateral throat of the arches being feared, the partition walls were built to take it and iron ties embedded in them. On one of these walls being partly demolished, all this became clear—the painted brackets of the embedded arcade, the rough filling and the back of the half column. Also three periods of decoration could be traced on the roof of the

passage. First, a plaster face on the shallow vault composed of small facets set at slight angles with each other and decorated with gold and red incised lines. This was continuous from end to end of the gallery. Next followed a Sikh addition of glass appliqué to the original contours; this was also continuous. The third period was the flat panelled ceiling with a curved cornice, which we now see, decorated with the crudest painting and an inlay of round pieces of glass. This was not continuous but broken at a point 4" from the centre line of the pier and coved as at the far ends. This coved end, however, does not appear to have rested on any wall, as the painted brackets, hitherto concealed by the modern partition wall of still later date, show no trace of any other wall. It is hoped that it will be possible to open up the whole length of this gallery despite the thrust of the outer pillars.”

There still remain fragments of the marble railing within which only nobles of a certain rank might stand (Fig. 2). The existence of remnants of this railing, together with the one of red sand-stone which encloses the raised platform in front of the building is one of the chief features in which the building differs from the Diwan-i-Sama at Delhi and that at Agra. The sand-stone railing is of curious design (Fig. 3). It cannot be said to be a successful treatment of a “barrier” in stone, and it is open to doubt whether stone can be suitably adapted to serve a purpose for which wood has obviously been used in the first place. The mutakka posts are not systematically spaced. The cross-bars, the highest of which are four feet from the ground, are supported by dwarf brackets where they butt into the vertical
post. The fragments of these two railings have been carefully preserved and strengthened. The one formed the barrier between the courtiers who were admitted to the Hall itself and the less privileged, whereas the other separated the latter from the multitude gathered in the quadrangle.

The raised platform is crowned by a red sand-stone coping (dāša). A careful examination of this coping by the late Mr. Tucker showed that the platform was not ascended directly in front of the jharokā. Indeed, the old stones clearly show that the railing at this point was uninterrupted and that therefore the entrance must have been at the sides. As regards the hall itself, Mr. Tucker succeeded in finding traces of the original sand-stone steps facing the jharokā. These have accordingly been reconstructed.

The case of conservation presented by the Diwan-i-am was indeed a difficult one. The whole roof was new and the arches supporting it were built of British bricks plastered over, while the shafts of the columns, their capitals and bases, the original work of the Mughal builders, had lost their coating of shell plaster. As a thorough removal of all modern additions would have resulted in only the jharokā and the columns being left, it was decided to retain the existing roof and arches, but to tone these down with colour-wash to harmonise with the red sand-stone, and to remove the military white-wash from the columns. The floor of modern bricks, which hid the lower base mouldings of the columns, was removed, disclosing the old lakhauri brick flooring, which has now been repaired and relaid in
places. As an architectural monument of the beginning of Shāh-jahān's reign the DIWĀN-I-ĀMM in its present dilapidated condition is certainly disappointing, but its many historical associations invest it with unusual interest. Some of these may here find a place.

AL BADÀNHĪ refers to the Hall of Public Audience (in his time the open courtyard only) being gorgeously decorated on the occasion of the new year's day (29th December 1567 A.D.) which was celebrated by the great Akbar with much pomp. The historian mentions 114 bays (aiwān), splendidly draped, and this number closely corresponds to the number of bays indicated on the old plan showing the Fort during the Sikh regime.¹

Manucci tells an amusing anecdote of the easy-going Jahāngīr who preferred to enjoy the good things of this world rather than to live up to the strict tenets of the Muhammadan religion. The story bears on the DIWĀN-I-ĀMM. Having acquired a taste for pork and wine from a visit to the house of the Jesuit fathers, he ate it publicly and frequently to the great dismay of the pious Muhammadans of his court, who explained to him that such meat and drink were forbidden by the Qurān. He enquired which religions permitted the drinking of wine and eating of pork at the same time, and was informed that only Christians were allowed this liberty. He then said he would become a Christian, sent for tailors to cut out European clothes, and ordered a search to be made for hats. The learned Muhammadans, Manucci goes on to say, thereupon took counsel together and said the king might eat and drink whatever he liked. This submissive answer did not give the Emperor the desired opportunity to aggravate them, so he ordered the casting of several pigs of solid gold, which he kept in the palace. When he awoke from sleep, he said he would rather see these pigs than the face of a Muhammadan. Shāh-jahān had them buried in front of the royal seat (the jharokā?) in the fortress of Lahore. "Many a time," Manucci adds, "I sat there with the governor of the fortress. He used to say to me that if he had the wealth then beneath his body he would be a very rich man."² Unfortunately none of these gold pigs came to light in the recent conservation work. Such valuable corpses would not long be left in peace.

Manucci relates in another passage how after the battle of Samūgah he rejoined Prince Dārā Shukoh at Lahore and found him in the Palace, probably in the DIWĀN-I-ĀMM. "There I arrived," he says, "at four o'clock in the afternoon, when Prince Dārā was actually seated giving audience. Quitting the cart, I threw my small wallet across my shoulder, and taking in my hands my bow and seven arrows, I entered the palace. When my commander Barendas Can (Barquandáz Khān) saw me he advanced to greet me, and after embracing me with great affection, he led me joyfully to the presence of the prince, just as I was. There I performed the usual obeisances and he (Dārā) with exceeding gladness exclaimed in a loud voice "Xabas'! Xabas'!" (Shābāsh! Shābāsh!) that is to say, "Bravo! Bravo!" His eyes brimming over with tears, he turned to his officers and said in a troubled tone: 'See, you others, the fidelity of this European Paramæ, who, although neither of my religion nor of my race, nor for long an eater of my salt, having only entered my service when these

¹ A. S. R. for 192-202, Calcutta, 1921, pp. 218 ff
² NI C. R. Marn, Storia dei Mogh., Vol. I, p. 159
wars began, came after me with such loyalty through the midst of such dangers; while those maintained by me for so long, and getting immense payments, with base ingratitude and utter disloyalty abandoned me when I had need of them, just as you others have seen."

It is uncertain whether in the days of Ranjit Singh, the Diwan-i-amm again fulfilled its original purpose, namely that of an audience hall, its official designation having been changed to Takht or "Throne." It would appear from Honigberger's account that after the Maharaja's death his body lay in state in the audience hall whence it was carried outside the Fort to be cremated on the spot now marked by Ranjit Singh's Samadhi.

The series of twelve rooms at the back of the Audience Hall has nothing particular to recommend it. They are treated with marble dados and stucco frescoes, but the original Mughal decoration has been replastered and repainted during the Sikh period. In this building took place the murder of Chet Singh, the rival of the Minister Dhyam Singh. The scene, which was witnessed and described in all its gruesome details by Colonel Gardner, adds to the romantic associations which surround the Diwan-i-amm. "Without uttering a whisper," Gardner says, "we stealthily crept our way in the dark up a flight of stairs, over a place called the 'Bakshah-i-Takht' [The Imperial Throne, i.e., the jharokha of the Diwan-i-amm], and thence to the immediate vicinity of the royal apartment. ........... Two torches had to be lit, and on entering the room where we expected to find the Minister it appeared to be empty; it was very long and narrow. Lal Singh, however, called out that he saw the glitter of a sword in one corner, and there cowered the wretched man, his hand upon his sword." Then followed the murder, the commencement of a period of anarchy and disorder, which resulted in the annexation of the Punjab by the British.

Gordon Sanderson.

---

2 Früchte aus dem Morgenlande, Wien 1852, pp. 110 ff.
EXPLORATION AND RESEARCH.

The most important work of research carried out in 1909-10 was undoubtedly Mr. Marshall's excavation at Bhīṭā near Allahabad, a preliminary note on which has appeared in the Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society. As Mr. Marshall proceeded on leave at the end of the cold season in which these explorations took place, a detailed account is not yet available for publication in the present Annual, but will, it is hoped, appear in the next volume. The special interest attaching to the Bhīṭā excavations lies in the circumstance that they constitute the first serious effort to explore the remains of an ancient Indian town. It is true that the town which once stood on this site (its name apparently was Vīchhī or Vīchhī-grāma) was only a small country-town, and on that account no startling finds of great historical interest were to be expected. Yet Mr. Marshall's excavations have thrown considerable light on the ordinary domestic architecture and on certain aspects of domestic life in ancient India. The results achieved are, therefore, of special interest in that they supplement the information derived from literary sources. As an instance I may mention that among the Bhīṭā finds there are several specimens of toy-tricycles of baked clay. It is from such an humble object that one of the most famous plays of ancient Indian literature, the Mṛcchhakathamā or "Little Clay Kart," received its title. The beautiful terra-cotta medallion found by Mr. Marshall in the house of the banker Jayavasuda and produced along with his preliminary note, reminds us of a scene from a not less famous Indian drama, the Śākuntalā. In the two men on the quadriga in the centre of the medallion we may perhaps see king Dushyantha and his charioteer who are being entreated by a hermit not to kill the antelope which has taken a refuge in Kanva's hermitage. We note, also, the hermit's hut and, in front of it, a girl watering the trees in which we may recognise Śākuntalā, the heroine of the play. The medallion, which must belong to the Śāṅga period, is no doubt much anterior to Kālidāsa, but it is well known that the subject of that author's most famous play was not invented by him. It forms an episode in the first book of the Mahābhārata, but it must be admitted that

2 The Mṛcchhakathamā has been rendered in almost every language of Europe. It was translated into English by H. H. Wilson (Theatre of the Hindoo, Vol. I) and by Dr. A. W. Ryder (Harvard Oriental Series, Vol. IX) and into German among others by Otto von Böhtlingk, and by Ludwig Fritz. The passage regarding the little clay cart occurs in the 6th Act. Wilson, op. cit. Vol. I, p. 206; Ryder's translation, pp. 94 ff.
the details of the terra-cotta agree with the dramatic and not with the epical version of the story and, on that account, the identification cannot be regarded as certain.

Inscribed seals and sealings, mostly of clay, were found in considerable number, and some of these have enabled Mr. Marshall to name the various houses after their former occupants. Though these persons were only simple burghers of a country town (as indeed was the case with most of the house-holders of Pompeii), their names add a certain amount of human interest to the houses in which they once lived their humble life and to the implements which they once used in their daily occupations. As regards the general plan of the houses, they all consist of a central courtyard enclosed by a row of rooms on the four sides. Hence the Sanskrit term chatukālā, meaning “a building of four halls (śāla).” It will be noticed that the plan of the Buddhist convent was developed out of that of the simple dwelling house, and again a later development is the Karavansarai of the Muhammadan period. Among the minor antiquities found in the Bhiṣṭā excavations there are several hundred terra-cotta figurines of men, women, and children, which range in date from the 4th to the 6th century A.D. Apart from their artistic interest, Mr. Marshall writes, “these figurines are valuable for the information they furnish as to the fashions in vogue during the Gupta period. Thus the modes of dressing the hair were as numerous then as they are among women to-day, and perhaps even more startling. The men, certainly, must have been foppish to a degree, with their long curls falling loose on one side only, or elaborated like a full Georgian wig, or coiffed with jewels in the Antoinette manner, or arranged more severely in the regal style of Persia.”

Here again we are reminded of an interesting literary parallel. When in the 9th Act of the Mṛcchakatākā the foolish brother-in-law of the king enters the Court of Justice to accuse the noble Chārudatta, he refers to his own elegant appearance in the following words:—

“I bathed where water runs and flows and purled;
I sat within a garden, park, and grove
With women, and with females, and with girls,
Whose lovely limbs with grace angelic move,
My hair is shone times done up tight, you see;
In locks, or curls, it hangs my forehead o’er;
Sometimes ’t is matted, sometimes hanging free;
And then again I wear a pompadour.
I am a wonder, I’m a wondrous thing,
And the husband of my sibister is the king.”

† M. Pouchet (Art gréco-bouddhique I, 147) in dealing with the sahāgrāma remarks: “Partant et toujours nous reconnaissons, à un ou plusieurs exemplaires, le même groupe architectural, formé de quatre corps de bâtiments se rejoignant à angle droit et formant exclusivement sur une cour intérieure. Tel est, peut-être, dans l’Inde, le type classique de la résidence d’imitation du vieux cātalaṇa, il est resté le modèle des charançons bâtis par les empereurs mogols.”

In Rījāt, 3, 13 mention is made of the foundation of a “Villa together with a quadrangle” (Vidāraṇa vi-chatukālaśa).

‡ All the antiquities unearthed have been deposited in the Indian Museum at Calcutta.

Dr. Ryder, whose translation I quote (p. 132), imitates the most striking peculiarity of Suvadhūnaka’s pataśa—his substitution of for s. Cf. Wilson, Theatre of the Hindus, Vol. I, p. 133.
One of the most interesting results of the Bhitā excavations relates to the use of bricks. I quote the following from Mr. Marshall’s preliminary note:

“None of the brick buildings that have been excavated on this site can, in my opinion, be assigned to an earlier date than the 4th century B.C., and there seems little chance of finding older ones here, though kiln-burnt bricks seem to have been in use on this site for about a century before that. Several broad trial trenches were sunk deep below the Manryan level, but in every case the brick débris terminated within a few feet of the Manryan buildings, though the deposits beneath extended down for 20 feet and more, before virgin soil was reached. I do not regard this as proof that the use of bricks was unknown in India before the 5th century B.C. It may well be that up to that time Bhitā had been occupied by an unimportant village, the houses of which would naturally have been of mud, as they still are in the India of to-day. My excavation of a portion of the city wall proves that it was built of brick, for the first time, in the Manryan epoch, and it can reasonably be supposed that the place was then growing in importance, and that the erection of the city wall marks, in fact, the conversion of the village into a town. On the other hand, it may be that kiln-burnt bricks were, in fact, unknown in this part of India before the 5th century B.C., and in that case it is quite possible that the town was originally defended, like the city of Paṭaliputra, by a wooden wall. The total absence of bricks in the lower strata certainly tallies well with the testimony of Megasthenes about the fortifications of Chandragupta’s capital; but the question is one which cannot be settled until some more important city sites have been examined.

“In spite, however, of the absence of paṇḍha buildings my excavation of the lower strata was not without interesting results. Thus concrete was found in use for flooring at least as far back as the 7th century B.C.; while another kind of pavement, which fell into disuse here after the introduction of kiln-burnt bricks, was composed of a thick layer of clay mixed with broken potsherds, the whole being afterwards burnt in situ, so as to form one unbroken slab of terra-cotta. Wheel-made pottery occurred in the earliest deposits of all, which can hardly be placed later than 1,200 B.C., and may be considerably earlier; and a fine black lustre ware with highly burnished surface was found, in company with rough terra-cotta figures, in deposits of the 7th or 8th century B.C. onwards.”

The results obtained on a second-rate site like Bhitā give some idea of what we may expect when the systematic exploration of the large cities of Takshaśilā (Taxila), Paṭaliputra and Vidiśa comes to be taken in hand.

In the Frontier Province Dr. Spooner turned his attention again to the site of Sahri-Bahlol, which in 1906-07 had yielded such a wealth of sculpture. The mound selected this time for exploration proved no less productive. Some two hundred pieces of sculpture came to light. These have now been added to the Peshawar Museum which bids fair to become the first depository of Gandhāran art. As Dr. Spooner went on leave shortly after the end of his excavations and on his return was transferred to the Eastern Circle, he missed the opportunity for a close study of his numerous finds. His paper, however, published in the present volume with numerous illustrations will convey an idea of the artistic and religious interest attaching to this new Sahri-Bahlol collection. Besides some very fine Buddha and Bōdhisattva images, it contains a large number of bas-reliefs, including several subjects not yet found in Graeco-Buddhist art. Among the latter I may mention particularly a very fine representation of the conversion of Aṅgulimāla or “Finger-garland,” the robber of Śrāvasti, who according to the legend told by Huen Tsang, used to wear a garland made of the fingers of his victims.

1 Arrian, it will be remembered, states (Chapter XI) that cities situated on the banks of rivers or on the sea coast were built of wood, while those in higher and dryer situations were built of brick and mud.
I would further remark on a number of elongated panels which seem to refer to jātalas, or stories of Buddha's previous existences. They have so far only partially been identified, but one series certainly illustrates the story of 'the Merchant who kicked his mother,' a tale primarily intended to exemplify the doctrine of karma. It occurs also among the sculptures on the Borobudur of Java. It is curious that on the Sahri-Baholi sculptures Maitrakanyaka, the hero of the story, is shown traveling on horseback, his merchandise being loaded on a Bactrian camel, a horse and a bullock. The sculptor evidently adapted these details to his environments. The discovery of these panels indicates that jātaka scenes were as favoured a subject with the sculptors of Gandhāra as with their brethren of Central India and Mathurā. It is curious that in later Buddhist sculpture hardly any jātalas are found, at least in India proper, whereas they blossom forth again in the Hindu art of Java and on the monuments of Pagan, the ancient capital of Burma.

Dr. Spooner's finds include a very remarkable female statue holding in both hands a little shrine, apparently meant to contain some precious object, and a head with so striking a profile that it can be hardly anything but a portrait. It will be seen from Dr. Spooner's paper that the head appears to have belonged to a statue which formed the counterpart of the female image, and that, in his opinion, both represented the princely donors of the sanctuary, in the ruins of which they came to light. This interpretation seems perfectly plausible, but I very much doubt whether sculptures of such a pronounced classical style can have belonged to so late a period as the reign of king Huvishka, whose effigy Dr. Spooner wants us to recognise in the portrait head. Indeed, a comparison of this head with Huvishka's coinage, on which Dr. Spooner bases his theory, seems to me to afford strong evidence against the proposed identification. It is true that in the course of the Sahri-Baholi excavation a Sasanian silver coin was found which has been assigned to as late a period as the 4th century A.D. It is evident, however, that on a single coin no conclusion can be based with regard to the date of the buildings in the ruins of which it was found.

In connection with the study of the Greco-Buddhist school of Gandhāra, that of the closely allied Mathurā school affords important data. In the course of the year, Pandit Radha Krishna, the Honorary Assistant-Curator of the Mathurā museum, has again added a considerable number of sculptures and inscriptions to the collection in his charge. These will be discussed by me in a special article in the present volume. Here it will suffice to say that the finds in question confirm our previous conclusions with regard to the date of the Mathurā school. It was particularly in the Kushāṇ period that this school flourished. One of the new acquisitions is an inscribed statuette of a male in Scythian dress which probably represents a donor. The inscriptions, mostly in the Brähmi script of the Kushāṇ variety, point to the same conclusion. It deserves notice that among the nine newly recovered epigraphs of the Kushāṇ period, no less than six may be assigned to the reign of Huvishka, and three of these actually contain his name. We are,
therefore, perhaps justified in identifying the palmy days of the Mathurā school in particular with the reign of that monarch.

Mr. Cousens has contributed to the present Annual a detailed account of his exploration of the Buddhist stūpa of Mirpur-Khās in Sind, which, as far as I know, is the first ancient monument of the kind systematically excavated in that province. Mr. Cousens’ researches resulted in the discovery of a deposit of relics which, however, were not accompanied by any data relating to the age of the building. For the dating of the Mirpur-Khās stūpa our safest guide is the style of the terra-cotta Buddha figures with which it was found adorned. The curious circumstance that the plinth was encased, apparently very shortly after the completion of the monument, renders it practically certain that those terra-cotta panels are not later additions. Their most striking feature are the elaborately sculptured haloes. We know that such haloes are peculiar to stone images of the Gupta epoch and we may, therefore, attribute the terra-cottas to the 4th, 5th or 6th century. Mr. Cousens arrives at a somewhat earlier date. In his opinion, the stūpa of Mirpur-Khās cannot be ascribed to a later date than A.D. 400 and is possibly even earlier.

Whether there has existed an earlier structure on the spot is, as Mr. Cousens rightly remarks, a matter of surmise. There is no indication as to the origin of the relics found in the stūpa. All we can say is that, in all probability, the builders of the monument regarded them as corporeal remains of either the Buddha himself or of one of the early Arhats of the Buddhist Church.

The Mirpur-Khās stūpa remained a place of worship down to the early Arab invasion in Sind (A.D. 715), as is evident from the occurrence of inscribed tablets of the well known type assignable to the 7th or 8th century and from Arab coins found together with them in the débris. So here, as elsewhere in India, it appears to have been the Moslem occupation which made an end of Buddhism.

Mr. Cousens, on the strength of a passage in the Mujmal-at-tawārikh quoted by General M. R. Haig, conjectures that the existence of Buddhist monuments in Sind may be due to an invasion in that country by a king of Kashmir. Even if this invasion could be proved to be a historical fact, the proposed explanation does not seem to me to be very plausible. Buddhism, no doubt, flourished in Kashmir under the powerful patronage of the Kушān kings1, but there is no evidence that the later rulers of Kashmir were particularly partial to that religion. Besides, military expeditions are in their character more destructive than constructive.

Would it not be a more natural assumption that Buddhism spread over Sind from Gandhāra which, as we know, was a great centre of that faith in the early centuries of the Christian era? We can point to a link in the stūpa of Suē Vihār in the Bahāwalpur State, the foundation of which, as recorded on a copperplate, took place in the 11th year of the reign of Kaniṣḥa.2 This would account for the close relationship, noted by Mr. Cousens, between certain decorative devices found on the Buddhist monuments of Sind and on those of Gandhāra.

It is a matter of sincere regret that the article on the stūpa of Mirpur-Khās, which I have here summarized, will in all probability be the last contribution from Mr. Cousens' pen to the Annual Reports of the Department, owing to that officer's impending retirement from the Government service. His accurate and always perfectly illustrated accounts will be sorely missed. Another constant collaborator has been removed from our pages by a sadder fate—Dr. Theodor Bloch whose death occurred at Calcutta on the 20th October 1909. His papers regularly published in the Archaeological Annual since it was initiated, bear testimony to his wide knowledge and fine scholarship.

The present Annual contains one article by a contributor not belonging to the Archaeological Department, and it is to this article that I wish to call special attention on account of its exceptional interest. Thanks to Mr. Marshall's strong recommendations, it was arranged with the Moravian Mission Board that the services of the Rev. Mr. (now Dr.) A. H. Francke, the well known Tibetan scholar, should be placed at the disposal of the Government of India for a special tour of archaeological research in the mountain tracts of the Panjāb and Kashmir bordering on Tibet. The proposed expedition was attended with grave risks owing to the very difficult nature of the country to be traversed. Mr. Francke, however, was singularly fitted for the task both through his knowledge of Tibetan and his intimate acquaintance with Ladakh and Lahul where he had already spent many years both in missionary work and scientific research. The main results of his tour, which in due course will be published separately, have here been very briefly summarized, and although this summary largely deals with inscriptions, it has been given a place in the present section. Any further comment on Mr. Francke's work is superfluous, but I wish to take the opportunity to record our great indebtedness to the Moravian Mission Board for having lent us his invaluable services.

J. Ph. Vogel.
EXCAVATIONS AT SAHRIBAHLOL.\(^1\)

When it became evident that further work at Shāh-ji-ki-Dhērī was impossible for the present, owing to the attitude of the owner of the land, I decided to return to Sahribahlool and explore another of the many mounds in that vicinity. The one selected (numbered 1066 in the general plan of the environs of Sahribahlool made for the Peshāwar office through the generosity of the Director General of Archaeology, and now published for the first time, *vide* Plate XII), was a fairly large tumulus measuring 250 feet in diameter and situated about half a mile to the north-west of the village. In form it was nearly round, with a maximum height of some ten or twelve feet above the plain, and save for two deep pits on the northern edge it appeared to be intact. No walls were visible anywhere, nor were there any surface indications as to the position of the monuments underground. Their nature, however, was sufficiently indicated by the stones with which the top of the mound was strewn, among which a considerable number of sculptural fragments were noticeable. Assuming, therefore, that the mound covered the ruins of some stūpa, with or without a monastery attached, and desiring first of all to determine in which part of the site the monastic quadrangle had lain, supposing one to have existed, I led two trenches through the mound at right angles to each other, which enabled me after a few days to form a judgment as to the general plan of the site as a whole.

These original trenches were not carried down to any considerable depth, for it very soon became noticeable that, as we left the surface, stone fragments became increasingly numerous on the west, while in the eastern portion of the mound they almost disappeared. The inference was therefore easy that such stūpas or other religious structures as had existed at this site lay to the west, while the eastern side had been occupied by a monastic quadrangle whose walls were for the most part *kaotch*. On this assumption the further deepening of our original trenches on the

\(^1\) The fact of my transfer to the Kashmir Circle on my return from leave in 1910 and the visit to Sahribahlool during my Annual Report for the Frontier Circle for 1909-10 was written, the first portion of this paper is a reprint of the account there given.
north and east was abandoned, and our attention concentrated on clearing, first of all, the western side of the mound.

Here the first definite building that was met with was the round stūpa base shown at the point 22-76 on the site plan published with this paper (Plate XIII), and against this as a background a headless and otherwise damaged figure of a seated Buddha was found still in situ. A further seated Buddha, still in its original position, was found south of this round stūpa at the point 21-80 on the plan, but the wall against which this must have been placed, apparently that of the main structure at this site, had entirely disappeared save for its veriest foundation; and even this is traceable only on the eastern side. Originally there appears to have been a square building here, of which this was the eastern face, and the seated Buddha found at this spot, as well as a seated Boddhisattva in situ on the south (Plate XIX, fig. a) points to the conclusion that it was once richly decorated with sculptures. But although a substantial stone foundation had been laid for this building, which is traceable to the west of this eastern wall, the further outline of the building could not be followed, and it appears probable that the walls themselves were kuscha, with merely a stone base covered with plaster, as is the case with the only portion now remaining. It is, therefore, difficult to determine the exact nature of this structure, but the comparative strength and solidity of the foundations suggest that the building was a fairly high and heavy one; and the large number of sculptural finds made in the débris at this point would seem to signify that here was the main monument of this community. If this is so, it is perhaps singular that the main structure should have been built of more perishable materials than some of the minor ones for, as can be seen from the plan, other buildings were found which were comparatively well preserved in parts, but it is worthy of notice that precisely the same phenomenon was observable in the other mound, south of Sahribahalol, which was excavated in 1907.1

The square stucco platforms at 11-73, 12-50, 14-56, 20-81 and 22-84 on the site-plan appear to be the remains of similar structures on a smaller scale. Numberless sculptural fragments, in both stone and stucco, were found in connection with them, but as a rule these were not of such importance as those associated with what must have been the principal monument, and it is furthermore noticeable that this latter rises from a well-laid stone pavement, whereas the others are all of them off this pavement, and therefore outside the main centre of the site. In no place do the traceable remains of these minor buildings rise to a height of more than 6 or 7 inches. But their nature as religious structures (whether temples or stūpas one cannot be certain) is definitely assured by the little seated Buddha figures which are found in the centre of each of the four sides, wherever anything of the wall has been preserved at this point.

Another building rising from the main stone pavement and considerably better preserved than those discussed above is the square stūpa at 20-74 on the site-plan, only a few feet to the north-west of the round stūpa which was the first to be found. This is very solidly constructed of stone faced with stucco, and must originally have

---

1 The mound excavated in 1907 is numbered 1103 on the general plan. It has never hitherto been possible to record its exact position relative to the village, in the absence of a large-scale map of the locality, but such record is of importance, as excavated sites in
been a highly ornamental building. On its south face portions of a large and very elaborate sculpture were found in situ, shown in Plate XIX, fig. b, and also the bases of other stone sculptures with which the stūpa was originally decorated; while the eastern face shows a frieze of Buddha figures in stucco, between Corinthian pilasters, surmounted by a cornice, above which ran yet another stucco frieze representing apparently legendary scenes from the life of the Buddha, on a scale quite exceptional for a building of such small dimensions. Of this second and more important frieze, no trace of which remains on the other three sides, only three figures are preserved on the eastern face near the south-east corner of the monument. Of these the largest is a sadly damaged figure of the Buddha, standing, and apparently turned to the left in the direction of two children whose figures are better preserved, although one of them is headless. The one nearest the Buddha is seated on the ground while the other, who is nude, is standing at the extreme left of the composition. It seems probable, therefore, that the scene intended is the child’s offering of the Handful of Dust, but certainly hardly possible in the present condition of the monument. At all events, enough is left to prove that the decoration in stucco on this stūpa was of an exceptional and highly interesting nature, and it is much to be deplored that so large a proportion of it has perished.

A further point of interest in regard to this stūpa is that facing the centre of its southern side is a large stone pedestal of some standing Buddha figure, whose feet are turned towards the stūpa itself, while on the west occurs a second pedestal where the feet are turned to the east. Both sculptures must, therefore, have faced the stūpa itself, but the reason for this is not quite clear, since the space between these pedestals and the stūpa is barely sufficient for a man to pass. A third pedestal, or rather in this case, a structural socket for a pedestal, was found to the west of the small and damaged platform apparently representing another stūpa closely adjoining the former on the north-west, and a fourth must have been placed at a corresponding point on the east of this building, as is inferable from the fact that it was at these points that we found the colossal Buddha figures to be mentioned later (See Plate XXII, figs. b and c). But from the position in which these figures were lying it is evident that they faced the north, so that here they cannot have been turned towards the monument by which they stood. This seems a much more natural arrangement, but the fact remains indisputable that in the other cases the pedestals face toward the stūpa.

The only remaining building of importance in the western portion of the site lies beyond the edge of the stone pavement, and is a small square stūpa-base of massive construction and well-preserved (Cf. Plate XIV, figs. a and b). As usual this is faced with stucco, and is ornamented with a frieze of five seated Buddha figures on each side between Corinthian pilasters, all coloured red, and surmounted by a modillion cornice. But the general design of this decoration is familiar, and the friezes call for no special comment, beyond observing that attention appears to have been given to varying the postures of the hands, figures showing the attitude of meditation where the hands lie one above the other in the lap, alternating regularly on two sides with those where the right arm is bent at the elbow and portrayed as wrapped in the garment.

It also should be added that all these stūpas were opened and examined down to
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A level well below their foundations, but nothing of any significance was found except one small copper coin too badly corroded for identification.

The monastic quadrangle as such presents no new features of importance, and yielded little beyond one remarkably fine stone lamp of large size (fig. 1) and a considerable number of inscribed pottery fragments. The various minor structures shown in the plan as occurring in the centre of the quadrangle admit of no certain explanation. Some of them show so close an approach to alignment that one would like to explain them as the bases of pillars supporting a verandah around the inner face of the cubicles, but unfortunately the only structural portion in most cases is so far above the apparent level of the floor as to make this interpretation doubtful.

To the south of the main quadrangle containing the chambers of the monks, two large rooms were found, of which one was presumably the hall of conference for the little community of bhikshus. It was in the western one of these that the majority of the pottery fragments were found, but these were too badly broken for any definite conclusions. The word *parigraha* occurs on two potsherds, but this, which is a familiar word in dative formulae, merely means “for the acceptance of” and, therefore, sheds no light on the identity of the monastery.

For the following notes on the coins recovered in the course of the excavations I am indebted to Professor Rapson and Mr. Hargreaves, to the latter of whom fell the task of cleaning the finds, as opportunity for this work had not offered previously. Professor Rapson I consulted in regard to a Sassanian silver coin which we could not trace in India, and it is to his courtesy that I owe the following interesting note. Professor Rapson writes:

“It is a most interesting specimen. So far as I know, it is an unpublished variety. It is Sassanian in type and fabric, but it bears an inscription which shows that it was struck by the Kidara or Little Kushan (see my *Indian Coins*, p. 19, § 76). I should describe it as follows:

*Obverse.*—Bust of King r. (apparently imitated from the coins of the Sassanian King Shapur II, A.D. 310—380). In front of face, inscription in Gupta characters = *Kidara Kushana-dātha.*

*Reverse.* Sassanian fire-altar with attendants: in exergue, date illegible (traces of three characters).

Although this variety seems to be new, it resembles in regard to certain features two known varieties:

(1) in regard to the inscription and the date, cf. Cunningham, *Num. Chron.* 1893, p. 199, pl. XV (VI), Nos. 1 and 2. The inscription seems to be almost the same as on this specimen, except that it appears to be preceded by *ṣr,* of which I can see no traces here. The date may be the same Cunningham supposed it to be = 330, and suggested that it might be Šaka = A.D. 417. I confess that I am not satisfied that this reading is correct. The numerals appear to me to be *ṭaṭ.* The decimal figure seems certain = 30: the unit may = 9: but to my eyes the first numeral seems doubtful = 2 = 100.

(2) in regard to the portrait, cf. Cunningham, *Num. Chron.* 1894, p. 276, pl. IX (VII), Nos. 1 and 2. No. 1 is probably imitated, like this specimen, from a coin of Shapur II, No. 2 from one.
of Varahran IV (A.D. 389—399). These coins which bear inscriptions in corrupt Greek characters are attributed by Cunningham to the White Huns."

I am indebted to Mr. H. Hargreaves for the following note on the remaining coins found at Sahribahlol:

"In all 67 copper coins were cleaned, but of these 63 were so badly corroded that neither figures nor legends were traceable on any of them.

"Three, however, bear traces of the long skirted figure so characteristic of the Kushây kings, but not a single specimen can, with any certainty, be assigned to a particular ruler.

"One copper coin, in good condition, of Soter Megas was also recovered and one silver coin of the Sassanian King Varahân II.

"This last is quite independent of the Sassanian coin identified by Professor Rapson in his letter dated 22nd September 1910.

"I attach a detailed account of the two legible coins.

I.—SASSANIAN COIN, SILVER.

Obverse.—Bust of King to right. Curved plume behind head-dress. Seeming legend on right edge which is probably Varahân.

Reverse.—Fire-altar with two attendants. The Reverse is much worn.

(b) Smith. Cat. of Coins. I. M. p. 225, No. 12 (3).

II.—COIN, SOTER MEGAS.

Obverse.—Bust of King right, radiate; lance with streamers in right hand, behind.

Reverse.—BACIÆV BACIÆWUND CWTHP M. . . . . . . . Poor Script. King right on horseback with sceptre (?) ; behind head two streamers.

A better specimen than in the B. M. Catalogue.

Reference.—The monogram seems to have four lines, not three as usual. Gardner p. 144 No. 2.

Turning now to the sculptural finds of the season, it may safely be affirmed that in their variety, their extent and their relatively good state of preservation, they constitute an important addition to our material for the study of the school. Whatever Sahribahlol may have been in ancient times, it was certainly a most important centre of the Buddhist cult, and each fresh excavation tends only to increase our wonder at the immense wealth of sculpture accumulated here, and our regret that the identity of the site remains undetermined.

It is intended to deal with these fragments, the majority of which appear to date from the mid-Gandhâra period, in as orderly a sequence as the subject permits, and a beginning will accordingly be made with decorative reliefs. As can be seen from Plate No. XV, the collection includes some of very admirable workmanship, and a few of novel design. Even the well-known motif of Erotes carrying a long serpentine garland presents here a new feature in that the alternate figures wear each a long coat falling to the knee, which gives one the impression that the sculpture marks an incipient protest against the traditional nudity of even these childish figures, in other words, that this type is intermediate between the usual Gandhâra style where the Erotes are nude, and the later Mathurâ type, where, as Dr. Vogel shows, they are regularly draped. But it would be unwise to base any far-reaching
conclusions on such slight evidence, and against the probability of such significance attaching to this detail is the fact that in spiritedness of attitude as well as in delicacy of execution, this relief is certainly not to be relegated to any period of advanced decadence, or of far departure from the Hellenistic prototype. Indeed the non-Indian nature of several of the decorative panels recovered this year would seem to indicate definitely that chronologically the site is a truly ancient one, which, at least in its origins, must date back to a time when the Buddhists of Gandhāra appreciated and approved of foreign motifs all undisguised. Witness the delightful frieze of winged Atlantes (Plate No. XV, fig. b), which in artistic feeling, as well as in subject matter and execution, belongs almost certainly to an early period of Gandhāra art, for it is difficult to trace much or any purely Indian art influence in it. With the exception of the frieze of river gods in the British Museum and a couple of panels in the possession of Dr. Lankester in Peshawar, few sculptures are known which point more clearly to the Hellenistic inspiration behind the Gandhāra school, although the present fragment is by no means the equal of those others. Again the frieze of Tritons and fabulous winged monsters, of dragon-like appearance (shown in Plate No. XV, fig. a) is definitely un-Indian in conception and of an execution known only to the older period of this school. The same is, in less degree, true of the remaining figure on the same plate, the long and narrow frieze with various figures, both human and animal, artistically disposed in the loops of a particularly graceful vine scroll, with bunches of grapes at intervals. Here, however, Indian influence is betrayed by the choice of the figurative subjects. The lion, the parrot and the monkeys are more Indian than otherwise, but the application of the vine, itself not an indigenous plant in India, to such artistic use is definitely an importation from the west, as has been repeatedly pointed out. Fragments of other similar friezes were also recovered at this site, and these also show familiar Indian animal forms, the monkey, the deer, and the peacock even, but no other continuous frieze was found except the one illustrated, and even this had to be pieced together from several fragments. The last fragment of this class which calls for mention here is the one pictured in fig. a of Plate XVI. Its exact significance is unknown to me, but it appears to be symbolical, representing a large circular vase in the centre, evidently containing lotuses, this object being flanked on either side by banners of streamers dependent from a triangular frame, surmounted by something in the nature of a glorified pompon at the top. There also appear to be bosses at the points where the several streamers join the actual frame, and the whole design must be acknowledged as highly ornamental. But what the precise meaning of either these banners or the jar of lotuses may be (unless both are purely ornamental), it is impossible for me to say. In general effect the streamers at least remind one inevitably of the Japanese Gohai with which, however, it is not suggested that they have any historical affinity, although it is perhaps not absolutely impossible, despite the association of Gohai with Shintoism. In Japan such streamers are said to represent offerings (i.e., to stand for the rags one sees tied to trees and shrines both in Japan and in India) and it is at least conceivable that some such meaning should attach to the present banners, although there is little or nothing on which to base an assertion of the kind. The central vase or jar occurred also in the centre of the main frieze on the little
stūpa excavated south of Sahribahlol in 1907, now represented only by the plaster cast in the Peshawar Museum. But an ancient intaglio in the Peshawar collection shows the same motif, and it seems reasonable to suppose that some special significance must have attached to it. It is worth noticing, however, before leaving this bas-relief, that the various panels of the frieze here seem to have been divided off by tall plantain leaves (or are they palms?) rising from handled jars or vases, which is a device by no means common in Gandhāra.

The next section to be considered embraces the legendary scenes recovered this year, and although these are not strikingly numerous, they are exceptionally valuable as including a number of subjects not hitherto found. Unfortunately my transfer to Bengal shortly after the completion of the Sahribahlol excavations deprived me of the opportunity of making any personal study of the originals, but Dr. Vogel has succeeded in piecing several of the fragments together, and in interpreting others, so that some account may be given of the year’s yield even now. A more extensive treatment of certain individual pieces, will, I understand, be published by Dr. Vogel himself elsewhere.

Perhaps the finest single bas-relief among those representing new subjects is the one illustrated in Plate XVI, fig. d, which Dr. Vogel very cleverly interprets as the Aṅgulimāla legend. As in sculptures of the Dipākāravatī-jātaka, so here too, the main figure in the story (apart from the Buddha) is depicted more than once, first attacking his own mother, then assaulting the Buddha, and then in his final act of submission, where he lies prostrate at the Buddha’s feet, with his garland of severed human fingers and his sword lying neglected on the ground. Indeed the posture of this prostrate figure, with his hair out-spread, is a further detail of similarity between this sculpture and that of the jātaka above-mentioned. The fragmentary scene below the Aṅgulimāla plaque awaits identification, but the presence of numerous monks would seem to point to some monastic subject, or some special discourse of the Buddha to his followers. But whatever may have served to differentiate the scene has been unhappily lost, and in the present condition of the sculpture no more precise identification seems possible. The great vigour of the attacking figure in the upper panel and indeed the exceptional delicacy of the execution throughout, notably in the case of the monkish heads in the lower scene, put this sculpture indubitably among those of the better period of Gandhāra art.

Another bas-relief, or rather series of bas-reliefs (now sadly incomplete) which Dr. Vogel has identified, are shown in the several figures of Plate No. XVII representing the travels and adventures of Maitrakanyaka, from his meeting with the two damsels and his subsequent dalliance with them (in the upper scene), through his later adventures with increasing numbers, up to his final punishment of the burning wheel.

I believe Dr. Vogel further suggests that the long frieze of ornate chariots (fig. a of plate No. XVIII) and the sculpture with the elephant (Plate No. XVIII, fig. e) depict the Viśvantara-jātaka. But although in the latter fragment the young man leading the elephant certainly does appear to hold a vessel with the water of donation and may therefore indeed represent this one of the prince’s gifts, I cannot myself explain the uncouth figure at the right of the composition, nor can I see that the relief
with the chariots in any way suitably represents this story. The continued presence in the chariot (assuming the same one to be represented over and over again) of three riders up to the last scene, where evidently one has dismounted and joined the leader, who is here, as elsewhere, on foot, in converse with a Brahman, seems to make against this interpretation. The gift of the chariot ought, furthermore, on the analogy of the Jamālāgraśī sculpture, to precede the gift of the children, but here there appears to be no process of gradual elimination at all, nor does the royal couple at the extreme left seem to be a relevant composition; unless, indeed, in the scene just preceding this we have actually the gift of the first child, and here on the left the gift of the second. The kneeling figure may perchance be the child, supplicating his generous but most un-Christian parents, and the figure in the background may be the wicked Brahman. But what then are the gifts associated with the two earlier occurrences of the chariot, and why was not the chariot itself sacrificed before the children? Dr. Vogel’s discussion of the scene will be awaited with interest.

Another new relief in regard to which Dr. Vogel had made suggestions is the one illustrated by fig. c of Plate No. XVIII, wherein we see a Brahman and a woman conversing before two royal figures over a kind of tab or box resting on the ground and evidently containing three men. Dr. Vogel is reminded of the amusing story in the Kathāsāristāgara, where the faithful wife contrives in the absence of her husband to lock up her importunate suitors in a box, which is subsequently carried before the king and opened. And so far this is the only story which has occurred to anybody which could even remotely be suggested by this relief. However, the difficulties in the way of so interpreting this sculpture are many. No queen is mentioned in the Kathāsāristāgara, nor does the Brahman hermit appear appropriate. If only the right-hand end of the stone were unbroken, probably the meaning of the scene would be more certain. As it is, if we are to connect it with the story of Upakāśa and her three entangled lovers, we shall have to assume that the bowed figure on the right is one of the porters, that the pensive female is the faithful wife again, and that the little dēva in the tree is an applauding witness of the removal to the palace of the box with its guilty freight, in other words, that the fragment represents an earlier scene in the story, presumably the removal of the box, followed by its opening in the royal presence. If this is so, it is indeed deplorable that the other acts in the drama are missing, for they must have been of a highly entertaining order. But the final discussion of this sculpture, as of all those hitherto mentioned, I must leave to Dr. Vogel.

The interesting fragment shown in fig. b of Plate XVI appears to be the long awaited duplicate of the one M. Poucher calls “La Prayeur d’Ānanda” but, if so, it is regrettably disappointing. No other story is known, apparently, in which the Buddha actually passes his arm through a solid stone wall, but unfortunately the person beside the supposed Ānanda, carrying the curious cruciform object, seems hopelessly irrelevant to this particular story, nor do we find the greatly desired figure of the vulture. The interpretation of the scene, therefore, must remain open to grave doubt, but the Calcutta relief discussed by M. Poucher is certainly the nearest parallel to this new find of any hitherto recovered.

A plaque for which an interpretation has so far presented itself is the one shown in

---

*Cf. L’art gréco-bouddhique du Gandhâra*: p. 138, fig. 218.
fig. 6 of plate XVIII. Whether the curiously bent figure in the centre of the composition between the two trees is the Buddha, as one suspects despite the seeming absence of a halo, and whether again this person, whoever it be, is meant to be standing in fire or water, or to be just rising from or alighting on the ground, cannot be determined from the photograph in my possession. Apparently the three figures on the left are all males, as also the kneeling figure behind the tree on the right. The two behind this may also be men, and probably are, but the identity of them all remains uncertain. The kneeling figure is evidently trying to hide, and in this respect the sculpture reminds one of the attempted escape of Nanda, the first representation of which was found at Sabribahlol in 1907, but neither of the two trees in the present composition shows any inclination toward quitting its natural position in the ground, and the real significance of the bas-relief remains unknown.

Curiously enough, few fragments of legendary scenes familiar from previous excavations were recovered. Of these, one very badly damaged piece represents the departure from Kapilavastu, with Kanthaka facing, and another is part of the Dipankara-jāṭhaka (Plate XVI, fig. c). This sculpture is most unfortunately incomplete, and shows only the Buddha Dipankara (with head; right hand and left foot missing) and the thrice repeated figure of the boy, (a) standing with the girl (whose figure is preserved in a damaged condition on the left), (b) about to throw the lotuses at Dipankara, and (c) prostrate on the ground. But it is evident from what remains of the sculpture, that in every way it was most exceptional, and our failure to recover the missing fragments is much to be regretted. For so far as I know, no legendary scene on so large a scale as this has ever been found, and the individual figures in the composition are carved in such high relief that they stand out almost as though cut in the round, while the unusual size of the sculpture renders all the details exceptionally clear. The elaborate balconies above the street, which appear to have been crowded with spectators, from such traces as now remain, would have been particularly interesting. But for some curious reason, even the most diligent and careful search failed to reveal any further fragments of this piece.

Another sculpture which appears to represent a familiar subject is shown in fig. d of Plate No. XVIII. But whether this is really the Mahāparinirvāṇa or not, is rendered somewhat doubtful by the position of the recumbent figure, with head to the right, and the absence of a halo, as well as by the wide deviation of the composition in general from that type of the death-scene to which we are accustomed. In all probability the plaque does represent the death of the Buddha, but if this view is correct, it certainly forms an interesting variant. The almost exceptional clumsiness with which the reclining figure is drawn calls for no emphasis. Yet it is only in the feet and legs that this clumsiness occurs here, for otherwise the figure is not merely a standing figure laid on its side. The position of the head on the pillow is not altogether unsuccessful. Perhaps the absence of the halo tended to simplify the problem.

Of the Bodhisattva figures (as I may continue to designate them at least until the second volume of M. Foucher's great work appears, and he is able to elaborate his theory as to the non-occurrence of such figures in Gandhāra), two relatively intact sculptures, one standing and the other seated, show that type of headdress with the tapering columnar support for a medallion in front which in previous papers I
have endeavoured to associate with Avalokiteśvara. Neither of these, however (they are negatives 658 and 662 in the Peshāvar office), calls for remark, beyond the fact that the seated figure (Plate XIX, fig. a) was found in situ as mentioned above, at the point on the plan covered by the square 21-31, and some doubt as to the restoration of the head may reasonably be entertained. It does not seem to look quite right on the body, but the edge of the break appeared to fit satisfactorily. A more interesting image is the large one shown in Plate XXI, fig. b, where the headress is also of a type I associate with Avalokiteśvara, and indeed what I should be tempted to style one of the older forms of his headress, as it contains those winged, dragon-like monsters among its ornaments which seem elsewhere to be associated with more purely Hellenistic compositions. In other words, I should myself be inclined to put this type of headress with pronouncedly Hellenistic motifs, back nearly to the true Bactrian period of Gandhāra art in its origins, and as Avalokiteśvara is one of the oldest of the Bodhisattvas, and the form of his headress conventionalized and stereotyped at a somewhat later date is only a variation of this type, I see no difficulty in identifying the present figure with this divinity. The facial types, too, of almost all the sculptures of this class have a strong family resemblance; not that I would assign any actual individuality or personality to them, as they are all far too schematic. But, for all that, it may still be possible that this recurring sameness does indicate an intended identity of subject. And if so, I should certainly call that subject Avalokiteśvara.

Of Bodhisattvas showing the loop of hair associated with Maitreya, the Peshāvar negative 656 pictures a large standing figure in good condition (Plate XIX, fig. d), except that part of the halo and both hands are missing. The face, which is moustached, is comparatively well-modelled, and the expression, although somewhat morose perhaps, is not unpleasing, and at all events indicates the abstraction of meditation with considerable success. The pedestal shows a seated Bodhisattva with two worshipping figures standing on either side. The two seated figures of the Maitreya type shown in figs. d and e of Plate XX are both inferior to this.

The latter is distinctly closer in style to No. 656 and in face is by no means displeasing. But that of fig. d is, I should say, considerably later than either of the others, and betrays a coarseness of modelling both in body and face which can only be explained as Indianization. The treatment of the hair, too, is definitely inferior and shows an interesting tendency toward elaboration.

Fig. e also shows an Indianized figure, seated in the usual Indian fashion on a Simhāsana whose front is adorned with a tall urn and four worshipping figures. The same urn occurs on the pedestal in fig. d, although in devotional scenes usually found on pedestals, such an urn as this is very rare, as an object of worship. Dr. Vogel suggests that it may perhaps stand in reality for the alabastron which regularly occurs in Maitreya's left hand. Compare the common occurrence of the Buddha's attribute, the alms-bowl, in the same position on the pedestals of Buddha images. The headress is a lofty kroûbos of curly hair bound with ropes of pennis, and in general effect is not very far removed from the headress of the former figure. But whether or not Maitreya is really intended is at best a moot point.

A considerable number of large, standing images of the Buddha were found, and
most of them in unusually good preservation. The largest and otherwise the best of these were the colossal statues shown in Plate XXII, figs. b and c, which were found lying, face downwards, with their heads to the north on either side of the ruined structure shown in 17-74 on the plan, having evidently stood originally on pedestals beside this building with their faces to the north. Both of these are in the main uninjured. The feet have suffered, and the separately carved hands broken off, but the latter were recovered and can be restored. The faces of both are in excellent preservation, save for a slight injury to one nose, and the drapery is practically intact. One has lost its halo, which makes the other appear larger, but that they formed a pair is indubitable. They measure now 8 feet 6 inches and 9 feet 8 inches respectively, and when set up on pedestals in the Museum, will form a most dignified and impressive addition to our collections, which effect would be greatly enhanced, if the gold-leaf with which they were originally covered could be restored.

The others are not without value also, but none of them call for detailed mention, as none of them show any novel features. But it is perhaps worth noticing that the majority show the naturalistic treatment of the hair, and that all of these standing figures have the right shoulder covered. It is also remarkable that none of the pedestals appear to have been decorated. They may indeed all have been let into sockets, as appears certainly to have been the case with the two colossal figures.

But whereas in this particular collection of sculptures there thus appears to be a distinct preference for draping both shoulders in the case of standing figures, the same does not hold good of seated images. Some few occur with both shoulders covered, but here the majority is the other way. Nor does the evidence of these seated figures, of which there are a considerable number, go to indicate any chronological significance in this detail. Some sculptures have the right shoulder bared, which, on stylistic grounds, must be classed as earlier than others where both are covered, and the converse is equally true. It is, however, noticeable that in the present group there is an overwhelming preference for the naturalistic treatment of the hair. Indeed there is only one sculpture among the seated Buddhas recovered this year which have been photographed, which shows the canonical arrangement in little curls, and this one is clearly Indianized. One would like to explain this as a further indication of the comparative antiquity of this collection as a whole, but the evidence on this point is far too inconclusive as yet for any definite assertions of the kind.

As for the pedestals of these seated figures, they show a considerable variety. The favourite ornament is a central seated divinity, preferably a Boddhisattva, with two kneeling devotees on either side. These, I believe, are supposed generally to represent the donors of the image. In two cases there are three such figures on either side, standing with clasped hands, while on one pedestal shows three divine figures seated some distance apart with two worshippers kneeling towards the central figure, and one standing beside each of the other seated images with hands folded as usual. Another pedestal shows no such group at all, being merely draped in, the folds of the Buddha's garment as it falls over the front of his seat. But the most interesting of all (negative 643) is the pedestal of the damaged Buddha found in situ against the stupa in square 20-75 (Plate XIX, fig. b). The centre of the
composition here is taken up by a seated Bodhisattva as usual, but the figures attending him, eight of whom are preserved (five on one side and three on the other), are in more animated postures than usual and do not seem to be merely worshipping. The more striking thing about the whole is, however, the fact that above and around this group, and even extending far up apparently on both sides of the large Buddha, must have been an elaborate composition representing all sorts and conditions of men and animals in various attitudes, though prevailingly devotional. Indeed, the general resemblance of this sculpture as a whole to the very remarkable fragment recovered at Tālqāt-i-Bālā in 1908 and now numbered 1151 in the Peshāvar Museum, is very striking, and it is highly probable that both compositions referred to the same general subject. Most unfortunately, however, both are very seriously damaged (due in part, no doubt, to the intricacy and delicacy of the carving), and no reconstruction or sure interpretation is now possible.

A number of detached Buddha heads were found without corresponding bodies, as is so often the case in Gandhāra, and some of these are of a very high order, as can be seen from Plate XXI, figs. c and d. But none call for special mention here.

Groups of the Buddha between two Bodhisattvas of the type called by M. Foucher the Miracle of Śrāvastī were singularly few, and indeed the photographs before me show only one (Plate XIX, fig. e). Nor is it of any special merit, although interesting in various details. The front of the pedestal appears to be covered with a conventional representation of water, probably the tank from which spring the lotuses on which the three chief figures rest. But then, incongruously enough, in the midst of these waters we find a lofty altar with burning incense and a kneeling worships on either side. Nor is this all, for above this apparent watery field are three reclining elephants supporting the lotus of the central Buddha, each holding in its upraised trunk what is presumably meant for a bunch of lotus flowers. The Bodhisattva figures call for no remark. But it is unfortunate that the two minor figures leaning out of the background between the heads of these Bodhisattvas and the Buddha have been completely defaced. In all probability they represent the Indra and Brahmā, which M. Foucher seeks to find in the chief attendants, because it is unquestionable that such is the identity of the two minor figures in the only sculpture we possess on a scale sufficiently large to admit of certainty (No. 374, in the Peshāvar Museum), but in the damaged condition of the present group one can only affirm this by analogy.

Three images of the Buddha recovered at Sahribahol this year call for special mention, as they appear to be of a very unusual type (Plate XX, figs. a, b and c). In all three the Buddha stands on the left of the composition, where he is represented as very greatly surpassing in size the diminutive figures grouped at his feet on the right. His pose is natural and graceful in all these sculptures, exceptionally so in b and c; and where the right hand has been preserved, it either rests against the breast, or is held against the shoulder in an attitude as natural and graceful as it is uncanonical. The very marked similarity between the Buddhas shown in figs. b and c is particularly striking, and the thought inevitably occurs that both must be by the-
same artist. The faces are pronouncedly alike, and there is the same easy graceful pose to the body, nay, the very drapery agrees almost detail for detail in the two images. The pedestals, however, differ, the one being decorated only with a conventional scroll the other with a large bowl on a sort of canopied altar with a Bodhisattva seated on either side, beyond each of which is a devotee kneeling with clasped hands. In the case of this latter sculpture, the minor figures accompanying the Buddha are lost, only the lower limbs of some small reclining figure being preserved. In the other, however, although the attendants are injured, it is clear that one was a Brahman hermit (now headless), while a still smaller figure, very scantily clad, if at all, crouches down at the Buddha's feet but turned away from him, although his right hand reaches up to clutch the Buddha's garment, and he is gazing up at him, while his left hand rests on the ground. Behind this curiously posed individual is another, headless like the Brahman, and of quite indeterminate character. The significance of the group must thus remain doubtful for the present. But both of these sculptures are of exceptional interest and artistic merit. The third (Plate XX, fig. c) which is an inferior sculpture of the same type, showing on the pedestal four worshippers and a seated Buddha within a semi-circular shrine, is as a whole better preserved as regards the minor personages, but even here all that can be asserted is that the figure behind the pumāt is certainly a Brahman hermit (heavily bearded, and wearing the customary jata) represented as gazing up into the face of the proportionately gigantic Buddha toward whom his right hand is outstretched. Before the pumāt are two other slightly larger figures, both headless, but presumably also Brahman ascetics, while between them and the Buddha's feet is a tiny seated figure leaning slightly back with the left hand resting on the ground. The interpretation again remains uncertain, which is a pity, as sculptures of this class are very rare. Curiously enough a fragment of a similar group was recovered in the course of the conservation work at Takht-i-Bahi in the same year, but here the entire Buddha figure is lost, and only the feet and one small attendant remain. This latter figure is, however, of exceptional merit and interest being a well-proportioned and most carefully modelled little child kneeling on both knees and bowing forward and a little away from the Buddha, while at the same time looking up at him over the right shoulder and saluting in the most natural way with the right hand. The left arm is bent sharply at a right angle, and the hand clutches some apparently soft and yielding object, one end of which rests upon the ground. But here, too, I can only speak of the peculiar artistic merit of the figure, without advancing any identification. The pedestal of the Takht-i-Bahi
Among the miscellaneous fragments recovered may be noticed negative 702 fig. 3, which is a small figure kneeling and bowing deep down, with left hand touching the forehead, the support of the figure being a conventional lotus (fig. 3 in the text). The execution in this little image is good. It presumably formed originally part of some such complicated composition as that surrounding the seated Buddha mentioned above as having been found in situ at point 20-71 on the plan.

Another fragment of both interest and beauty is the headless, footless and nearly armless body of a female (fig. 4 in the text), which is remarkable for the deficiency of the modelling and the grace of both pose and drapery. It reminds one irresistibly of some of the damaged fragments one sees in the Greek galleries in Europe, and must have been a little gem before its mutilation.

A curious, round-eyed, staring head, with uncouth moustache and half open mouth showing four large teeth is pictured in fig. 5 in the text. The nose and upper part of the head are broken, which may in part account for the almost amusing expression of shocked surprise which the face now wears, but for the treatment of eye and mouth the little fragment is of distinct interest apart from this.

Eight triangular fragments were found, all well preserved, two showing the ichthyo-centaur motif, two the winged centaur, one the parrot, another the lion, and two showing mere conventional foliage, but there is nothing of very special moment about any of these.

Of infinitely greater interest and value are the two sculptures now to be recorded. That shown in fig. a of Plate XXI is the portrait head of an aged monk, which is believed to be quite unique in

---

1 Since the above was written, Mr. Hargreaves tells me that he has found some of the missing portions of the Takht-i-Bahi sculptures, which is here reproduced as restored in text illustration fig. 2. Mr. Hargreaves also calls attention to the legendary scene from the same place which I published as fig. (c) of Plate XLII in the Archeological Annual for 1908-99 and makes the very excellent suggestion that perhaps this plaque is a fully detailed composition of the same legend which the other four sculptures represent in abbreviated form. This appears to me highly probable, as all four seem to show essentially the same grouping, and all might equally well epitomize the larger composition. But the legend referred to has not yet been determined. If I am right in thinking that the two dancers figure at the extreme left are Vajrapani and a monk, the Buddha figure must be Gautama, and the incident one subsequent to the enlightenment.
character in the Gandhāra school. The strongly hooked nose, the determined mouth, and the firm chin, would seem to indicate a stern and commanding personality, while in the high and dome-like forehead we may perhaps find an indication of intellectual power sufficient to explain the accordance to this monk of so very exceptional an honour as a portrait statue. On the other hand, of course, the figure may merely be that of a royal patron in religious garb, but none of the coins known to me show any very closely approaching type. The nearest is perhaps the head of Huvishka, on the coin pictured in fig. 10 of Plate XIII in V. A. Smith's *Catalogue of the Coins in the Indian Museum*, but even here the agreement is by no means altogether convincing. There appears to be the same stern mouth and chin, and the same prominence in the nose, but the latter feature is not so pronouncedly hooked as in the sculpture. As regards this detail, fig. 14 of Mr. Smith's Plate XII is perhaps a closer approach, but this, too, is not quite satisfactory. However, the marked variation in the facial types on Huvishka's coins must bespeak inferior portraiture, and perhaps a slight mitigation of so singular a feature as this royal nose, if it be royal, could reasonably be expected on the royal coins. A more realistic portrayal would be equally natural after the monarch had set aside the pomp in vanities of the world, and assumed the yellow robe, and it thus appears by no means impossible that in this sculptural fragment we actually do possess the likeness of Huvishka. But on stylistic grounds this identification would seem to be most improbable. Such evidence as the Kaniska casket affords points clearly to the fact that loss of power had already smitten the artists of Gandhāра, and so strikingly fine a piece of realistic portraiture would be hard to explain in the subsequent generation. Nor do the sculptures associated with Huvishka's name at Mathurā point to the existence in this reign of artists of this excellence. Here, however, the geographical remoteness comes in to complicate the issues, and no final judgment can as yet be formed. But whether or not it ever prove possible to put a definite name to this sculpture, we may at least rejoice that it has been recovered. For it is certainly one of the most strikingly successful fragments that have come down to us from ancient India.

Nor, fortunately, are we left without a clue as to what the statue as a whole must have been of which this portrait head is a fragment. Although no corresponding body could be found, a curiously lean and withered hand, holding the base of a miniature shrine, appears certainly to have belonged to this sculpture; so that, despite the absence of the trunk, we are probably justified in conceiving of the original as a full-length image of an aged abbot, clean shaven and with the full tonsure, draped in the monkish robe and holding against the breast a little trefoil shrine containing an image of the Buddha. That this statuette was of precious metal is probable and its disappearance therefore easy to explain. The little stone shrine thus forms a kind of counterpart to the empty stone throne or seat recovered in 1909 by Mr. Marshall and Dr. Vogel at Chaïsaddа, which also must have held an image of gold or silver originally.
The type of sculpture thus theoretically restored is most unusual in Gandhāra, and with only the monkish head as evidence, no such restoration would have been permissible, even in theory. Nor would one have been able easily to see precisely the significance of the object held in the withered hand, had it not been for the fortunate recovery at this site of a companion statue in admirable preservation (Plate XXII, fig. a). This is evidently a royal female figure, with lofty coiffure bound with a sort of fillet, presumably of gold and jewels, with what may be a kind of flowing scarf behind, which gives the head a curiously Assyrian appearance. She wears a very massive collarette or necklace, with a round medal dependent in the front, and ample indications of having been jewelled at intervals. The upper arm is loaded with numberless armlets, while the wrist shows a very wide and heavy bracelet, in its massiveness reminding one of the magnificent golden bracelet loaned to the Peshāwar Museum by Mr. Marshall. Over the left shoulder is a heavy double cord, either woven or intricately linked, of metal, which falls to the ankles, where it is evidently fastened, on the right, with a very elaborate clasp. The drapery is very rich and graceful, falling in natural folds to the feet, which seem to have been covered, while one fold of the garment is caught up over the left forearm from which the end depends in a very easy and graceful manner. Either the material of this robe is singularly diaphanous, which in Indian sculpture is always an easy assumption, or the upper portion of the body is undraped. At all events, the transition from the seemingly nude bust to the heavily draped limbs is effected with great subtlety so that in the photograph, at least, it is not at all clear where this drapery actually begins, nor how it is supported. But the total effect is certainly very pleasing, and the costume as a whole must have been both rich and elegant. That the figure represents a queen is suggested not alone by the sumptuousness of the jewelled ornaments (even the fingers show numerous rings, which is a most unusual feature in Gandhāra sculpture), but even more unmistakably by the decoration of the pedestal, which here, in marked contrast to what is customary, shows the head and shoulders of a figure in all probability representing the Earth-goddess. If this interpretation be accepted, and there really seems no doubt about it, the statue must represent a royal figure, for so triumphant or commanding a position above the Earth as such can certainly imply nothing except royal rank. But with reference to the suggested theoretical restoration of the statue with the monk’s head, the most important feature of the present sculpture is the fact that it too holds a little trefoil shrine against the breast. This not only enables us to reconstruct the scheme of the missing sculpture with reasonable certainty, but may even be an indication corroborative of the assumption that, despite the monkish tonure in the portrait head, the statue from which it came was really that of a royal personage, for it may quite conceivably have formed the pendant to the present female figure, and the pair together have represented the king and queen of those times depicted symbolically, but with sufficient clearness, as patrons and supporters of the church.¹

¹ It may be noted here that a third pair of hands holding the fragment of such a shrine was also recovered, but no trace was found of the body to which they belonged.
fact and the presence of the two Sassanian coins mentioned above. But it is perfectly inconceivable to my mind that sculptures of the excellence of this monkish portrait, or even of this queen’s statue, where the face is perhaps lacking in individuality, could have been produced at a period so late as that suggested by Professor Rapson for the coin submitted to him. Such an assumption would certainly go against all accepted theories of the Gandhāra school. It is much more probable, at least, that the Huvishka theory is nearer the truth. But are we to imagine that the Kushān ladies dressed like this? If so, they were singularly ahead of their husbands, judging from the uncouth costumes of the Kushān monarchs on their coins. But surely the XXth century has no reason to be surprised at this, or to see in this apparent lack of aesthetic harmony between husband and wife any real argument against a tentative assumption that in these sculptures the Peshāwar Museum has obtained important likenesses of Huvishka and his queen.

D. B. Spooner.
THE MATHURĀ SCHOOL OF SCULPTURE.\(^1\)

SINCE I published my first paper on the above subject, many fresh discoveries have been made at Mathurā. Though in general they do not tend to modify my previous conclusions, the new materials are of sufficient interest to deserve a full discussion. Their recovery was due to the exertions of Pandit Radha Krishna who acquired these sculptures and inscriptions, often not without great pains, from the city of Mathurā and neighbouring villages, where he found them let into walls of modern houses or even put up for worship in some Hindu shrine. They have now all been deposited in the Local Museum.\(^2\) In dealing with these acquisitions, I shall occasionally have to refer to other Mathurā sculptures, not hitherto described, which I noticed in the Calcutta or Lucknow Museums.

Buddhas and Bōdhisattvas.

Perhaps the most valuable discovery made at Mathurā of late years is that of an inscribed statue (M. M. No. A-1; ht. 2' 3\(\frac{1}{2}\)\(^\prime\)), which was noticed on the Kaṭrā Mound in the house of a Brahman who had found it in digging a well on that site (Plate XXIII, a). It is made of a kind of black stone different from the red sandstone commonly used in Mathurā sculptures. This circumstance perhaps accounts for its perfect preservation. The Brahman owner of this sculpture had ingeniously identified it as an effigy of the Sage Viśvāmitra surrounded by his disciples, the divine Rāma and his three brothers. In reality, however, the image is that of a Bōdhisattva seated cross-legged on a lion-throne, his right hand raised in the attitude of imparting protection. That the main figure is a Bōdhisattva and not a Buddha is evident from the inscription on the pedestal which I read (Plate XXIX, a):

(1) Budhārakhitasā matvāre Amohā-āsiyē Bōdhisachō pāṭipātipītō
(2) sahā mātāpālihi sakē vihārē
g(3) savasatvānā [m*]hitasukhāyē.

"Budhārakhi's mother Amohā-āsi, in her own convent (or temple), for the welfare and happiness of her parents and all sentient beings has erected [this] Bōdhisattva."

It is not clear why this image should be called a Bōdhisattva, but from the foliage of the pipal tree (ficus religiosa) round the halo we may safely conclude that

---

2 Cf. my Catalogue of the Archaeological Museum at Mathurā. Published 1910, from which I have freely quoted in the course of the present paper. Sculptures preserved in the Mathurā Museum I indicate by the letters M. M.
it represents Śākyamuni. The treatment of the hair deserves special notice, as it
exhibits neither the graceful krobylos of the Gandhāra school nor the canonical curls
of mediaeval sculpture, but shows the shaven skull of a bhikṣu with a very pro-
nounced ushnīṣa in the shape of a snail-shell (Skr. kapārā). Two of the four
attendants (to whom the owner of the sculpture had assigned the role of Rāma and
his three brothers!) are flying figures, presumably Dēcas, showering celestial flowers,
the divyāṇi pushpāṇi of the texts, on the Būdhisattva, and the other two chowrie-
carriers standing at his side. Whether the latter represent Būdhisattvas, it is impos-
sible to say, but they occupy certainly the usual place of those divinities, at both
sides of the central figure, and if not Būdhisattvas, they must be their prototypes.
The question why the main personage of this group should have been assigned
the title of Būdhisattva becomes still more difficult of solution, if we compare the
inscribed image first noticed by General Cunningham,¹ at the village of Anyor near:

Fig. 1.

Gōvardhan and since acquired for the Mathurā Museum (No. A-2; ht. 2' 8"). Unfortunately the head and right arm are lost, but what remains of the image shows the greatest similarity to the Kaṭrā statuette (Plate XXIII, b). The position of the figure is the same; here also the right hand was evidently raised at the height of the shoulder in the gesture of protection (abhaya-mudrā), and on the remnants of the halo the foliage of the Bódhi tree is clearly delineated. Yet the inscription distinctly describes the Anyūr statuette as "an image of Buddha."

I give the epigraph in full (Plate XXIX, b)—

(1) Upāsaka-ga Susha-ga Hāruna-ga dūna[m] Budha-pral[i]nd Uttarasa-ga

H[ṛ]ṇa-sa[n]ga

(2) vihārā sāhā mālā-giṭhih sarvasatvān[m] mā hitasakh[m] jñna[m].

"Gift of the lay-member Susha of Harusha, a Buddha image, at the convent (or temple) of Uttara of Harusha, for the sake of the welfare and happiness of his parents and all sentient beings."

The similarity in style of these sculptures as well as the palaeographical evidence of the inscriptions prove that they belong to the same period, which seems to be that of the early Kushān rulers. Unfortunately neither of them is dated.

I may note here that Pandit Radha Krishna found at Delhi a seated statuette (ht. 1' 11") very similar in style to the two images just described. It resembles especially the Kaṭrā image in that the pedestal is decorated with three seated lions of a very conventional type. It belongs to a collection of sculptures which appear to have been obtained from a site in the Gurgaon District. (Fig. 1.)

I now wish to note two fragments of seated images, both inscribed, which on account of their style and the character of their epigraphs may be safely assigned to the same epoch as those already discussed.

One is the pedestal of a seated Bōdhisattva (Plate XXIV, c). From what remains of the figure itself, it would seem that it was of the same type and period as the three just described. The pedestal shows two seated lions and between them a group of devotees—men, women and children—carrying flowers as offerings. The inscription consists of three lines—two above and one beneath the worshipping figures. The character is Brāhmī of the Kushāna period, perhaps somewhat later in date than that of the Anyūr and Kaṭrā image inscriptions. It reads as follows (Plate XXIX, c)—

(1) So[m] 7 ca...[d]...jētasā purāṇya Dharmakāna saṃvikasaka kaṭubhiniṃ

(2) upāṣṭītā N[ṛ]gāpiya Bōdhisattva pratiṭhāpāti sankāya dhātā

(3) yā kaṭēyā (c) oṭhāryanā Dharmagukānā pratikrame.

"In the year 7, the . . . month of the rainy season, the . . . day, on this date, the housewife of the goldsmith Dharmaka, the female lay-member Nāgāpiya (Sūtr. Nāgāpiya) set up a Bōdhisattva in her own sanctuary for the acceptance of the teachers of the Dharmagupta sect."

The other inscribed fragment must have belonged to a seated Bōdhisattva with attending figures, as we noticed in the Kaṭrā sculpture (Plate XXIII, a). On the pedestal we find in the present case a winged lion (c), rampant, and a female devotee.

---

1 Unfortunately the date is not quite certain, as the symbol preceding the numeral 7 is not very distinct. It is, therefore, not impossible that there was another numeral preceding to indicate the units.

2 The first word of the third line I cannot explain. Possibly it indicates some locality.
making obeisance to some object of worship—either an image or a wheel of the law—which must have occupied the centre of the pedestal. (Fig. 2). It is interesting that this fragment was recovered in the neighbourhood of the Kāḍrā which must mark the site of an important Buddhist establishment. It is now deposited in the Mathurā Museum (No. A-66; ht. 1').

Fig. 2.

The fragmentary inscription reads (Plate XXIX, 4.):—

(1) ... [upāsi]kaye Nāṇḍey kṣhātrapa[sa].
(2) ... [Bō]dhisat[va] visa - ta[vā].
(3) 'savaśatānām hitas[ā]kārthvān.
(4) Śaṇasthi[vā]diyānaṃ pravīrīhe.

The inscription is too fragmentary to allow of a consecutive translation of it being given. It seems that the donor of the sculpture was a female lay-member of the name of Nandā, perhaps the relative of a satrap. We further find the usual formula:—"For the sake of the welfare and happiness of all sentient beings." Finally the image is said to be for the acceptance (parīrīhe) of the Sarvāstivādins, if, at least, we may assume that this is meant by the expression Śaṇasthi diyānaṃ.

It must be admitted that the Boddhisattva (or Buddha) type represented by these images of the Kushāna period cannot be immediately derived from any known class of images in Gandhāra. Mathurā has, however, yielded another type which it is possible to connect directly with examples of Greco-Buddhist sculpture. The Mathurā Museum contains a Buddha statuette (No. A-21; ht. 1: 5") which was obtained from a Hindu shrine at Sītalā Ghāṭi in the city. Here the Buddha is clad in an ample robe covering both shoulders. The arms are broken, but the attitude must have been that of imparting protection. The two lions which symbolize the simhāsana are of a more natural type than those on the images previously described. It is a point of special interest that between the two lions there is a devotional scene, such as we regularly find on Buddha and Boddhisattva statues from Gandhāra. In the present case the centre of the group is a seated Boddhisattva who is being approached with flower offerings by four devotees, two standing on each side. (Plate XXIII, 6.)
THE MATHURA SCHOOL OF SCULPTURE.

In the course of his excavation on the site of the Jetavana, Mr. Marshall discovered a Buddhist statuette which in every detail resembles the one from Siitlā Ghīṭī. It is better preserved and bears an inscription. On account of the language and the script it is to be assigned to a somewhat later date than the inscribed images discussed in the first part of the present paper. But although this individual image happens to be posterior to the Anyor and Kātāra statuettes, it does not necessarily follow that the type which it represents belongs to a later period than that to which those earlier figures belong.

Whereas, therefore, the Buddha and Bodhisattva figures of the Kushāṇa period, the earliest known in Mathurā, still present many problems which only further discoveries may enable us to solve, we recognize a very distinct type of the Gupta period, of which past explorations at Mathurā have produced some very fine specimens. First mention deserves the well preserved statue in the Mathurā Museum (No. A-5; ht. 7' 2½") which is, moreover, of special value on account of its votive inscription which enables us to assign it to the 5th century. The delicate treatment of the drapery, the elaborate carving of the halo and the serene expression of calm repose stamp this statue as the representative of a type infinitely superior in artistic merit to the clumsy and lifeless Bodhisattvas of the Kushāṇa period.

The Calcutta Museum possesses two Buddha statues of the same type which were presented in 1802 and probably belong to the sculptures excavated in 1809 from the Janālīpūr Mound, the site of the monastery founded by Harishka in the year 47. It deserves notice that the very similar Buddha in the Mathurā Museum came from the same site. Of the two statues in the Calcutta Museum one (No. M-5; ht. 6') is also distinguished by a most elaborately sculptured halo. The right hand and the pedestal with the feet are missing. The other image (No. M-13; ht. 4' 9") is inferior to the one just described, both in its proportions and general expression. The halo and right hand are missing. On the pedestal are remnants of a votive inscription which allows us to assign the sculpture to the Gupta period. Here as well as on the statue in the Mathurā Museum we notice at the feet of the Buddha two miniature kneeling figures which probably represent the donors of the sculpture. In each case the attitude of the image is that of imparting protection.

The inscribed standing Buddha statuette dedicated at the Yaśāvikāra, and now preserved in the Lucknow Museum belongs to the same period.

I have already touched upon the difficulty of finding any criterion to distinguish between images of the Kushāṇa period evidently intended to represent Śākyamuni, but some described as Buddha and some as Bodhisattva. I may recall here that it is generally assumed with regard to the Greco-Buddhist school of Gandhāra that the figures in monk's dress are Buddhas and those in princely attire Bodhisattvas. Professor Grünwedel, when first advancing this theory, drew attention to the beautiful bas-relief of Muhammad Nari, now preserved in the Lahore Museum, on which we find a row of eight standing Buddha figures. These he identified with the eight

---

1 J. R. A. S., for 1930, p. 1065; plate III b.
2 These two statues have been described in J. Anderson's Catalogue and Handbook of the archaeological collections in the Indian Museum, Part I, pp. 181-185. The statement that No. M-13 has no inscription is probably due to an oversight.
3 Buddhist Art in India, revised by J. Burgess, p. 188, fig. 82.
Buddhas of the Age, and the last one, not wearing monk’s dress like the others but distinguished by royal robes and a flask in the left hand, could be no other but Maitreya the Buddha of the future.

Now among the sculptures in the Mathurā Museum there is the proper left half of a frieze (I 7; ht. 1' 7½") containing five cross-legged figures each with two attendants standing behind. Four of the seated figures wear monk’s robes, but the last of the row has a high head-dress and ornaments, and, in all probability, is Maitreya. This conclusion, it may be noted, is in agreement with what I have said in my previous paper regarding the figurine placed between the feet of the colossal Buddha statue in the Allahabad Library.

We may compare a similar sculpture in the Lucknow Museum (width 2' 6½") likewise the proper left half of a lintel frieze, which shows four seated figures, three in monk’s dress and the last of the row wearing ornaments and holding an alabaster in his left hand (Fig. 3.). We may safely identify this figure with Maitreya, the successor of Sakyamuni. It is noteworthy that he has long locks falling down on both shoulders. At his side is a kneeling figure, evidently a devotee, with hands joined in adoration.

![Fig. 3.](image)

It will be noticed that on both these sculptures the seated figures assume either the attitude of meditation or that of imparting protection, apparently without any distinct rule being followed. Thus we find the figure next to Maitreya which can be nothing but Sakyamuni, shown in the one case in dhyāna-mudrā and in the other in abhaya-mudrā. Another point worth noticing is that all the cross-legged figures, with the exception of Maitreya, wear an ample robe covering both shoulders and therefore represent the robed Buddha type, of which we have quoted above two examples, one from Sitala Ghāṭi in Mathurā City and the other from Sahēṭh, the site of the Jatavana.

I now wish to draw attention to another sculpture in the Mathurā collection (No. A-65; ht. 2') which I propose to identify with Maitreya (Plate XXIV, b). It is a well-carved statuette, of which the right arm, feet, and most of the halo are missing. / The left hand holds the alabaster or ointment vessel. It is curious that, though this figure wears ornaments, ear-pendants, a flat torque, a necklace and bracelets, the head
has the short curls usually associated with Buddha images. This type—it might be called the Bodhisattva Maitreyā with the head of a Buddha—is unknown in Gandhāra where the Messiah of Buddhism is usually pictured with long locks streaming down on the shoulders. The present figure, therefore, would seem to be a creation of Mathurā, but it may be questioned whether in Mathurā itself it really represents a type. Those Mathurā figures, at least, which we have been able to identify with Maitreyā, all wear a high head-dress like the one in the row of Buddhas noted above and in the figurine found between the feet of the Buddha in the Allahabad Public Library. Another example is a standing statuette (No. A-43; ht. 1' 5") of the Mathurā Museum, which wears an elaborate head-dress and the usual ornaments. The left hand holds the ointment vessel, the typical attribute of Maitreyā.

Mathurā has yielded images of a still more ornate type closely related to the princely figures which in Gandhāra art are usually designated as Bodhisattvas. In my previous paper I have already mentioned the remarkable standing statue in the Lucknow Museum which Dr. Führer obtained from the site of Ganjeshā, 3 miles west of Mathurā City. The same site has since yielded a seated figure (M. M. No. A-53; ht. 2' 5") no less rich in ornaments and exhibiting the same close connection with the Bodhisattvas of Gandhāra. The head, as in the case of the standing image in the Lucknow Museum, is unfortunately lost. (Plate XXIII, d.)

The same profusion of ornaments is displayed by a torso of colossal size which Pandit Radha Krishna obtained from the Daudana or Janālpur Sarai and deposited in the Mathurā Museum (No. A-48; ht. 2' 2\). In all likelihood it came originally from the neighbouring site on which once stood the monastery founded by King Huqishtha in the year 47. We may, therefore, safely assume that this piece of sculpture is Buddhist, as must also be the case with the images from Ganjeshā. For that site also marks undoubtedly the spot of a group of buildings belonging to the Buddhist religion. A remarkable feature of the torso are the traces of long locks visible on both shoulders. (Plate XXIV, a.)

**Legendary Scenes.**

In my first article I have drawn attention to the fact that in the Mathurā school the number of scenes of Buddha's life is very small compared to the wealth of legendary bas-reliefs found in Gandhāra. This statement needs no modification, although, since it was made, a few more sculptures illustrative of the Buddha legend have come to light at Mathurā.

In this connection I wish, first of all, to note a bas-relief in the Buddhist section of the Lucknow Museum (Plate XXV, a). There is good reason to suppose that it came from Mathurā (authentic information regarding its provenance is unfortunately wanting) and that it belongs to the sculptures excavated from the Janālpur Mound and subsequently sent to Agra. The sculpture, like the torso noticed above, may therefore once have belonged to the great convent of King Huqishtha. The sculpture appears to be the proper left half of a large bas-relief which perhaps once decorated the lintel of a temple entrance. It shows a row of Buddhas and Bodhisattvas seated, each with two attendants standing behind. Over these figures and separated from them by a railing are a series of scenes from the Buddha's life placed side by side without any
separating members. Starting from the proper left end we find:—1st, Sūrya the Sun-god on his chariot drawn by four horses; 2nd, Buddha’s enlightenment or bōdhi symbolized in his victory over Māra and his daughters; 3rd, Buddha’s first sermon represented by his actually turning the Wheel-of-the-Law, at the side of which we notice his first five converts; 4th, Buddha worshipped by Indra in the Indrāśila Cave.

Let us compare with this sculpture the Rāj Ghaṭ slab in the Mathurā Museum, on which we find the following five scenes: 1st, Buddha’s birth; 2nd, his enlightenment; 3rd, his descent from heaven; 4th, his first sermon; 5th, his parinirvāṇa. A point which must strike us at once is that on the Lucknow Museum sculpture the birth scene seems to be represented by Sūrya on his chariot. We are naturally reminded of the fact that Buddha is called a kinsman of the Sun (Āditya-bandhu) and that indeed, according to some scholars, the whole Buddha legend is nothing but a Sun myth. It would, however, be hazardous to base any theories on the unique sculpture here discussed. So much is certain that, whereas among the four main scenes of Buddha’s life the Enlightenment and the First Sermon seem soon to have been fixed, the sculptures show considerable hesitation with regard to the first of those four scenes. Whilst in Gandhāra the Nativity usually takes the place of the first scene, we find at Amaravati the Great Renunciation (Mahābhinishkramana) instead.

With the slab in the Lucknow Museum we may also compare No. H-11 (ht. 1’ 0”) of the Mathurā collection which represents the scene of Buddha worshipped by Indra. Not only is the treatment of this subject identical in both cases, but we may safely assume that the sculpture in the Mathurā Museum formed part of a slab similar to the one at Lucknow. It is curious that in both cases the Buddha in the cave evidently formed the centre of the frieze. This we may infer from the circumstances that in each case we find over the Buddha figure the holy head-dress forming the centre of a row of niches containing half-figures in the attitude of adoration. This, no doubt, refers to the worship of Buddha’s head-dress in the Trayastrimśa Heaven. Considering the paucity of legendary subjects at Mathurā, the prevalence of Indra’s Visit is certainly remarkable. One more instance will be noted beneath.

Lately two fragmentary bas-reliefs have been found at Mathurā which both seem to represent scenes of Buddha’s life. One (M. M. No. H-5 ; ht. 1’ 3”) shows two panels which perhaps refer to the Great Renunciation (Mahābhinishkramana). The lower panel, of which only the upper half is preserved, apparently shows the Bōdhisattva leaving home. The head of the horse and part of the rider are still visible. Among the remaining figures we notice in the centre the one holding a bow which, no doubt, is Māra, the Evil Spirit, and at his side another with a fly-whisk (?) in his right and a thunderbolt in his left hand. It is not a little curious to see the Vajrapāṇi, so common in Gandhāra, re-appear on a Mathurā relief. The upper panel seems to represent the future Buddha, after leaving home, in the act of laying off his royal ornaments and making them over to his groom, Chhanda, kneeling in front of him. At his side we discern the head of the horse Kapāhaka which in Gandhāra is always present at this scene. But it is curious to find an elephant also introduced

1 Cf. Mathurā Museum Catalogue, Plate VI, a.
2 Cf. Mathurā Museum Catalogue, Plate VI, b.
here, perhaps merely meant to indicate the royal rank of the main personage of the scene. The rest of the panel is occupied by five figures which are not so easy to identify. I conjecture that the female figure seated in front on a stool is Yaśodhara, the Bodhisattva’s spouse, who receives from a maid-servant the alarming news of her lord’s departure. (Plate XXV, b.)

The other fragmentary bas-relief in the Mathura Museum (No. III-10; ht. 1’ 4") likewise contains two panels placed one over the other (Plate XXV, c). The upper panel seems to represent the gift of a handful of dust by the children Jaya and Vijaya and the lower one is perhaps a very abridged rendering of the Dipankara-jātaka. The latter identification, however, is very uncertain, owing to the absence of the main distinctive features of this scene.

Here I may also note a sculpture among our latest acquisitions (M. M. No. II-12; ht. 1’ 7") which Pandit Radha Krishna obtained from the village of Isapur on the left bank of the Jamna opposite Mathura City (fig. 4). It shows Buddha seated on a high throne supported by lions and surrounded by four figures, each of which carries a cup. These figures are no doubt the lākapālaka, each offering a bowl to Buddha immediately after his enlightenment.

The scenes of Buddha’s life so far identified at Mathura all clearly show that they were copied from Greco-Buddhist examples. At the same time we cannot help being struck by their utterly debased character, if we compare them with their Gandharaian prototypes.

Birth Stories.

Next to scenes of Buddha’s life, I wish to consider representations of his previous existences, in other words jātakas, found among Mathura sculptures.
I have already noticed what perhaps is a very abridged rendering of the Dipankara-jātaka. The Lucknow Museum contains a fragment (ht. 10\(\frac{1}{2}\)) of a terra-cotta bas-relief which must refer to the same subject (fig. 5). Unfortunately its find-place is unknown, but it is not impossible that it came from Mathurā like so many pieces in the Provincial Museum. It shows the upper half of a female figure holding a jar in her left arm and standing in front of a door. This reminds us at once of the representations of the Dipankara-jātaka, so common in Gandhāra, in which the flower-girl Bhadrā is always shown in exactly the same position. It is true that the chief personage of the scene, Dipankara the Buddha of the Age, as well as the Bodhisattva Mēgha or Sumēdha (in other words the future Buddha Sākyamuni), are both wanting, but the raised little disc at the side of the door lintel seems still to preserve a trace of the latter in his glorified state.

In my previous paper on the Mathurā school of sculpture I have recognized the Viśvantara and Vyāghri-jātakas on the two Bhātēsar railing-pillars in the local Museum. I have also noted that three pillars of the same railing are preserved in the Calcutta Museum and one in the Lucknow Museum. The latter has since been returned to Mathurā and now forms part of the local collection. In each case we find on the back of the pillar three bas-reliefs separated by Buddhist railings and other decorative features. Cunningham has already pointed out that on one pillar in the Indian Museum (No. M. 15-a; ht. 4' 2") the three scenes seem to refer to the miraculous submission of the most elephant Nālāgiri at Rājagṛha. This interpretation is certainly very plausible as far as the central panel is concerned. The other two unfortunately do not at all fit the legend as known from literary sources, but we may here have a different version of the episode unknown to the existing texts.

The second Bhātēsar pillar in the Calcutta Museum (M. 15 b) has also three panels, which certainly refer to the Palāhassā-jātaka. This is the story of the five hundred merchants shipwrecked on the island of the she-goblins (yaśkhiṇī) who made them their husbands (Plate XXVI, c). In the upper panel the chief merchant is seen climbing up a tree and addressing the victims imprisoned in a tower by the ogres. The central panel shows us the wise merchants (the sculpture has only four of the two-hundred-and-fifty of the Pali version) who made their escape with the aid of a flying horse which in reality was the future Buddha. The lower panel pictures us the fate of the two-hundred-and-fifty who did not listen to good council and foolishly stayed behind. The she-goblins played with them for a while but on the arrival of a fresh supply of victims they cast them into the house of torment and devoured them.

The third Bhūtēṣara pillar in the Calcutta Museum (No. M.15-c) has three panels evidently referring to the jātaka, in which the future Buddha rescues a pigeon from a hawk by means of a gift of his own flesh (Plate XXVI, d). In the upper panel the Bodhisattva, born as Śīvijāna, is seated on his throne, two attendants standing by. He seems to address the hawk perched on a pillar, whereas he holds the pigeon in his left hand. In the second scene the Bodhisattva is plainly seen cutting with his knife the flesh from his right thigh. A servant to his right holds a scale to weigh the quantity of flesh stipulated as the ransom of the pigeon. It deserves notice that the balance has a single scale, as is still the case with implements of this kind used nowadays in Ladhak. The third panel is too much defaced to be any longer recognizable. It seems, however, that the personages represented are the same as in the previous scene, namely, the Bodhisattva still seated on his throne in very much the same position and the servant standing by with the scale which here seems to have sunk (as appears from the position of the pole) indicating that the desired amount of flesh has been obtained.

The sixth Bhūtēṣara pillar which has lately been returned from Lucknow to the Mathurā Museum has three scenes which I am unable to identify. In the uppermost panel we see four women emerging half-way from what may be either meant for rocks or for the ocean. The central panel shows a man and a woman seated beside the top of a wall or a rock in front of which a pair of deer are lying face to face. The male figure is playing the harp and his female companion seems to be beating time. The third scene shows a man being carried up a rock by a woman. 2

In my previous paper mention has been made of a representation of the Jātaka of the Tortoise on a fragment of a railing pillar in the Mathurā Museum (No. J.36; ht. 1'3''). Since then a similar fragment has been found, which appears to refer to the Jātaka of the Owl, though in some important details it does not tally with the literary sources. 3 It is likewise preserved in the local collection (No. J.11; ht. 1'2').

Tōrana architraves.

One of the most valuable acquisitions made of late years at Mathurā is an exquisitely carved and well-preserved torana architrave which Pandit Radha Krishna noticed let into the wall above the main gate of a house in the City (Plate XXVII, a-b). Through the Pandit's exertions it has now been placed in the Local Museum (No. M.3; ht. 7½' and length 8'). On one side it is carved with the well-known scene of Śakra's visit to Buddha in the Indrasālā Cave. I have noted above that several instances of this episode are known from Mathurā, but the present specimen is superior to any previously found. The centre is occupied by Buddha seated in the cave

---

1 The Chinese pilgrims mention a stūpa erected on the spot where the event was supposed to have taken place. C.F. Jacobi: Jaina Religion, Vol. 1, pp. XXXI, CVI and 121 (footnote). For further, see also Legge's translation, p. 29. The Bodhisattva version, the story of Śīvijāna, the son of Uṣsimara, is found in the Mahābhārata, Yavani-pārva, XXXXII, 2242. The Śīvijānastūpa occurs also among the sculptures of the Borobudur in Java. Cf. R. F. H. India, Vol. IX (1903), p. 29.
2 The same subject is found on a Mathurā torana pillar in the Lucknow Museum (V. A. Smith, Jain Stūpas of Mathurā, P. XXVIII), but the female carrying the male figure apparently climbs up a tree. Possibly she is Śūlyikikī.

---

1 The Chinese pilgrims mention a stūpa erected on the spot where the event was supposed to have taken place. C.F. Jacobi: Jaina Religion, Vol. 1, pp. XXXI, CVI and 121 (footnote). For further, see also Legge's translation, p. 29. The Bodhisattva version, the story of Śīvijāna, the son of Uṣsimara, is found in the Mahābhārata, Yavani-pārva, XXXXII, 2242. The Śīvijānastūpa occurs also among the sculptures of the Borobudur in Java. Cf. R. F. H. I. C, Vol. IX (1903), p. 29.
2 The same subject is found on a Mathurā torana pillar in the Lucknow Museum (V. A. Smith, Jain Stūpas of Mathurā, P. XXVIII), but the female carrying the male figure apparently climbs up a tree. Possibly she is Śūlyikikī.
It deserves notice that his attitude is not that of meditation, as is usual in Gandhāra sculptures, but that of protection. To his proper right stands the Gandharva Pañchaśikha, recognizable from his harp. He is followed by six female figures, probably nymphs (apsaras) of Indra’s heaven, of which the first seems to beat time, the second and fourth have their hands joined in adoration and the remaining three carry each a garland and a bunch of flowers as offerings. On the other side of the cave stands Indra himself with hands joined in token of reverence and wearing the peculiar hat which characterizes him both in Gandhāra and Mathurā sculpture. He is attended by two females standing in the same pose. They are followed by three elephants, one of which must be Indra’s vehicle Airāvata. The two other elephants are probably the riding animals of his two female companions. The presence of three elephants is unusual. In the other representations of this episode found in Mathurā we have only one elephant, and in Gandhāra sculpture no elephant is shown, except on a bas-relief (ht. 8”) from Kābir Kōṭ now preserved in the British Museum.

The two square panels which indicate the junction of the architrave with the supporting pillars are each carved with a bulbous vase filled with lotus-flowers, whilst the projecting curved beams are decorated with a winged and fish-tailed monster with human bust, a blending of the indigenous makara and the Triton of classical art.

The other side of the architrave is divided in the same manner. Here the central scene evidently refers to the worship of the Būdhī tree, the foliage of which is shown emerging from the windows and above the roof of a building. This sanctuary is approached by twelve male worshippers elegantly draped in flowing robes and arrayed in two rows of six on each side of the building.

The two square panels at the sides show, the one the worship of a stūpa and the other that of a Wheel-of-the-Law supported by four lions, the fourth lion at the back being invisible. This latter device is well-known as the crowning member of pillars, such as the Aśoka pillar of Sarnāth. It is, therefore, quite possible that the three devotional scenes shown here side by side refer to the Buddha’s Enlightenment, First Sermon and Death.1 In Amarasvāti sculptures we find indeed the Nirvāṇa symbolized by the worship of a stūpa. This is, for instance, the case with a stele in the Madras Museum, where the four great scenes are shown, namely the Great Renunciation, the Enlightenment, the First Sermon and the Worship of the Stūpa.

The lōrana architrave just described affords an excellent illustration of the true character of the Mathurā school as a direct descendant of the older Indian school of Central India, strongly influenced by the Greco-Buddhist art of the North-West. In all its essential features, both structural and decorative, it is obviously derived from such lōrana beams as we know from Bharhat and Sānehi. The three scenes of adoration carved on one side recall at once these Central-Indian monuments. But the scene of Indra’s visit to Buddha in the cave is copied from Gandharanian examples, though not without important modifications. The fabulous beasts which on both sides occupy the projecting ends of the architrave embody both Indian and Hellenistic elements.

With regard to the last-mentioned decorative device, I may add that Mathurā has produced fragments of lōrana architraves which show a still closer affinity to the Old Indian school. The Local Museum contains two fragments (M. 2; ht. 7½”) and M.
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INSCRIPTIONS ON MATHURA SCULPTURES.

1. KATRA BODHISATTVA IMAGE INSCRIPTION.

2. ANYOU BUDDHA IMAGE INSCRIPTION.

3. BODHISATTVA PEDAEL INSCRIPTION.

4. BODHISATTVA FRAGMENT INSCRIPTION.
7; ht. 11½") of torana beams, on each of which the end is carved with a yawning crocodile (makara) evidently a direct descendant of similar beasts found on the gates of Bharhut. A close comparison will, however, show that the two are not identical. At Bharhut the makara has still distinctly the character of a crocodile, though the hind part of the animal is, as it were, completely enveloped in the spiral ornament of the architrave end. The Mathurā makara is a clumsy creature, more like a fish than a crocodile. It has two fore paws but these are very inconspicuous and its curled-up fish-tail follows the curved outline of the stone (Plate XXVII, 6). It deserves notice that in the second fragment in the Mathurā Museum a new element has been produced, in the shape of a kinnara, armed with sword and shield, who apparently is about to be swallowed by the ungainly monster *(Fig. 6)*.

Here I may note a fragment of a frieze which Pandit Radha Krishna obtained from the city of Mathurā (M. M. No. I-58; ht. 10½", length 3' 2½") it shows a procession consisting of a lay-member carrying a garland, and five monks, of which one holds a fly-whisk, another apparently a boy, blows a couch-shell and the remaining three are beating drums. They seem to emerge from the gate of what may be either a town or a Buddhist convent (Plate XXVII, d).

**Gods and goddesses.**

I now wish to discuss some representations of deities not exclusively Buddhist. The first is Sūrya the Sun-god. The earliest representations of this deity in India are closely connected with the conception of classical art. For he is shown standing on a chariot drawn by four horses abreast. This is the case not only in Gandhāra sculpture but also on a railing pillar from Bōdh Gaya now in the Indian Museum.³

It is well-known that in medieval art Sūrya's chariot is invariably drawn by seven horses. This number evidently refers to the seven days of the week. We may also suspect some connection with the Buddhist goddess of Dawn, Mārīchī or Vajra-varahi, standing on her chariot drawn by seven bears.

Mathurā here also evidently followed the older schools, as will be seen from a sculpture in the Local Museum (No. D-46; ht. 2' 9") which, though very inferior as regards artistic merit, is in its main features similar to the earlier examples (Plate XXVIII, c). The very corpulent Sūrya is shown squatting on a chariot drawn by four horses. He holds in each hand an indistinct object, probably meant for a lotus-flower. He has long locks and wears a flat torque. It deserves notice that his shoulders are provided with small wings, as we find in Garuda figures of the period.

---

The whole figure is surrounded with a circular halo. We have already drawn attention to a very similar representation of Sūrya on a slab in the Lucknow Museum.

Among the acquisitions of recent years there is a male figure, of which the head, the right arm and the legs are missing (M. M. No. E-24; ht. 1' 9"). He wears a torque, a flat necklace, bracelets and a garland of lotus-flowers slung round his shoulders. The interesting feature of this image is the attribute which it holds in its left hand. It is a thunderbolt (vajra), three-pronged on both sides, which looks like the prototype of the vajra found in medieval manuscripts from Nepal. Judging from the style, I would assign this sculpture to the Kushāṇa period. It deserves notice that it is carved in the round (Plate XXVIII, b).

Another interesting find consists of two fragments of a colossal image which Pandit Radha Krisha obtained from the village of Barodā, 4 miles from Parkham and 2 miles from Chhargao (Plate XXVIII, a.). One fragment (ht. 4' 2") consists of the head and bust with part of the left arm, and the other (ht. 2' 5") of the feet and pedestal of the statue. They are now preserved in the Mathurā Museum (No. C-28). The carving is much obliterated, but the heavy earrings, the necklace with four tassels at the back, and the flat girdle above the abdomen indicate a close affinity between this image and the colossal statue from Parkham, likewise in the Mathurā Museum (No. C-1; ht. 8' 8") which, on account of its inscription, may be assigned to the second century B.C. The Barodā image must belong to the same period and, when entire, must have measured not less than 12' in height, viz. more than double life-size. Both these images were being worshipped by the villagers, the Parkham image under the name of Dēvata and the Barodā one under that of Yakhēyā which seems derived from Sanskrit yaksha (Prakrit yakka). It is not impossible that these images in reality represent yakshas, or perhaps the lord of the yakshas, in other words, Kubera, the god of wealth.

In this connexion I may mention that at Mathurā numerous, usually small-sized, sculptures have been found which represent two corpulent figures, male and female, squatting side by side (Fig. 7). The male, seated to the proper right, holds two objects which, in most cases, appear to be a cup and a money-bag. The female usually holds a flower in her right hand and in her left a child seated on her knee. Sometimes her only attribute is a flower and in one instance (No. C-30) there are, besides the male figure, two females holding a flower and a cup respectively. It seems most likely that these figures represent the god of wealth and the goddess of fertility, though it is impossible to say under what names they were
worshipped at Mathurā. It deserves notice that one sculpture of this type (M. M. No. C-28; ht 9") bears a short inscription which reads, Priyati sidha[h] “May the Siddha be pleased.” From this it would follow that the male figure was meant to represent a siddha and not a yaksha. Anyhow the large number and small size of these sculptures seem to indicate that they belonged to popular worship and probably were votive offerings. In the case of No. C-30 of the Mathurā Museum we find indeed four clumsy figurines carved at the feet of the three seated deities. They are shown standing with hands joined in the attitude of adoration and seem to represent human worshippers, probably the donors of the sculpture.

The popularity of deities bestowing wealth and children, the two chief aims of the Indian house-holder, is not difficult to explain. The god of wealth we find worshipped throughout Buddhist India and, side by side with him, the goddes of fertility. Elsewhere I have discussed the peculiar shape under which the former appears in Mathurā sculpture. It would seem that, whereas in Gandhāra he usually holds a money-bag and a staff or spear, we find in Mathurā, instead of the latter attribute, a cup in which a female attendant seems to pour some liquid.

Gandhāra has yielded numerous images of a goddess attended by children, one of which she usually holds in her lap. A well-known example is that excavated at Sikri by Sir Harold Deane and now preserved in the Lahore Museum. The same collection contains an inscribed specimen, very debased in style, which was unearthed in 1901 from Skārō-Dhāri, a mound near Chārsadda. The British Museum possesses a figure evidently of the same goddess, seated with a child in her lap. Such images have been identified as effigies of Hārīti, the mother of yakshas, who was worshipped as a goddess of abundance and fertility.

Among recent acquisitions at Mathurā there is a headless figure of a female seated with an infant placed in her lap and four children between her feet (Plate XXVIII, d). A group of children at play are, moreover, shown on the pedestal. This goddess, notwithstanding her poor state of preservation and very debased style, exhibits so close a resemblance to the seated Hārīti in the British Museum that we are led to identify her with that same deity. I may add that in the village of Tayapur, two miles from Mathurā City, she was being worshipped under the not inappropriate name of Gandhāri, the mother of the Kauravas. So much is certain that, like Gandhāri, she derived her origin from the Gandhāra country. Through the endeavours of Pandit Radha Krishna the sculpture has now been deposited in the Mathurā Museum (No. F. 30; ht. 2' 8").

General Conclusions.

The above account will show that the numerous discoveries made in and around Mathurā during the last three years do not seem to necessitate any considerable modification in the conclusions arrived at in my previous paper. They particularly confirm the character of the Mathurā school as a direct descendant of the ancient art of Bharhut and Śāñchi developed under the influence of the Graeco-Buddhist school of the North-West. Its fundamentally Indian character is best exemplified by the ornamental railings: their pillars, cross-bars and coping stones which have been
found at Mathura in such abundance, recall at a glance the Old-Indian prototypes from Central India. It is true that the so-called dancing girls (more probably yulas) usually found on the Mathura railing pillars form a distinctive feature of the local school, but their origin may be traced back to the inscribed figures of demi- gods and goddesses which appear as guardians on the gates of Bharhut. An indispensable adjunct of the Buddhist railing is the torana with its three architraves. Portions of toranas found at Mathura, such as the beautiful architrave discussed above, clearly show that here also early Indian examples were copied, not however without the influence of Gandhara making itself felt.

Greco-Buddhist influence reveals itself particularly in the Buddha image unknown in the early Indian school and, as far as our materials allow us to judge, created by the Hellenistic artists of the North-West. The Mathura school has different types of Buddha figures, the exact history and meaning of which it is difficult to explain. Nor is it possible to decide why two statuettes of Sakyamuni, identical in all details, should be described, the one as a Bodhisattva and the other as a Buddha. We have, however, succeeded in recognizing the future Buddha Maitreya in a personage in royal dress who holds an unguent-flask in his left hand. This type also must have been derived from Gandhara. It deserves notice that at Mathura both Buddhas and Bodhisattvas are commonly portrayed in the attitude of imparting protection (abhaya-mudra), whilst seated images are also shown in the attitude of meditation (dhyana-mudra). Other mudras are practically unknown here.

The scenes of Buddha’s life are very few compared to the infinite wealth of legendary scenes depicted in Gandhara sculpture. At Mathura they are almost entirely limited to the four main events: the birth, enlightenment, first sermon and death. On the miniature stupa drum from Dhruv Tilä (now in the Mathura Museum) there are eight panels, including the four main events, but the four remaining panels do not exhibit the four secondary scenes found in Gupta sculpture. Apparently those minor scenes had not yet been fixed at Mathura.

The stories of the Buddha’s previous existences were as favourite a subject with the sculptors of Mathura as with their brethren of Bharhut and Gandhara. Here also classical influence is noticeable in that the story is not condensed in a single panel but told in a series of scenes. Specially interesting are the Bhutasar railing pillars, on each of which three panels occur referring to different jatakas. The following jatakas we have so far been able to identify: Visvantara-(Pali Vessantara)-jataka, Vyaghri-jataka, Valahessa-jataka, Sivi-jataka and Dipaśkaras-jataka. Besides, there are fragments of railing pillars on which we have recognised the Kachchhapa-jataka and the Ulaka-jataka.

The decorative elements in Mathura sculpture are partly derived from Old-Indian art and partly from the Greco-Buddhist art of Gandhara. Among the classical elements, which in general exhibit an advanced stage of degeneration, I note the garland-carrying Erotes which appear, still further indiamentized, in the sculptures of Amaravati.

Recent discoveries have corroborated the view that the flourishing period of the Mathura school of sculpture coincided with the reign of the Kushana rulers, Kaniśka Huvshika and Vāsudeva. We may even go a step further and assert that it was
particularly Huvishka whose sovereignty favoured the growth of Mathurā art. For among inscribed images several appear to have been made in his reign.¹ There is indeed some reason to assume that the development of Mathurā sculpture was largely due to royal patronage, if we remember that Huvishka himself founded a monastery there in the year 477.

The great influence exercised by the Mathurā school on Buddhist art in other religious centres is further evidenced by Mr. Marshall's discovery of an inscribed Budhisattva on the site of the Jetavana, as in the inscription this image is definitely stated to be the work of a sculpture from Mathurā.²

We may confidently hope that further explorations at Mathurā will bring to light epigraphical records which will allow us further to build up the history of the Kushan dynasty. But it is hardly to be expected that later discoveries of sculptures will modify in any large degree the main conclusions regarding the character of the Mathurā school and its place in Buddhist art, which we have attempted to outline in the present paper.

J. Ph. Vogel.

¹ Cf. my Mathurā Museum Catalogue, Appendix I. Let me note here that in my present paper I have referred to Huvishka as the son of Kāśīkha. There is, however, nothing to prove that such a relation existed between the two rulers, or even that the one immediately succeeded the other.

BUDDHIST STŪPA AT MĪRPUR-KHĀS, SIND.

THE town of Mirpur-Khās, which has lately been made the head-quarters of the District of Thar and Parkar, in place of Umarkot, less conveniently situated, is upon the line of rail connecting Hyderabad (Sind) with Marwar junction and is 42 miles east of the former place. About half a mile to the north of the town is an area of land, roughly about thirty acres, covered with mounds, over the surface of which brickbats and potsherds are freely scattered. Very little excavation is sufficient to show that these mounds represent the sites and ruined foundations of ancient buildings of sorts; and the occurrence of very large bricks of an early pattern and make, together with numerous fragments of htilis of small dāgobas, make it pretty certain that the site was that of a Buddhist colony. The place is known now as Kahu-jo-Daro.

From stray notices of occasional excavation work attempted from time to time in the past by local officials (in one of which it is stated that, when the Hyderabad-Umarkot railway was being made, the contractors excavated bricks in this old town to break up for making concrete, and found a plentiful supply in the old tower, a few figures and ornamental bricks being spared), I had assumed that all evidence of the tower had practically disappeared, and so did not visit the place personally, being fully occupied elsewhere when in Sind. But a proposal having been made to use the material of these mounds for filling in insanitary hollows around the town, Mr. Mackenzie, the Deputy Commissioner, asked me, when at Brāhmanādbād in February 1909, whether I could spare a day to see the mounds. This I did and was surprised to find that there was so much of the stūpa, which occupied the centre of the north end of the general site, still remaining. I also saw that what remained of the core of the mound had not been disturbed, and that, if it was a relic mound, the relics were probably still within it. The mound, generally, was in such a ruined and dilapidated state, being apparently nothing but a heap of mud débris, that I had little hope of recovering any of its original walling. As the season at my visit was then too far advanced to do anything further than merely inspect the mound and bring away a quantity of sculptured bricks, I determined to return to it during the following season.

1 Not the umbrella, but the T-shaped top of the dāgoba.
Buddhist stūpa at Mirpur-Khās, Sind.

It is recorded that in January 1859 the Hon. Mr. James Gibbs excavated the base of a brick thāl in which was found a vase of fine earthenware containing some pieces of crystal and amethyst. This deposit is said to have been placed in the Karachi Museum, together with a head in greenish stone presented by Sir Battle Frere; but neither of these are to be found in the Museum now. When Mr. Woodburn, I.C.S., was Collector of Hyderabad, about 1894, he rescued from the depredations of the railway contractors a large terra-cotta seated figure of the Buddha, and a head and aureole of another. These, as will be seen on comparison with the photos of similar images accompanying this article, were evidently taken out of the very walls of the stūpa, and are the only ones which I found missing. It is strange that the wall, in which it was placed, was not noticed, though it contained other statues, uncovered during my excavation.

My exploration of the stūpa was carried out during February and March 1910. Should there have been no walls standing in the mound, I thought it quite likely that the lower courses of the basement would still be there, so I made cuttings from the outside ground level straight into the middle of the south and east sides. At the same time, having located the centre of the mound as near as I could guess, I began sinking a 10' well down the middle through the hard sun-dried brickwork. In the first two cuttings we soon came upon the edges of the square burnt-brick platform upon which the stūpa stood, and this was opened out to right and left of each cutting. We next uncovered three of the corners of this platform, which was only a few bricks thick; and it seems to have been upon this insecure foundation that the whole structure was raised.

Around the circular core of the stūpa, which projected from the top of the mound, was a kind of rough platform, indicating the upper surface of a basement upon which the tower stood. This was deep in loose débris, and I started to have this cleared, when, from the south edge, a head of a Buddha rolled away as the first few inches of earth covering it were removed. An examination of the spot showed us that we had struck the line of a wall in which was a sunk panel containing a seated Buddha. As the head of the image was only just below the surface, the shoulders and neck had disintegrated, owing to the alternate wet and dry weather and the presence of saltpetre (kallar) in the soil and brickwork which, crystallizing and dissolving in turn, works great mischief with brickwork in Sind, reducing it in time to powder. As this wall was opened out, other image niches were found, and it was easily seen that we had struck the south wall of the great square basement of the stūpa. This was followed until all four sides were laid bare. Three sides—the north, east and south—were found to be alike, the upper wall space being divided into five bays by pilasters whose bases rested upon the top member of a great heavy roll moulding plinth which ran round the three walls and parts of the fourth (i.e., the west) side. The three central bays on each of these three sides each contained an image niche, while the two end ones on each side had a similar niche but filled with blind lattice tracery in intricate patterns in imitation of latticed windows. (Plate XXXI.) Two adjacent niches at the north-east corner had such, the niches at the other corners of the three sides being ruined.

1 Rider, p. 44.
In order to uncover the four walls of the basement, we had to dig through solid burnt brickwork. This had been carefully built against the walls, and extended outwards from them some twelve to fifteen feet, and was carried up to the tops of the walls as we found them. The walls, with their mouldings and images, were thus completely and purposely buried some time soon after their erection, since the great bricks employed for this purpose were of the same size and make as those in the walls.

To account for this wholesale burying of the great basement of the stūpa, my first idea was that it was done upon the first invades of the Arabs into Sind in the beginning of the 8th century, in order to protect and save the images from their iconoclastic zeal; but, upon clearing the walls completely, down to the original ground level, the reason for this heavy brickwork became apparent. From the lines of the mouldings in the basement, about 6' high, it was seen that the stūpa had not only subsided some 8" into the soil in the middle of the walls, where the weight of the upper tower pressed more directly upon them, but had also bulged outwards to the same extent on all four sides. This, due to insufficiently strong foundations, must have taken place at or very soon after the completion of the tower, and, as the stability of the whole edifice was threatened, there was nothing left for the builders to do but to buttress it up with this heavy brickwork. It is to this buttressing and burying of the walls that the remarkable preservation of the images is due. The subsidence inwards and downwards of the vault of the central shrine, in itself, shows the danger that was threatening the whole edifice, which had to be immediately provided against.

But a silent force of a different character was at work all this time trying to undo or, at least, mar the work of man. The kallar, already referred to, found everywhere in the soil of Sind, rises with the rains into the porous body of any brickwork accessible, and drying and crystallizing in the dry weather, bursts and pulverises the fabric. This takes place more rapidly in the presence of air, and we found amongst bricks which, not so long ago, were stacked by contractors for removal, many that had, since then, become just masses of powder. This kallar had already attacked parts of the walls and most of the images of the Buddha, that in the western niche on the north side being found encrusted to a depth of half an inch with an efflorescence of crystals, which had to be carefully chiselled away.1

1 Dr. Mann, Principal of the Poona Agricultural College, was kind enough to analyze some crystal of this kallar which I brought away with me. He found it composed of:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gypsum</td>
<td>88.82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium Sulphate</td>
<td>1.31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium Carbonate</td>
<td>3.32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic matter</td>
<td>3.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand</td>
<td>2.90%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

100.03%

He considers the injurious material to be the alkaline sodium carbonate.

He also examined some of the earth from the site of Brahmanabad, which is being taken away by the villagers in fertilising their fields. Considered as a manure, it contained the following constituents:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphoric Acid</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potash</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is worth about one quarter as much as cattle manure. The soluble salts which it contained were:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calcicum Sulphate</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium Chloride</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium Chloride</td>
<td>32.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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(a) STUPA BEFORE EXCAVATION, FROM THE N.-E.

(b) STUPA AFTER EXCAVATION, FROM THE N.-E.
(c) NORTH-WEST CORNER OF STUPA.

(d) SHRINES ON WEST SIDE OF STUPA.
The images had all been painted, those of the Buddha having red robes and a golden coloured complexion, with black eyes and hair.

The west side of the basement of the stūpa was unlike the other three, and was probably the front of the building. In the middle of this side, which projects slightly, were found three little cell shrines (see Plate XXX), one occupying the centre and facing west, and one on each side of it, and at right angles to it, facing one another. In front of these, and common to the three, was a small ante-chamber. There was more construction still in advance of this, as shown by the foundations, but so ruined as to be now without shape or form. But on either side of this group of shrines the masonry seems to have run forward (westward), as if for a pair of staircases leading to the platform above the roof of the shrines and round the base of the circular tower. The shrines themselves are small, being about 4' square. When the walls around were buttressed up, these appear to have been filled up solid, and from the way the only remaining portion of the roof of the central shrine has been excavated, the filling up and buttressing was not commenced a day too soon. I excavated a portion of the filling-in from these shrines, sufficient to show that in the back or central one, at least, had been a bench or altar across the back, as if for an image. The side ones, no doubt, had the same, but were much ruined. In the central shrine, standing on the floor and leaning against the north side wall at A, was found a large slab of terra-cotta, bearing a male standing figure in relief. This I shall describe further on. It was embedded in the filling-in of brickwork. No other images were found; and if images of the Buddha ever rested in these shrines, they must have been removed previous to the buttressing-up of the building.

In the middle and south shrines (Plate XXXIII) we have portions of the roofing of each remaining. That of the middle one is constructed wagon-vaulted, by corbelling forward the bricks from the springing lines along the tops of the side walls to the apex, and dressing the undersides to a segmental curve. The vault runs from front to back. In the south shrine, however, we find something calculated to upset a hitherto very cherished belief that the true arch came into India with the Muhammadians, and was probably not known before their time. For here we have a fragment of an actual true arch, built with properly formed brick voussoirs, the bricks being built on edge. Ferguson does not exactly commit himself to this statement, but says:1 "Although we cannot assert with absolute certainty that the Buddhists never employed a true arch, this at least is certain—that no structural example has yet been found in India, and that all the arched or circular forms found in the caves are, without one single exception, copies of wooden forms, and nowhere even simulate stone construction. With the Hindus and Jains the case is different; they use stone arches and stone domes which are not copied from wooden forms at all; but these are invariably horizontal arches [like that of the central shrine], never formed or intended to be formed with radiating voussoirs." 2

There are here portions of some six arch rings, part of an arched vault which formed the roof of this shrine. The top and bottom edges of the bricks are curved to the curve of the vault, while the other edges radiate towards a centre. The

---


---
bricks measure 1 1/4" from corner to corner across the bottom, they are 10" deep and 2 1/2" thick. I found one or two similar arch rings at Brähmanábad, but being so possessed by the prevalent belief, I attributed them to the Arabs who built upon the old site.

The horizontal lintels of the doors of these shrines were of wood, some of which still remained, but thoroughly decayed and mostly reduced to powder.

At either end of the east wall, on the face (at B-B on plan), had been very large panels or niches, the sills only remaining, with a base of two of the flanking pilasters or pillared. Under the sill, at the south end, was a band of decorated bricks with a little female figure holding a pot, shoulder high, repeated three times, at each end and in the middle. Between these large panels and the shrines were two smaller panels, one on each side, of peculiar outline, that on the north side holding a small image of a seated Buddha, whose hands are broken off, while that on the south side has been mostly destroyed.

The arched arrangement over the central Buddha image, on the north face, is similar to the façade of the Višvakarma cave at Elura. (Plate XXXI.) By placing a Buddha in the doorway below, in the latter, we would have a first-rate counterpart of the niche and arch on the wall. But it still more closely resembles, in some respects, the upper face of the front of the Buddhist structural temple at Ter, the two being nearer together in point of time. The little niche in the arch, with no meaning in the case of the stupa, unless it held a small image, was the upper window in the case of the Elura and Ter examples. Going a step further, the front of the thatched Toda hut is very similar to the upper façade of the Ter Chaitya; nay, the whole upper structure, thick curved roof and all, seems to be but a copy of the hut of these aboriginals, which probably has not changed its shape or material for two thousand years.

In front of the three shrines was a great quantity of loose débris, which seems to have fallen from above; and irregularly embedded in this were found over a hundred unburnt clay votive tablets, of different sizes and patterns; and, scattered about amongst them, copper coins, thirty-six of which were recovered, but all as lumps of green verdigris in which it seemed hopeless to expect to find a copper core. These were all located in the débris about 6' above the original ground or floor level in front of the shrines. But upon the ground level, to the left, front of the central shrine door, was found a terra-cotta stamp for making such tablets.

The wall which we sunk through the middle of the stupa was continued until we reached a depth of 25' from the summit of the mound, when, in the centre, as near as possible, we came upon a square area of kiln-burnt brickwork, about 4' square. As the upper layers of bricks were removed, a little chamber in the middle, about 15' square, revealed itself. (Plate XXXIV.) Its sides were set parallel with the sides of the stupa. Within this reposed a stone coffer composed of two thick flat circular stones, roughly dressed to shape, about 13" in diameter, and 5" to 6" thick. One formed the bottom, and the other the top or lid. In the centre of both was a cup-shaped hollow about 3" in diameter, the lower one being 2 1/2", and the

---

(a) STONE COFFER IN BRICK CHAMBER.

(b) BASEMENT MOULDINGS OF WAllS.
upper one 1\(\frac{1}{2}\)" deep. Standing within this cavity in the lower stone was a small crystal bottle, while round it and upon the top of the coffer, outside, were sprinkled, amidst a quantity of white sand, from which they had to be sifted, a number of offerings consisting of coral beads (63), crystal beads, drilled and undrilled (7), two small crystals cut to simulate diamonds, each about half the size of a pea, very small seed pearls, most of them not much larger than a pin’s head, and all drilled (30), four gold beads, two being ribbed melon-shaped and about three-eighths of an inch in diameter, one small gold wire ring, ten copper coins, some small lumps of charcoal, a few grains of wheat, and some other small odd beads and chips. The numbers in brackets are those recovered from the sand with which they were mixed. (Plate XXXV.)

Upon the mouth of the crystal bottle was a small flat silver cap, much corroded and on the cap rested a copper finger ring, also corroded, the corrosion causing it to adhere to the cap so tightly as to break when being taken off. Inside the bottle was a small silver cylindrical case with slip-on lid, but so corroded that the lid came to pieces in taking it off. The case had been wrapped round with gold leaf, which was as fresh and bright as the day it was put on. This case measures 1" in length by 1\(\frac{5}{16}\)" diameter, with a slip-on lid. When the lid was removed, and the case inverted over a clean sheet of white paper, a very minute substance about the size of a pin’s head rolled out, together with a speck or two of dust. There was nothing else in the case. But within the crystal bottle, underneath the cases and not in the latter was found about an egg-spoonful of what appears to be brown funeral ash, some lumps of which have the texture and convex surface of charred bone. (Plate XXXVI.)

In each of the south-east and south-west corners of the relic chamber, between the stone coffers and the bricks, was a little earthen pot, with narrow mouth, filled with sand. The sand was removed and sieved carefully, but nothing was found in it. In the mako of the pots the surface was grooved in narrow rings.

A considerable amount of the brick buttressing and fallen débris around the stūpa remained undisturbed by us. We merely removed sufficient to lay the walls bare. In the débris were found carved bricks in a great variety of patterns, as shown in the illustrations, and of very superior workmanship, so much so as to merit the term terra-cotta rather than brick. (Plate XXXVII.) Among the designs were found several varieties of the key pattern or Greek fret; indeed, Greek influence in ornamental details is very apparent in several of the very few fragments retrieved by us; and, in this respect, connects the work closely with that of the Indo-Greek remains of Gandhāra. I have compared Greek ornament as taken from Nicholson’s Principles of Architecture with some of the carved bricks from the stūpa in the accompanying illustration. (Fig. 1.)

Two medallions, bearing the image of Kubera, were found, and several square tiles with grotesque faces. (Plate XXXV.) From the number of tiles with the face shown on Plate XXXV it would appear that there must have been at least one whole string-course of them around the tower of the stūpa. A few fragments of pilaster capitals—the pilasters that formed belts around the tower—were recovered, and in Plate XXX I have endeavoured to reconstruct one of these capitals. The little image of a standing Buddha in the middle of the capital is found in Graeco-Buddhist
examples, and the capital itself is a lineal descendant of the Corinthian through Gandhāra.

![Greek ornament, from Nicholson's Principles of Architecture.](image1)

![Ornament from Stupa at Mirpur-Khas, Sindh.](image2)

Fig. 1.

There is little now left upon the spot to give us any clue to the original shape of the stūpa, but by comparing the little there is with what we know of other stūpas, it is not difficult to imagine the outline of the complete building. To begin with, sufficient remains to show that the lowest part of the structure was a great square basement, 53' 6" from corner to corner, and rising in its ruined state to a height of 14' 6". Allowing for missing mouldings above the capitals of the pilasters, the basement was probably about 18' high. Upon this rose a cylindrical tower with domed top to about the same height as its diameter, namely, about 37'. This would give a total height of 55', or as high as the square of the basement at ground level. The tower above the basement was probably decorated with one or more bands of pilasters, with image-niches between the pilasters, and numerous string courses and mouldings of sculptured brick. When complete, the dome was possibly crowned by some wooden erection in the shape of a great triple umbrella.

As previously stated, there were three images of the Buddha upon each of the three faces of the basement—the north, east and south. Of these nine, seven were in position when I uncovered the walls. Two were missing, viz., one from the southern niche on the east side and the one just round the corner—the eastern one on the south side. Both of these are accounted for, as they were extracted by Mr. Woodburn as already noticed. Or, rather, he found a whole image and a head, probably the body of the second was broken in removal.

All these images, which are about half life size, are seated cross-legged in the "meditative" attitude (āhyāna-mudrā), with both hands in the lap, one upon the other, with the palms upwards. (Plate XXXVIII.) The Buddha is represented in

---

2 They are illustrated in J. B. B. R. A. S., Vol. XIX, pp. 44 ff.
some as seated upon a lotus and in others on a four-legged stool. His body is fully clothed with an ample robe which covers both shoulders and hangs in folds. The way the skirt of this robe fits his legs makes it look almost as if he wore trousers, and it would seem from the folds on the legs that he wears an under-garment which hangs lower than the outer robe. The end of the robe, in front, depends over the seat from under him. The robe covers both arms to the wrists. In all the figures, save one, the hair is of the short curly kind; in the exception, in the eastern image on the north side it is represented straight and dressed back from the front. (Plate XXXVIII, e.) Between the eyes, in most of the images, is a small circle to indicate the ārunā, one of Buddha's special marks, denoting enlightenment (bodhi). As it is a grooved ring and not a protrusion, possibly some metallic button was attached. The knob (uskhriskat) on the top of the head is present, though not very prominent. The ear-lobes are elongated, and the eyes, in some, are fairly open, while in others they are half closed. Behind the head is a decorated nimbus, alike in all except the straight-haired image, where, instead of a circular band of square and round lotuses, it has a scroll band of conventional leaves. All the images have been painted, and for this purpose they appear to have been covered with a thin egg-shell layer of very superior clay before baking. The complexion has been a wheaten or golden one, with black hair, eyebrows and pupils of the eyes. The robe has been painted a bright rosy red. The robe covering both shoulders proves clearly that the Buddha image of Sind, or, at all events, of the Mirpur Khās stūpa, came from Gandhāra.

I have mentioned a smaller image on the west face of the stūpa, on the north side of the shrine, in a peculiarly designed frame (see Plate XXXI). There was a similar one on the south side of the centre, shown by the remains of a frame of like design. This image is a particularly good one, with the robe worn in the same way as in those described. He sits upon a lotus, and the background is made up of leaves spreading out from the back of the body all around.1 The leaves have a flame-like shape. The eyes in this image are quite shut, the upper and lower lids meeting. The arms have been broken off from the elbows; they were probably placed in the lap, but it is impossible to make certain, as the fore-arms and hands have not been in contact anywhere with the rest of the image. There are no fractured surfaces upon the upturned feet or legs to show where they rested.

As the stūpa is in such a ruinous condition, and so little of it is left, I removed six Buddha images from the walls for safer custody. Exposed as they now would have been to the air and rains, the kālār with which each is more or less impregnated would soon cause their disintegration. With a Muhammadan population in close proximity they would also have been, in their isolated position, exposed to wanton damage. Three Buddha images were left, viz., two on the east and one on the north face. Those which I removed will eventually be housed in the new Prince of Wales Museum in Bombay.

The clay tablets which we found, out in front of the west face, in the fallen débris, some 6' above the original ground level, were all in unburnt clay.

---

1A Gandhāra image, with a similar background, may be seen illustrated in the Journal of Indian Art, Vol. VIII, plate 16, fig. 1. (The figure referred to by Mr. Courtnay occurs on the pedestal of an image of the seated Buddha and probably represents the earth goddess.)
(Plate XXXIX.) The manner of making these appears to have been this: a ball of fine kneaded clay was held in one hand while a seal mould was pressed into it with the other. Some of these balls of clay have the impression deep down within them. They are of different sizes varying from about $1\frac{1}{2}$" to 6" in their greater length, for they are mostly oval in shape. Some have an impression of Buddha seated cross-legged with the right hand pointing downwards in the "witness" attitude (bhūmi-sparśa-mudrā), others have him seated in the European fashion with the legs hanging down and hands before the breast in the "Preaching" attitude (dharmachakra-mudrā). The robe is not shown very distinctly, but on some the ridge passing from the left shoulder down towards the right waist indicates that, unlike the other sculptures on the wall, the robe leaves the right shoulder bare. Many of the tablets, instead of an image of the Buddha, have representations of very elongated Burmese-looking stūpas—one, three, ten or a hundred being impressed on each tablet. The last are circular tablets, and the stūpas are arranged in rows, which, being small, look like rows of small screws standing on their heads. Under the Buddhas and stūpas is, in each case, the Buddhist formula Yē dharmā, etc., in letters of about the 7th or 8th century A.D.¹

With these tablets were found about thirty-six copper coins which had evidently, with the tablets, been placed there as offerings. These were but round lumps of verdigris, but a much corroded core of copper was got from each, upon many of which were portions of Arabic inscriptions. Some had the four-leaved flower upon one side, from which, as well as from the letters legible, it is easy to identify them as coins of the early Arabs in Sind.² The position in which these tablets were found, and the Arab coins, prove that worship was performed and offerings were made at the stūpa after it was more or less ruined, and as late as the time of the early Arab occupation of the province (A.D. 715).

To return to the relics. The ten copper coins, found with the other offerings in the relic coffer, were but lumps of verdigris, and, except from their shape being rectangular, could hardly be distinguished from the coins found with the votive tablets. The extent of corrosion being practically the same in both cases shows clearly that the coins in the coffer, hermetically sealed, as it were, within the mound, to have attained that amount of corrosion must have been deposited there many centuries before those were placed on the outside, where they have been but a few feet below the surface, subject to wet and dry for the last nine hundred years at least.

The crystal reliquary had been broken, and the bottom portion lost. It had then been mended by putting a tight-fitting case of silver reaching half-way up the phial on to the bottom. The lip around the top had also been chipped. This points to an accident having happened at or before the time of enshrining the phial, which probably fell from the hands of some person who was holding it. The fact of the new silver bottom having been provided, and a silver cap to take the place

¹ The tablet reproduced as figure d on Plate XXXIX more particularly refers to Buddha's first sermon in the Deer park of Benares, as is indicated by the two deer visible under the inscription. Figure e represents Buddha at the moment of his enlightenment (bodhi), the foliage of the Bodhi tree being shown over his head. [Ed.]

² Such coins I have already published in my account of Brahmanabad-Manjura in Sind. A. S. R., for 1903-04, plate XLVII.
Sculptured bricks from the wall.
of a crystal stopper or lid, would lead one to suppose that this reliquary had held the relics already for so long a time that it was felt it would have been sacrilege to throw away the phial for a new one, even in its shattered condition. But as we did not find the fragments of the crystal receptacle with it, it is probable that the accident took place some time previous to its interment at Mirpur Khās. When placing the stone pot containing the inner caskets and relics into the coffers at the Boria Stūpa at Junāgadh 1 a somewhat similar accident seems to have taken place, for we found the fragments of the lower part of a stone pot, like the one in the coffers, about 4 from the latter, buried in the mound. I have already noted the discovery in 1859, by the Hon'ble Mr. James Gibbs, of a vase in the upper part of this stūpa, containing "some pieces of crystal and amethyst." Could these have been the fragments of the broken reliquary which, after it was found that they had been forgotten when the relics were closed up in the relic chamber, were subsequently placed in the earthen pot and buried higher up in the mound? In any case, it seems clear that the reliquary, and therefore the relics, existed long before the present stūpa was built over them. Is, then, the present stūpa a reconstruction of an older one—one of one of the many built by Aśoka when he redistributed the relics of Buddha, in order that the actual bodily presence of the Great Teacher might pervade the land through all its length and breadth? If so, this would account for the very small relic, if relic it is, found in the gold case?

It is recorded by Huien Tsiang (in the 7th century A.D.) that in his days there were several hundred saṅghārāmaṇas in Sind, occupied by about 10,000 priests, and that they studied the Little Vehicle. He also adds that "when Tathāgata was in the world, he frequently passed through this country, therefore Aśoka-raja has founded several tens of stūpas in places where the sacred traces of his presence were found. Upaṇ Gupta, the great Arhat, sojourned very frequently in this kingdom, explaining the law and convincing and guiding men. The places where he stopped and the traces he left are all commemorated by the building of saṅghārāmaṇa or the erection of stūpas. These buildings are seen everywhere."3

The silver case, holding the smaller gold one, was wrapped around with gold leaf, which even now is as bright and fresh as on the day it was used—gold leaf, too, as fine as any produced at the present day. If the small particle, found in the otherwise empty and clean gold case, which again was enclosed in the silver one, was accidental and counted for naught, it is difficult to understand why the silver case should have been so carefully enveloped in gold leaf. Those who applied gold leaf surely thought they were wrapping up something more than the mere cases! If we accept it as a relic, it must indeed have been very, very precious to be

2 We are told that he opened up seven of the eight stūpas containing the relics of Buddha and redistributed them amongst 5,000 stūpas which he had caused to be built. Probably "stūpas" is an exaggeration, as compared to this like the "thousand-pilled" halls, in none of which a thousand pillars ever existed. 3 A little maṇḍapa temple supposed to have half halls of image disposed about them. It is easy to doubt that Aśoka redistributed the relics, putting back again a smaller quantity into the open stūpa, being and devoted to spreading them over the whole country for the outer worship of those in far away places.

3 H. S. J. E., Buddhīk Records of the Western World, India, Vol. II, p. 297. We have seen already, for example, on at Thal Bikaner, near Mainag near Poonch, near Delhi; and at Firozstan, itself at Mirpur-Khas; one near Ludhiana Khās; and one near Lahore, 11 reports of other places, which, upon examination, may turn out to be ruined stūpas.
such a mite, and hence the probability is that it was thought to be of the Buddha himself. The brown funereal ash and bits of calcined bone, about a small tea-spoonful, found in the bottle under the silver and gold cases, and not in them, are undoubtedly relics, and if the particle in the gold case is that of Buddha, the other is probably that of some famous local saint, perhaps Upagupta the patron saint of Sind in Ashoka's time, and the friend and erstwhile religious associate of that king. That it is not unusual to find relics of more than one individual in one stūpa is shown by the discovery of those of several great Arhats in four reliquaries, all inscribed, in the one stūpa, No. 2, at Sanchi.¹

The statue slab found in the central shrine on the west face of the stūpa is very interesting and curious. (Plate XXXVIII, fig. b.) On digging out the core of brick buttressing, with which the cell had been filled, this slab, which measures 30½" high by 12½" across, was found standing upon the floor leaning against the north wall. The feet were broken off and were not found. The statue seems to be the portrait of some male person of note. It is not a Bodhisattva, else it would have had a halo round the head, and would have been, in other respects, more like those images as we find them elsewhere. The figure is standing full to the front, resting upon the right leg; the right hand, raised almost to the level of the centre of the breast, holds a small lotus flower offering, while the left rests flat upon what appears to be a wallet slung loosely round his waist and depending upon his left thigh. He is not, as is so often seen in such figures, grasping a knot of his garment. His clothing is simple in the extreme, and is just such as one sees on Jains as they enter their shrines to do worship, being, apparently, but a single waist-cloth whose folds hang to the back on the left side.² The upper portion of the body from the waist, and the legs below the knees are bare. He wears an elaborate headdress arranged in rows of horizontal curls, from underneath which bunches of vertical curls fall upon each shoulder. The statue has been painted. The complexion was "golden" or wheat colour, the waist-cloth, which, though scanty, is rich, was red, while the wig, eyebrows, pupils, and moustache were black. I am inclined to think the image represents the builder of the stūpa. This would account for this particular image, though loose, not having been removed from the shrines, while others, which they held for worship, were taken out, when the buttressing-up of the stūpa became necessary. That the shrines held Buddha images, or some images for worship, is shown by the remains of a shallow altar against the back wall of this central cell. The Buddha images, already described, in the walls, were permanently built in and could not be taken out without breaking, and thus still further weakening the walls.

Taking all circumstances into consideration I cannot see how the stūpa can be ascribed to a later date than A.D. 400. It may be earlier. It is probable that it is a reconstruction of a ruined one formerly erected under Asoka's orders, and possibly to contain a relic of the Buddha, the other relics being put into the bottle at the time of the reconstruction of the stūpa. But of course this is pure surmise. The fact, however, remains, that the sites of what were once Buddhist monasteries surround the

¹ Cunningham's Abbtes Tepes, p. 583.
² It seems to me that the figure is dressed in two garments: an upper garment held round the waist by means of a girdle and a waist-cloth, the edges of which are visible over the knees under the upper garment. [Ed.]
(a) Image found in shrine on west side.
(b) Buddha image from east wall.
(c) Buddha image from north wall.
stūpa. Compare the plan of the wall trenches, from which the bricks have been removed (Fig. 2) with the plans of Gandhāra monasteries.¹

This stūpa was not an isolated building of this period, for, from a comparison of the remains of sculptured brick, the stūpas of Thul Bukan near Moro, Depar Ghāngro² near Brāhmanābād, Tando Muhammad Khān and Jherrick would seem to have been built about the same time; but none of these have such an extensive site of surrounding monasteries as the Mirpūr-Khās Stūpa.

In dealing with the carved brickwork of the Depar Ghāngro Stūpa I wrote:³ "The ornament was carved upon the moist clay with a sharp tool and was not moulded in a shape. It is of the same character as that found at Jamāl-Garhi in the Yusufzai country, almost on the borders of Kashmir, near Peshāvar, which General Cunningham, in the fifth volume of his Reports, called the Indo-Corinthian style, and which he thinks was practised in that region in the beginning of the Christian era. General Haig says:⁴ 'This place [i.e., the Buddhist settlement of Sāwandī] is men-

² See article on Brāhmanābād-Mangira, J. S. R. for 1903-04, p. 129, plate L. and fig. 5.
³ Cf. J. P. R. for the year ending the 30th June 1897, p. 19.
tioned in the legends of the "Mujnāl-al-tawārīkh," as having been built by the King of Kashmir during an expedition to Sindh. The legend is full of absurdities," but we may perhaps safely infer from it the antiquity of Sāwandi."

"This statement may not be far wrong. About the middle of the 7th century, Chach made a visit to a Buddhist devotee in the vicinity of Brāhmanābād when the latter complained of the 'temple' (stūpa?) and monastery falling into a state of disrepair due to the wear of time. If we take it the place had been in Buddhist hands continually from the time of its establishment to that time, and had not fallen into disrepair from neglect, the wear must have been very slow and gradual, so that we are forced to put back the building of the stūpa, with that of Thul Mir Rukan to the early centuries of the Christian era, but the want of sufficient data prevents any very approximate estimate of its age being made as yet. The very same moulded or carved bricks as are found at these two places were found by General Cunningham at Shorkot in the Panjāb about 60 miles north-east of Multān, and these he likens to the work on Yuzufzai remains. He found letters and writing on the bricks, being instructions to the builders as to the positions they were to occupy. I have two fragments from Thul Mir Rukhān with parts of letters upon them. The patterns, as figured by him in his Report Volume V, are identical with those in Sind. The Shorkot bricks, judging from the style of letters upon them, he places from A.D. 70 to 319. A thorough search might discover a string of Buddhist settlements marking the route of the Kashmirian army, which perhaps marched as far as the seashore, for in Sind we know of old stūpas at Mirpur Khās and Tando Muḥammad Khān."

A great deal of excavation work, which, until lately, was more or less impossible owing to lack of funds, remains to be done in Sind, which may throw a great deal of light upon the Buddhist period in that province. Thul Rukan might be further explored, and the hopeless-looking ruin of Deppar Ghāngro would probably repay further research. The stūpa, the core of which is practically intact, 6 miles north by west of Tando Muḥammad Khān, should certainly be excavated at once. There are many other promising sites in the north of Sind and the delta.

Henry Cousens.
EXCAVATIONS AT MANDÖR.¹

WHAT little is known of the history of Mandör, the ancient capital of Mûrvêr, is to be found in the Report of the Archaeological Department for the year 1905-06. The old name of the city was Madûdâna according to one inscription,² and Mûrandûnapûrodûra according to another.³ It was situated on a range of low hills, locally known as Bhaumûn, at the foot of which stands the modern village of Mandör. The only ancient remains in this village that deserve notice are a ruined mosque and a gateway of the Muhammadan period. The prayer chamber of the mosque is supported on stone columns, all of which are still standing, though the back wall is much dilapidated. A little to the right of the mihrâb is a broken slab built into the wall, the Persian inscription on which records that the mosque was founded in the reign of Sultan Firuz Shâh. This Firuz Shâh is manifestly the Tughlaq prince of that name, who ruled from A.D. 1351 to 1388. The slab does not occupy its original position, nor is it known what this position was.

About half a mile to the east of the village are two interesting reliefs cut in the rock. One of them, approximately 8½ long and 1½ high, contains a row of nine figures. (Plate XI, a.) The four-armed corpulent figure at the proper right end is that of Gâñshâ, the remaining eight representing the eight divine mothers (ashta-mûterah) of the Brahmanical cult. Third from the proper right is Vaishnavi, the female energy of Vishnu. She has four arms, and in the lower left one holds a conch. Next to her comes Mâhêsvâri with a bull standing behind her—the vehicle of her male counterpart, Siva. Then follows a figure of Brûmi, accompanied by a goose. The second figure from the proper left is Ainâdi, with the elephant Airâmata, the vehicle of Indra, at her right side; and by her side is Châmguḍa sitting on a

¹ For the description which follows of the excavations at Mandör in Rajputana, my assistant, Pandit Daya Ram Sahni, is largely responsible. These excavations were planned by myself and much of the work was carried out under my personal supervision, but, before it could be finished, I was called away to a distant part of India and had to leave it to my assistant to complete the clearance of the site and to measure up the structures which we had unearthed. I have not since had an opportunity of checking his measurements or his notes, but I have no doubt that they have been made with the care and accuracy which he usually displays. J. H. M.
human corpse and wearing a garland of human skulls. The remaining three figure are Kaumári, Varáhi and Nárasimhá, but, their attributes being wanting, they cannot be individually identified.

The other relief is cut in the rock close by. It consists of a rectangular niche about 2½' high and 2' wide, with an elaborate ornamental border all round, in which is a four-armed figure sitting cross-legged in the manner of Súrya, the Sun-God. All the four hands, however, are broken, and it is not possible to identify the figure with certainty. The style of the carving indicates the 7th or 8th century as the date of the relief.

The old city of Maṇḍór spread over the low hills from the modern village as far north as the group of cenotaphs belonging to the Márwár Queens, and from the Nágádri river on the east for over a mile in a westerly direction, to where a small remnant of the city wall is still existing. No remains of private dwellings now remain; for they were carried off by Mahrája Bakht Singh, about the middle of the 18th century, and used in the construction of the ramparts of Jodhpur. This being so, it is not a little surprising that the castle, which dominates the eastern part of the plateau overlooking the Nágádri, has escaped destruction. Its walls, though badly damaged and partly buried beneath their own debris, still rise to a considerable height on the north and west sides. On the east, and to some extent on the south also, they were built at the edge of a precipitous scarp and have fallen. They were constructed of massive blocks of stone, their width averaging some 24 or 25 feet, and were further strengthened and protected by bastions on the outside, of which several are still preserved on the north and west sides. Along the curtain of the walls these bastions are either square or rectangular in plan; but the one at the north-west angle is circular, and it is probable that those at the other three corners were of the same form. The gateways are hidden under the fallen debris, and their position cannot now be ascertained. The west wall is pierced, near its southern extremity, by an opening, of which only the stone lintel is now visible. This was regarded by Mr. Garrick as a gateway; but it is so low that it can hardly have been anything but a drain, and in support of this view it may be remarked that a few yards to the west of the opening a channel is still to be seen, which carried off the drainage of the castle. The main approach to the castle, as well as to the city, is still well defined. It is a broad paved causeway which ascends the plateau a little to the north of the modern village of Maṇḍór, and after passing the south-west angle of the Fort runs alongside the mont on its west side as far as the circular bastion at the opposite corner. Beyond this point it is not traceable.

The date of the castle's foundation is unknown. The Jodhpur city wall inscription of Bāuka (Sa. S94) records that an ancestor of the king named Rajjila acquired Maṇḍávyaipuradurga with the help of his brothers and built a wall (prákára) in it. Rajjila and his brothers flourished ten generations before Bāuka, i.e., about the 6th century A.D. It is possible that the wall referred to is the rampart of the Fort, though, in the absence of more definite evidence, it is difficult to be

1 Apart from definite local tradition, this is evidenced by the fact that the inscription of Bāuka of Sa. S94, originally set up at Maṇḍór, is built into the city wall of Jodhpur. Cf. J. R. A. S., N. S., Vol. XXVI, 1894, p. 1.

2 A. S. R., XXIII, p. 84.
EXCAVATIONS AT MAṔḌŌR.

certain on the point. A search made among the heaps of stones covering the wall revealed a number of inscribed slabs, but they are all later than the 11th century A.D.

Of the buildings inside the castle the majority are of a still later date, and are relatively well preserved. One of these buildings is a square structure, some 75 yards along each side and about 18 feet high. It has a flat roof and is divided into two halves by a narrow passage. The western half is blocked up. The other half is a gloomy, pillared hall, containing a rude painting of Nāhaḍasvāmī, i.e., Nāhaḍarāṇo, who according to the khyātās was the last Pratihāra ruler of MaṔḍōr. To the north of this structure is a group of edifices with flat roofs supported on low columns. Their purpose is not apparent; but adjoining them on the east side is another building which was undoubtedly a Jaina temple. It consists of a small double-storeyed cela or shrine with a sabhāmāṇḍapa in front. On the lintel of the doorway leading to the shrine are figures of four Tirthakaras, while eight others are carved on the vedā inside. In this temple they say that there was once a large inscribed slab, which now lies buried beneath the débris of a portion of the sabhāmāṇḍapa. The temple faced towards the east, on which side there still exists a broad approach.

But the most interesting objects in the castle are two elaborately sculptured monoliths (Plate XLIV, b), which protrude from a large mound occupying the south-east corner, and rising somewhat more than 30 feet above the average ground level. These monoliths1 belonged to a fine tōrana, which was intact in the time of Colonel Tod. They are referable to the Early Gupta period, and it was hoped that whatever remains lay concealed in the mound behind the columns, would prove to belong to the same epoch. This expectation, it may at once be said, was not realised; though the excavation of the mound was well repaid by the discovery of a later Brahmanical temple built on a quite unusual plan. The temple consists of a sancṭum perched on the summit of three high terraces which diminish in size towards the top and are ascended by flights of stairs on the east, north and south sides. The sancṭum, which is the earliest part of the building, must have been erected in the 7th or 8th century A.D., and was restored and enlarged during the 9th or 10th century, and again in the 12th century A.D. It is a small chamber, 10' square on the outside and 9' 8" on the inside. The walls, which are still standing to a height of some 8 feet, measure 4' 8" in thickness, the core being of rough rubble, with both faces well finished. The blocks are held together by iron clamps only, without cement or mortar of any kind. On the outside, the walls are enriched with bold mouldings and relieved on the north, west and south faces by projections, 9' 4" broad and some 10' deep. The mouldings are identical on every side. Of the five courses which make up the present height of the walls the two lowest consist of well-dressed blocks without any carving. Above them is a bold torus, which, along the face of the projections, is replaced by a dentil-like row of plaques. The latter are adorned with foliage and other devices executed with considerable skill and resembling in style the sculptures on the Dhamākha Tower at Sārnāth. The course above is ornamented with shallow niches with kirtimukhas or richly plinpled birds between. (Plate XLIII, a.) For the most part the niches are empty, but those on the

north side contain either human heads or rosettes. The uppermost course is composed of more massive blocks, with triangular decorations near its base and a torus moulding at the top. The carving on this course is much inferior to that in the lower courses, and there can be no doubt that it was added in the 12th century, when the temple was largely renovated. Only two of the blocks belonging to this course were found in situ; the remainder had fallen and were restored to their places.

On the east side, all the courses of the early wall terminate at distances of 3 2" from the angles, the space between them having been occupied in the 7th or 8th century A.D. by a doorway, which was rebuilt in the 12th century, the date to which the existing jambs are to be ascribed. The width of this doorway is 3' 6". On the inner face of the pillar near the south-east angle of the shrine is a short illegible inscription written in characters of the 12th or 13th century. Near this point two lintels were found, but neither of them appears to belong to this door. One of them, measuring 3' 4" long, is divided into five spaces. In the middle space is sculptured the figure of a man with a woman in his lap, who is thrusting from her another man armed with a dagger. In the next panel on the right is a man cutting off the left hand of a woman with a dagger, while the end panel is occupied by a stalwart male figure, four-armed, and the panel at the opposite end by a love scene.

In front of the door is a circular step (ardhachandra) cut into the form of an elaborate lotus flower, which appears to have been added in the 12th century A.D. Under it there came to light a stone dais, measuring 12' by 8' 8", which no doubt belonged to the original shrine. Whether at one time it supported a porch, could not be ascertained.

As to the precise shape of the superstructure it can only be surmised, for nearly all the stones which composed it had been carried away for use in later buildings, though from the few that turned up here and there in the débris it seemed manifest that the sanctum had originally been surmounted by a sikhara. One of these stones was a finial, about one foot high, of the ordinary amalaka form. Another, of the same height, was carved to represent a human face and had been used perhaps as a terminal to one of the faces (bhadras) of the spire. (Plate XLIII, 2.) A third block, which was found lying on the floor of the shrine, was ornamented with a conventional lotus and no doubt belonged to the ceiling, which appears to have been constructed on the usual trabeate system. And among other pieces were portions of several miniature sikharas which had originally been ranged in groups against the sides of the central spire.

The floor of the sanctum was paved with stone slabs, and on it there stood five pedestals of śiva-liṅgas, one in each of the corners and one in the centre. The liṅgas themselves were not discovered. A sixth pedestal, measuring 2' 1" long by 10½" broad and 4½" high and intended for an image, was built against the middle of the west wall. The presence of the liṅga bases proves that at the time when the temple was deserted it was in the hands of the Śaivas, though at an earlier date, as we shall see later on, it seems to have been dedicated to Viṣṇu.

In the 9th or 10th century A.D. there appears to have been added to the shrine an antechamber or mandapa, of which the principal remains are six broken
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columns found lying among the débris in front of the shrine or on the lower terraces. The columns, as may be seen from the specimen illustrated in Plate XLIII, 1, are elaborately decorated with bands of musicians, kirtimukhas, atlantes, floral designs and other motifs. Besides these columns several reliefs were discovered amid the same débris, which are assignable to the same period and which were probably used to decorate the walls of the manḍapa. One of these (Plate XLIII, 3) illustrates the fifth or Vāmana avatāra of Viśnu, which he undertook in order to humble the pride of the demon Bāli. In the proper left lower corner stands Viśnu in the form of a corpulent dwarf, with an umbrella over his head, while before him is the demon, pouring water on his right hand, as a token of his gift of three pieces of land. Then the dwarf is seen in his Viśāṅ form, his left leg raised on high, as he spans the three regions with his two mighty steps, while the demon clings to his right leg, in vain endeavour to restrain him. When, so the story goes, the god asked space for his third step, Bāli offered his own person; whereat Viśnu was so pleased that he made him Lord of the Pātāla. This sculpture is of particular interest as showing that in the 9th or 10th century A.D. the shrine was consecrated to the worship of Viśnu.

Among other carvings that were found in the same heaps of débris the following also deserve notice:—a broken lintel with a figure of Sūrya (Plate XLIII, 6); the bust of a well executed female figure (Plate XLIII, 7); the head of a yāginī, who is counting the beads of a rosary (Plate XLIII, 4); and the lower half of a small female figure carrying a child on her right hip (Plate XLIII, 5).

The shrine, when it was first built, appears to have stood alone on the summit of the rock, the three terraces on which it now rests being subsequently added in the 12th century A.D. This is manifest from the different style of the architecture, and is further borne out by an inscription of Sahajapāla, a ruler of the feudatory Chāhānāna clan of Nuḍāla, which came to light on the middle terrace. The core of all these terraces is, in the main, composed of stone rubble, well faced and paved above with dressed slabs held together with iron clamps. In the lowest terrace the face stones are plain, but carved in the upper two. The mouldings of the latter are
returned along every side and the carvings, though shallow and by no means so artistic as the earlier ones on the wall of the shrine itself, are still very effective (Fig. 1). As regards figure decoration, there are two relieves which deserve notice. They occur on the north side of the topmost terrace. One of them (Plate XLIV, a) illustrates a troop of warriors on the march, one of whom is riding a horse, while others are walking and others again are in a chariot drawn by camels. In the middle of the slab is a water wheel of the type still commonly used in Rajputana; and at the reservoir where the water collects a camel is drinking, while another is approaching from behind. The other relief shows a number of warriors engaged in a hand-to-hand encounter and, at the right end, a horse-drawn chariot in which other warriors are hastening to the fight.

The plans of the terraces vary somewhat, although they are, as far as possible, symmetrically superimposed. The uppermost is cruciform in shape with three projections on the west side and one on each of the other sides. Its western half is well preserved; the eastern much damaged. Some of the stones belonging to it had fallen on to the lower terraces; fortunately, however, they were easy to distinguish and were put back in their respective places. The projection on the east side once contained a flight of steps, though nothing but their foundations now remain.

The middle terrace is rectangular in plan with two projections on the north and south sides and a single one in the middle of the west side. This terrace is quite intact, save for a few courses of the dressed stone facing on the north side. In the middle of the east side were three flights of steps separated from one another by platforms. The central flight, which is about 19' broad, is much dilapidated, and the one to the north is almost entirely gone. The other flight, however, is in perfect condition, not one of its well-squared stones having been displaced.

The lowest terrace is only 4' high. A portion of it, near the south-west corner, had fallen down and has been rebuilt again with the same materials. This terrace was ascended by two stairways: one on the north, now much damaged, and one on the south, still quite intact. The latter consists of five steps, 5' 7" long with risers of from 6" to 8" high. It was over this flight of steps that the tōrana with its elaborately sculptured pillars alluded to above, once stood. The pillars belonging, as they do, to the Early Gupta period, are manifestly much older than the terrace, and we may suppose that they were brought from some earlier temple and put up here when the terraces were constructed. The legends depicted on the eastern pillar are sufficiently described in my Annual Report for 1905-06 (pages 136-37). At that time the other pillar was partly buried beneath the ground, only the two uppermost panels being visible. Our clearance of the accumulated debris has now brought to light three more panels (Plate XLIV, b), of which the top one, unfortunately, is much defaced and, so far, has not admitted of certain identification. In the panel below it is portrayed Krishna’s fight with the demon Kēśin, whom Karissa had sent to destroy him. The legend, as told in the Prêm-Sâgar, is that Krishna and his brother Balarâma were returning home one evening when they met a bull of uncommon strength, which attacked Krishna but was quickly overcome and killed. In the sculpture Krishna is shown holding the horns of the demon bull, as he withstands its onslaught. Subsequently, as the story goes, the demon Kēśin
returns in the form of a powerful horse, which Krishna also slays by thrusting his hand into its mouth. This further exploit is figured in the lowest panel of the column.

The precinct of the temple was surrounded by a wall, varying in thickness from 6 to 12 feet, and still standing to a height of some 10 feet on the north and west sides. The approach through this wall, which is about 7 feet wide, is located in the north-west angle of this wall, and is obviously the entrance to which Colonel Tod refers in his description of the site.\(^1\) There is another and somewhat smaller gateway about fifty feet to the south, which opens on to the lowest terrace and was formerly approached from the outside by a stairway. Only its threshold now remains in situ, but its lintel also was found lying on the terrace close by.

It has been remarked above that for some time previous to its final destruction, this temple was in the hands of the Shaivites. At what date, precisely, that destruction took place is not ascertainable, but it seems to have happened during or shortly after the 14th century A.D.; for to that century are assignable several sculptures from the topmost terrace, which were the latest found on the site and which wore an appearance of freshness, as if they had not long been executed when they were buried beneath the debris of the temple. Among these sculptures was the slab illustrated in figure 2, measuring 3’ 1” broad and 2’ high. The main figure is that of Siva, seated on his vehicle Nandir, with attendant figures to right and left. The god has four heads. In the upper right he holds a trident; in the upper left, a cobra. The lower right is in the gift-bestowing attitude; the lower left holds an uncertain object.

That the temple was originally consecrated to Vishnu and continued in the possession of his votaries until, at any rate, the 12th century A.D., is proved not only by the sculptures referred to above but by a number of inscriptions which came to light during the excavations; for every one of these records which is earlier than the 13th century alludes to Vishnu, either by that name or by one or other of his local cult titles—Këśava, Hari, Vásudeva, Sauri or Nāhađasvāmi; while the later ones speak only of Śiva.

LIST OF INSCRIPTIONS.

(by Pandit Daya Ram Sahni)

In the course of excavations portions of sixteen inscribed slabs came to light. At the close of the work they were deposited in the museum maintained by the Mārwār Durbar at Jodhpur.

1. Fragment of reddish sandstone, 7½" broad × 6½" high. Contains portions of six lines. The 2nd line mentions the kēsha on which Vishnu sleeps during the intervals of creation. The 4th line mentions kārūga, the bow of Vishnu. The letters are neatly cut and belong to the 8th or 9th century A.D.

2. Fragment, 2½" broad and the same in height. It yields no information of any value. Characters coeval with those of No. 1.

3. Triangular fragment of the same sort of sandstone, 9" broad at top by 11½" high. Contains the beginnings of 14 lines in well-carved letters. Language elegant. Sanskrit verse in anushṭup metre. Lines 1 and 2 enlogise Vishnu. From the existing portions of lines 3 to 10 we gather that a certain worshipper of Kēśava, whose name is missing, performed a fire-sacrifice (havan) presumably at Māndavya’s hermitage (modern Manḍor), and caused something to be built. The same person obviously made the perpetual offering of a karisha of oil which is recorded in l. 8. Lines 11 and 12 contain remains of the date, which is 97°, the other two digits being lost. The 13th line records the death, at Pushkara,1 of somebody.

The characters are of the 9th century A.D. It is much to be regretted that the character of the object the construction of which is recorded in the 5th line, cannot be ascertained.

4. Four inscribed fragments, representing but a small fraction of a large praśasti. Two of these fragments fit together and contain the first portions of the first eleven lines. The other two belong to the lower portion of the slab. The existing portion of the inscription contains the genealogy of the feudatory Pratihāras from Hariśchandra to Bhōja, who is manifestly identical with the brother of Tāta and first son of Nāgabhata. The name of the ruler in whose time this record was inscribed is lost, as also its object. The first four verses were devoted to the praise of Vishnu and alluded to his sport with the

---

1 This is the name of a sacred tank near Ajmer.
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herdswomen, and to his Vāmana, Varāha and Nārasimha incarnations. The characters used are of the same style as those in the above-mentioned inscriptions.

5—6. Nos. 5 and 6, which, on grounds of palæography, are also assignable to about the 9th century, are too fragmentary to yield any information.

7. Middle portion of an inscribed slab, 5" x 4". The second line contained the names of certain Śaivas. Line 3 mentions Ūkēśa, the modern Osiā. In the 4th line we read of a gold finial and banner. In the next line we can recover the date, which is 1197 of the Vikrama era. Line 6 contains the name of Āsadēva, who presumably is the same as Āśarāja of the feudatory Chāḥamāna tribe.

8. Nineteen fragments of an inscribed slab, which came to light in the eastern portion of the middle terrace. They range in size from 1½" to 9". Seventeen of these pieces can be put together with certainty, and thus supply portions of the first 48 lines of the inscription. The lacunae which remain are large and many, but the majority can be restored with the help of the Nāḍöl plates of the Rājapurta Kirtipāla of Vikrama-Saṅvat 1218.1 The width of the slab was about 12" and the height up to the 48th line 2' 4½". The other two fragments are from the lower part of the slab, but, as they fit neither to each other nor to the upper portion, the total height of the slab remains uncertain.

The object of this inscription was to record the bestowal of a village, whose name is lost. The boundaries of this village are specified in lines 39 and 40 of the inscription. It was bounded on the north by Siyāhaṭi, which may perhaps be identified with Sihāṭ, situated some six miles to the east of Sojat town. The names of the other boundaries are lost. The articles of daily worship were recorded in the last line of the inscription. One of them was musk (kastērī).

The recipient of the gift, as recorded in line 29, was [Nara]jhaṭasvāmi, who, no doubt, is the same as the Nāḥājhasvamīdeva of inscription No. 11. It is, therefore, certain that, when this inscription was put up, the temple at Manḍor was a Vaishnava one.

The donor of the village was Sahajāpāla, son of Rāyapāla, grandson of Ratnapāla and great-grandson of Prthvipāla, of the feudatory Chāḥamāna clan of Naḍāla. The main interest of the inscription lies in the fact that it supplies the names of four members of the Naḍāla Chāḥamāna clan, which were not known before. They are Sahajāpāla, Rāyapāla, his queen Pādmalladevi, and Ratnapāla. The name of Rāyapāla was known from several inscriptions from Naḍālī and Naḍöl, but it is only now that we know his proper place in the genealogy of this clan.2

The date of the inscription is missing. We have, however, dates for Rāyapāla, the father and predecessor of Sahajāpāla, which range from Vikrama-Saṅvat 1189 to 1202. Sahajāpāla’s reign must therefore have commenced soon after 1202 V. S.

---

1 Vide Ep. Ind., IX, pp. 68 seq.
Transcript.

1. [श्लोक] नारायण [नरा]
2. सुकृतितवनमात [तात]
3. रामलालो लक्ष्मीमें [तम]
4. साभित [शाकंसरोने]
5. घातका [सर्वखरा]
6. सुभवनात [तन]
7. श्रीलक्ष्मी [वी]
8. ज्ञातव [उपरी]
9. पाल जातीयर राज्य दिव्य भवन [तम]
10. भवनरणी पुष्क [महेंद्री]
11. जिन शनीदर श्रृंग [तम]
12. राजा जिन [पहूं]
13. जीत [दुकसी]
14. वान दुर्योधन [वी]
15. नाभ कुमार [वी]
16. व्रजी [वी]
17. दुर्योधन [वी]
18. व यज्ञ धार्मिक [वी]
19. [महाराज] [तम]
20. पुराण [संस्करण]
21. सतारपुरुष [भाषण]
22. [पूर्वम] [तम]
23. [पूर्व] [तम]
24. [दर्शन] [तम]
25. [पूर्व] [तम]
26. [पूर्व] [तम]
27. [पूर्व] [तम]
28. [पूर्व] [तम]
29. यव [वी}
30. [श्लोक] [तम]
31. [श्लोक] [तम]
32. चन्द्रिका
33. पाण्डुरंग
dd. सम्बल
e. हस्तिसिंह
g. वीर हरियाली
h. [लक्ष्मी]
39. [या वारी भगवान]
40. दरम्यान् नेहोऽति। त्र। ... [शा] तडः ... 
    [सा]वाला

41. दन्तीपञ्चविंद्रं हस्त्र प्र। ... दयववति ... संख्य वर्णः

42. न्तोऽपि (सा) देवते दु[त] [शा] [के] शा।[ब] द्विविधं [द्वना न काण्डे]। चाहः

43. ददत्यदिवाकरोज्जनाथः पालनीयः। ददमदन्तुः वर्णोऽति

44. दददतःदिवाकरोज्जनाथः। भास्वाभिनीहुः 
    ... ती धन्यपातः

45. के श्रुतु चूती। वही [श्रुतदा शृणा राजर्षि] स्वरूपादिबि।

46. बद्ध वस्त्र दहा भूतः [मिस्त्रः तथा तदा पञ्चु]। ... 

47. वा यक्षा। [शा] ... 

48. तु या।[शा] ... 

Here a few lines are missing.

1. [रुक्षराम्यं नामेको जातिम्यं] संगु। [ला दर्शनविमानीति
2. राधागुल्लास्वमुः। द्वान्नि द्वानी] नि पुरा न[रेडि दर्शिनि
3. धवरायियानारिणि। नियायिलयवा] लिपित्वम्[शा तानि नाम शाहः
    पुनराद्विनिति]]

4. [शताक्षरम्मसिद्] बहुधाविश्व[व्यासपादसाचरस्य]

5. विश्वयोभीमाः। मायापूर्वहृज्जविन्दुसमा गराणाः धम्। सम्य गरलोकः परलोकवानि]]

6. ... सामान्य एक

7. ... पालनीयः

8. ... तस्माद बदे सम्यः

One or two lines are again missing here.

1. ... देवधार

2. ... [समु]कीर्षाः ख[पंका]। रेण

3. ... 

4. ... पल १ क्षणरी

Inscriptions 9—10. Yield no information of any value.

Inscription 11. Seven fragments of an inscription which was incised on a pillar.
To judge from the style of the characters, this inscription is referable to about the same date as No. 8. The epigraph records the endowment of a village (name lost) to the Nāhaḍāsvāmidēva temple, to meet the expenses of the swing festival.

The remaining five inscriptions supply no historical information.

J. H. MARSHALL,
DAYA RAM SAHNI,
HISTORICAL DOCUMENTS FROM THE BORDERS OF TIBET.

The present paper contains a résumé of the chief results of an archaeological tour made by me on the western borders of Tibet in the summer of 1909 on behalf of the Government of India. Starting from Simla on the 14th June, I travelled up the Satluj valley to Poo in Bushahr and Shipke in Tibet, and, crossing over to Spiti, continued my journey through Rubshu and Rong-chu-rgyud to Leh, the capital of Ladakh. From Leh I followed the trade route to Srinagar in Kashmir with excursions to Likir, Alchi, Mangryu and Chigtan. I returned by way of the Plains, to Simla on the 11th October. The epigraphical and other historical and archaeological materials recovered will be published in due course.

The most ancient epigraphs of this region are the rock inscriptions of Khatlas consisting of one in Brahmi and three in Kharosthi. In the course of my tour, I did not meet with any of an earlier period, nor did I discover any more of the same age. We arrived at Khatlas just in time to prevent the inscribed rocks from being destroyed. As a new bridge across the Indus was being constructed, many boulders, some with interesting rock-carvings and inscriptions, had been blasted, and that with the Brahmi inscription had already been marked. I spoke to the overseer in charge, as well as to the officials of Khatlas, and entreated them to preserve these invaluable stones. We took photographs of the Brahmi and the longer Kharosthi inscription near the bridge, and of the ancient Gupta inscription near the mGochen stupa. In addition, a paper impression was taken of the Kharosthi inscription. The Brahmi and the two shorter Kharosthi inscriptions have already been read by Dr. Vogel.1

About two miles above the Commissioner's compound, in the Leh valley, there are several ancient graves on which the late Dr. Shawe and myself did some excavation work in 1903. On hearing that somebody had again opened one of the graves,

1 See his Annual Progress Report, 1906, and my paper Historische Dokumente von Khatlas in Z. D. M. G., Vol. LXI, p. 383. Our photograph of the Kharosthi inscription was sent to Professor Ranson of Cambridge, as suggested by Mr. Marshall.
I decided to examine them, before it should be too late. The roof of the grave which I opened is more than a yard below the present level of the ground. It consists of large unhewn stones of rectangular shape, each about one and a half yards long and a foot or so broad. The walls consist of masonry of unhewn stones. The grave is about two yards long, one and a half yards broad, and at least six feet deep.

The grave contained clay pots of various sizes, a few entire, but most of them in fragments. The largest pot, of which only fragments came to light, may have had a height of three feet, the smaller pots, which were rarer than the large ones, had a height of four to six inches. There were also small saucer-like vessels of burnt clay, probably lamps. The pottery of the graves is not wheel but hand-made, and provided with very small handles. When Dr. Shave and myself examined this grave in 1903, we found two elegant medium-sized vessels ornamented with designs in dark red colour. This time we could not find a single pot with painted designs in the grave; but linear ornaments were impressed on several of them. These painted or stamped ornaments are all of a very primitive type. They consist of spirals, ladders and zigzag bands; and occasionally there are bundles of lines which may represent grass or reeds. As most of the pots were broken at the bottom, I was led to believe that they had fallen down from some height, probably from wooden boards.

As I had observed, when previously examining the graves, most of the pots were filled with human bones. This circumstance seems to indicate that the ancient inhabitants of the Leh valley indulged in the practice of cutting the corpses to pieces and filling the clay pots with the fragments. This custom, which is also found in other parts of the globe, is asserted by Chinese authors to have been in vogue in the "Empire of the Eastern Women." We found between fifteen and twenty skulls in one single grave. How many there were originally, it is difficult to state, as we were not the first to examine the grave. All the skulls were most distinctly dolichocephalic, and the index formulas 74—77 would probably suit them all. As dolichocephalic heads are a distinctive mark of the Dards of Da Hanu, and other Dard settlements of Ladakh, we are led to believe that the people who built these ancient graves, were probably of Dard stock. Besides human bones, the grave contained the skull of a sheep, and the horn of an ox, which are apparently remains of a sacrifice, or gifts to the dead.

The grave yielded also a number of bronze implements, some in fairly good preservation. Most of them, however, were much corroded and covered with thick layers of verdigris. First of all, I may mention small square lamme of thin bronze, furnished with an embossed ring, of which we found hundreds. Whether they were used for ornamental purposes, or as coins, I am unable to decide. Then, there were numerous beads of bronze, both round and oblong in shape, small and large, the largest thicker than a finger. Later on, we discovered little bell-shaped pendants of bronze with triangular holes and a ring at the top. They were probably inserted between the bronze beads of the necklace. Then, there were a number of bronze buttons of various sizes with a loop on the reverse, some of ordinary size, about half an inch in diameter, but others much larger, up to about two inches in
diameter. The largest had a scolloped edge, like an Indian one anna piece. None of them contained an inscription. The smallest were quite plain, the largest had an elaborate spiral ornament, and those of medium size a star ornament. I suppose that these buttons were worn by the ancient officials as a distinguishing mark of rank, as is the case in China nowadays. Besides several fragments of bronze pots, we found a well preserved small bronze can with a spout of excellent workmanship, though quite plain and without any ornament. I may also mention a bronze seal with a cross pattern and an entire bracelet with a pattern of small circles. In addition, let me say that fragments of iron implements and a single gold implement came to light also. The latter is of a shape similar to the mouth-piece of a trumpet, but its purpose is not known. Its ornamentation is a curved form of the Greek key.

The ancient graves at Leh, as stated above, as well as those at rGya call to mind the following description of the form of burial practised in the "Empire of the Eastern Women" as found in Chinese historians:—"When a person of rank dies, they strip off the skin, and put the flesh and bones mixed with gold powder into a vase, and then bury it ...... At the burial of the sovereign, several tens of the great ministers and relatives are buried at the same time." The latter statement may account for the great number of skulls, sometimes as many as twenty, found in a single grave. From the large bronze buttons, obviously a mark of high rank, I conclude that the Leh grave actually contained the remains of several ministers. The boundaries of the "Empire of the Eastern Women" are given in the Sui-shu as well as by Hiuen Tsang. They are Khotan, Sampaha (Ladakh), Brahmapura (the upper Ravi valley) and Tibet. The Empire apparently comprised the Tibetan provinces of Guge and Rutog, and possibly Eastern Ladakh. The empire was a Tibetan one according to our Chinese authorities, and it is therefore difficult to account for dolichocephalic heads in the Leh graves, the skulls being evidently not those of Tibetans but of Aryans. My explanation would be that in those times the greater part of Ladakh was inhabited by Dard emigrants from Gilgit. As regards the date of the Leh graves, the presence of iron, besides bronze, seems to preclude the fixing of any very early date. In my opinion the grave dates from between 1 and 500 A.D.

Our researches in the field of the pre-Buddhist religion of Ladakh and Tibet were also crowned with success. The earliest type of the pre-Buddhist religion is Naturo worship, as it finds expression in the oral versions of the Kesar Saga, and in several collections of hymns, such as the gLing-glu and the marriage rituals. The principal sources for the study of this ancient religion are contained in the folklore of the western borders of Tibet. But some of the ancient songs have already become literature. Then, what is literature, and what folklore? For my own part, when an old saga or hymnal which has been handed down orally for centuries is reduced to writing without the agency of a European or educated Indian, I propose to call it literature.

Previous to my Tibetan tour I had discovered only a single specimen of such indigenous manuscripts of hymnals, viz., the Marriage Ritual of Tagmachig. To my

gratification I found at Poo another manuscript of an old hymnal written down by
the natives themselves. According to their own statement, it contained the song
which were used on the occasion of their former human sacrifices. The language of
this hymnal is exceptionally difficult to understand. Although I have made partial
translations of it, I am still far from understanding the whole. The last part is of
special interest, as it seems to contain the ideas of the pre-Buddhist inhabitants of
these regions with regard to the life after death. Later on, when the pre-Buddhist
religion had become mixed up with doctrines borrowed from Buddhism and Hinduism
it changed its character and became the Bon-chos, which was still prevalent in the
ten and eleventh centuries, as we know from legends connected with Milarepa.

We discovered what appeared to be a ruined Bon-po temple of those times at
Lamayuru. The entrance was towards the east, exactly as in many ancient Buddhist
temples. Owing to the roof having collapsed, the frescoes in this temple have
suffered badly. Most of the divinities painted on the walls of the hall, are of Bud-
dhist type, but their complexion is either blue or black, and their dress is red.
Besides, there were several female figures of unusual shape whose complexion was
white. They appear to wear ear flaps, like the modern Ladakhi women. On the
ceiling were represented well designed rows of female musicians, alternately white
and grey. The most interesting group of frescoes is that which represents what I
believe to be priests of the Bon-po religion. One of them is represented almost
life size, whilst the others are smaller. They are all clothed in white undergarments
and striped gowns. The large figure, and one of the smaller figures, wear gowns
with black and blue stripes; in the case of the other small figures the gowns are
striped black and grey. The large figure, moreover, wears a blue hat, like a European
soft hat with a broad brim. The smaller figures have hats of the same shape, but of
black colour. In most references to the Bon-po priests, their dress is described as
being black, but there are a few passages which make mention of the blue colour of
their dress. These relics of the Bon religion at Lamayuru are of some importance;
for, as we learn from Sarat Chandra Das' "Journey to Lhasa," the present day Bon-
po priests of Central Tibet cannot be distinguished from Buddhist priests, the dress
of both being exactly alike.

The times of Atiśa have become known through the same author's work, "Indian
Pandits in the Land of Snow," and a few translations of texts relating to church
history have been published in the Journals of the Buddhist Text Society. But,
up to the present, it has been impossible to decide whether the persons mentioned in
connection with Atiśa actually lived, or not. In the course of our tour we
discovered several inscriptions of those times, at Poo, in Spiti and in Ladakh.

The one at Poo is a rock inscription which was found in a field belonging to a
lama called bKa'-rgyud. The rock is about six feet high and is carved on one side. The
upper half of the carved side shows the well executed representation of a stūpa, the
lower half, which is in very bad preservation and mostly underground, that of a
human being wearing a three-pointed hat. On the other side of the stone is a Tibetan
inscription of eleven lines, of which only the first two are in fair preservation; of the
remaining lines only the beginning and end portions have been preserved. The
first line contains the full name of the royal priest Lha-blama Ye-shes-'od, who died
in prison as a martyr for Buddhism about 1025 A.D. The words following the name in the inscription are sku ring la—"in his life time." Though fragmentary, the inscription contains some interesting information. We learn from it that in the days of the priest-king Ye-shes-'od the villages of Spu (Poo) and dKor both existed and that Spu even possessed a palace. The priest-king had ten sons, and all of them were sent to Poo. With what object cannot be said with certainty, but from the frequent occurrence of the words lha-chos (religion of the lha) and snigar-chos (former religion), it appears that they were sent here for the propagation of Buddhism. In the end we read that they erected at Spu some structure, probably the first Buddhist temple, which has now entirely disappeared.

On one of the walls of the Tabo monastery of Spiti I discovered an inscription of the days of king Byang-chub-'od of Guge, the very ruler who invited Atiśa to Tibet. The principal hall of the Tabo monastery, called rNam-par snang-mdzad, seems to have remained almost unchanged since the days of Atiśa, and its artistic images and ancient pictures deserve a closer study than we could devote to it during our brief visit. It also contains an eleventh century manuscript of the Tibetan version of the Prajñā-pāramitā.

More important than this document are two inscriptions written on the wall in black ink immediately above the floor. Their low position indicates that they were intended for people who are in the habit of sitting cross-legged on the ground. One of them is historical; it speaks of the foundation of the Tabo monastery about 900 years ago, and of people who were connected with that event. The other inscription is admonitory. One might call it "blessing and cursing," but there is more of cursing in it than of blessing. It speaks of the many punishments to be inflicted on such lamas, as do not live up to the standard of the law, and there is no end of chopping off parts of their bodies. I wonder if these regulations have ever been carried out. To return to the historical inscription, it records a renovation of the Tabo monastery by Byang-chub-'od, the priest-king of Guge, forty-six years after the monastery had been founded by Lhaye-bu Byang-chub sems-dpa. The latter, evidently the king of Ladakh mentioned in the Ladakhi chronicle as one of the early rulers of that country, is referred to with much respect in this inscription. His advice was repeatedly asked by the king of Guge, and thus the inscription confirms the statement of history that the kings of Ladakh were the recognized suzerains of the princes of Guge. Besides both these royal names, the inscription contains those of the two most important lamas of the period, viz., Rin-chen bzang-po, and Atiśa, the latter being called Phul-byung, which is his Tibetan name, as already stated by the Rev. Jäschke. The inscription says that Rin-chen bzang-po was made a "light of wisdom" through the agency of Atiśa. This is apparently a reference to the controversy between the two lamas which ended with Rin-chen bzang-po acknowledging Atiśa's superiority.

As this inscription was evidently written in the days of king Byang-chub-'od, about 1050 A.D., it is of great importance for Tibetan paleography, as are also the inscriptions of the same times at Poo, Ačchi, and Manggyu in Ladakh. It should be remembered that, besides these epigraphs, only the following dateable inscriptions of ancient Tibet have become known: (1) The Endere inscriptions of the 8th century
discovered by Dr. Stein. (2) The inscription of king Khri-srong-lde-btsan of about 780 A.D. at Lhasa, discovered by Professor Waddell. (3) The inscription of king Ralpacan of 810-820 A.D. at Lhasa. The most archaic of the Endere epigraphs have among others, the following peculiarities: (1) the ī vowel sign is often inverted; (2) when m precedes ī or e, y intervenes; (3) words ending in r, l, or n are furnished with a d suffix. Now, the Tabo, Spu, Achi and Manggyu inscriptions of the 11th century exhibit only the two last mentioned peculiarities. The ī vowel sign is no longer inverted in them, but is always in its present position. From this observation we may conclude that all those inscriptions which contain inverted ī vowel signs, may be older than the eleventh century. As regards the position of the e and o vowel signs on the right or left upper end, or above, the consonant base, it varies with the age of inscriptions. I have recorded a few observations on this peculiarity in my article on Ralpacan's inscription. I am of opinion that the compilation of the bKa-'agyur about 1300 A.D., marks an epoch in Tibetan paleography. It probably put an end to the intervening y between m and ī or e, and to the suffixed d. From about the year 1300 A.D., the Tibetan orthography probably remained stationary, and the age of an inscription after 1300 can be estimated only by the position of the vowel signs on or above their consonant bases.

With regard to the Achi monastery, it deserves special mention that a well executed picture of a king with his wife and son is found on the other side of the door, opposite to king Byang-club sems-dpa's inscription. It, therefore, most probably represents this king himself. My reason for this assertion is that both in the dGon-khang temple of Leh and in the Byuns-pa temple of Basgo, we find the portraits of the royal founders by the side of the door. The supposed king Byang-club sems-dpa wears a diadem, and his yellow coat has large round spots of blue or purple colour with the figure of a lion or tiger in each of them, whilst his girdle shows a checkered pattern of white and red. He is shaded by an umbrella and in his hand carries an axe of fanciful shape. His son (probably Lha-chen rgyal-po) is dressed in a similar manner and the queen has her hair plaited in little pigtails. In another hall at Achi there are also some interesting pictures of the same times representing Tibetan sports, notably hunting.

In Šrinagar, the capital of Kashmir, I paid special attention to all such places as are connected with RinChana Bhōti, the Tibetan king of Kashmir who reigned from 1319 to 1328 A.D. He is the reputed builder of the Awwal Masjid, the oldest mosque of Šrinagar, a small insignificant building which bears no comparison with the beautiful later mosques of Šrinagar. It has not even a minaret on the roof, and the walls have lost their plaster coating. It is empty and devoid of artistic decoration. It is said that formerly there was a stone slab incised with non-Arabic characters, described as a kind of Šastri, which designation may stand for Šrāvāṇa or Tibetan. About twenty years ago, so I was told, a European carried off the stone and took it to England. Anyhow, a Persian translation of the inscription has apparently been preserved in Haidar Malik's Persian History of Kashmir. It runs thus: "My friend, for the sake of gaiety, has become the observed of observers. His face claimed Islām, and his hair adorned paganism, He
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1 Ep. Ind.
controls both paganism and Islam, and takes interest in both." From this inscription it would follow that Rîvâchana Bhōti had become only half converted. The Awwal Masjid is, according to popular tradition, the oldest mosque of Šrinagar, and people assert that thousands of Hindus were here converted to Islam. It is generally known as Rindān Shâh Masjid. In the chronicle, mentioned above, it is also stated that Rîvâchana Bhōti built the shrine called Bulbul Lankan, which is situated only a few steps from the Awwal Mosque. It is interesting that Rîvâchana's friend, priest Bulbul, has found his way into Ladakhi folklore, where he is mentioned in the song of the Bodro Masjid of Šrinagar. At a short distance from Bulbul Lankan, people showed us the grave of Rîvâchana Bhōti. It is marked by a plain slab uninscribed and a little larger in size than the tombstones of common people. It is surrounded by a low stone wall on the four sides, and rosebushes have been planted inside the enclosure. As I have shown elsewhere, Rîvâchana Bhōti is probably identical with the Lha-chen rgyalbu rin-chen of the Ladakh chronicles.

At Leh, I discovered the first inscription of king bKra-shis rnam-rgyal, the Ladakhi king who, in all probability, reigned in the days of the Turkoman invasion of Šûtân Šaidar of Kâshgar, 1500—1532 A.D. It is found in a temple of red colour, on the top of the rNam-rgyal rtses-mo hill at Leh. This temple, called mGon-khang, is the very one which was erected by king bKra-shis rnam-rgyal, as stated in the rGyal-rabs. Dr. Marx was assured of its existence, but was unable to visit it. It contains very artistically executed figures of "the four lords" which are from about three to eight feet high. The principal figure represents rNam-thos-sras (Vaśravana), the god of wealth, in sexual union with his Šakti. These images belong to the few in Ladakh which can be roughly dated and are, therefore, of the greatest importance for the history of Tibetan art. Among the wall paintings, I noticed one on the right hand side of the door which represented gorgeously dressed men wearing Yarkandi turbans. At first I failed to understand the presence of these Muslim portraits in a Buddhist temple, until the lama in charge explained that they represented Ladakhi kings. By the side of the picture, there is a long inscription in gold on indigo-tinted paper, which mentions king bKra-shis rNam-rgyal, the builder of the temple. From this it appears that the picture represents this king who testified to his feigned attachment to the Turks by adopting their dress. As regards the Turkoman invasion under Šûtân Šaidar, which took place during his reign, it is very difficult to reconcile the Tibetan with the Turkish account contained in the Šarikh-i-Rashidi. According to the Tibetan sources, he gained a signal victory over the Turkomans and the corpses of the slain Turks were placed before the idols of the mGon-khang temple, whereas the Šarikh-i-Rashidi represents him as a servant of the Turks who held him in little honour. In the fresco, it is only the male members of the royal family of Ladakh who are shown wearing the Turkoman dress, whereas the females are dressed in true Ladakhi fashion. It is of particular importance that the name of a state minister, Phyag-xdr, is mentioned in the temple inscription, as having served under bKra-shis rNam-rgyal. The name of the same minister is also found in the Daru rock inscription which
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1 Cf. my References to the Bhais or Bhantzas in the Rajatarangini of Kashmir in Ind. Ant. Vol. XXXVII.
contains the name of a king, Lha-chen Kun-dga rNam-rgyal. As the latter name is not found in the Ladakhi chronicles, I had difficulty in identifying king Kun-dga rNam-rgyal. Now I feel inclined to identify him with bKra-shis rNam-rgyal’s father, king Lha-chen bha-gan, the founder of the rNam-rgyal dynasty. It is quite possible that Lha-chen bha-gan did not only give names containing the word rNam-rgyal to his sons, but that he assumed such a name for himself. Thus, Kun-dga rNam-rgyal may be identical with Lha-chen bha-gan; and the minister Phyag-rdor, after having served Lha-chen bha-gan, may have done service also under bKra-shis rNam-rgyal. Another inscription of bKra-shis rNam-rgyal is found at Alchi, where he renovated the gSum-thos temple, apparently with the assistance of an Indian who knew the Mughal style of painting. This adaptation of Mughal art to a Buddhist subject is probably unique. It is interesting that the inscription which records the restoration of the temple, also mentions the amount of red, blue, or gold colour which was contributed by various peasants of the neighbourhood.

On a mani wall at Horling, in the desert between Kausarwa and Spiti, I discovered an inscription of a king of Guge who resided at Tsaparang, apparently during the first half of the 17th century. This mani wall was erected by an inhabitant of the Tibetan village of rGyumkhar, the Shugar of the map. When reading this inscription, I could not help thinking at once of the Jesuit priest Andrada, who says that he found a Tibetan king at Tsaparang who was favourably inclined towards Christianity. This statement has been subjected to serious doubts by modern writers on Tibet, who could not believe that a king should ever have resided at the now unimportant village of Tsaparang. Now Andrada is vindicated by the inscription which proves that Tsaparang was the capital of a sovereign whose power was acknowledged even as far as Spiti. The dynastic name of the kings of Guge, the last of whom apparently resided at Tsaparang was Lde. The name of the king mentioned on the votive tablet, is Khri bKra-shis grags-pa Lde. As this name is not found in the genealogical tree of the Guge kings, he must be one of the later members of the dynasty. He cannot well have reigned before 1600 A.D., for mani walls were hardly ever constructed before that time, nor can his reign have fallen much later than 1630 A.D., for about that time, Indra Bodhi rNam-rgyal, a younger brother of the king of Leh, was made vassal king of Guge, and in 1650 A.D., Guge was annexed by Lhasa and received a Tibetan Governor. It is, therefore, very probable that Khri bKra-shis grags-pa Lde is the very king whose acquaintance was made by Andrada in 1628 A.D.

This statement is strengthened by the discovery of a similar votive tablet, which I made three days after at Tabo in Spiti. It contained the name of the same king and gave Tsaparang as his residence. But, what is still more surprising, is the occurrence of the following short prayer on the tablet: “Help that the darkness and unfaithfulness to our religion there [at Tsaparang] may cease.” The man who carved the inscription, was evidently displeased with the king of Tsaparang’s inclination towards Christianity. So was the suzerain king of Leh, for it was probably on this account that he placed his younger brother on the throne of Guge. We shall, therefore, be justified in accepting Andrada’s account of his mission to Tsaparang without any severe criticism.
Among the manuscripts collected by me there are copies of some important works relative to the history of Ladakh. As stated elsewhere, the Tibetan text of Dr. K. Marx's second "Historical Document from Ladakh" (of his B. and C. MSS.) being lost, the English translation only has as yet been published. It was, of course, my particular wish to trace these important documents, but Dr. Marx's indications as to their ownership are somewhat vague. I had long suspected that Munshi dPal-rgyas of Leh was the owner of manuscript C. and on the Munshi's copy of the rGyal-rabs being examined by the Rev. G. Reichel of Leh, my supposition proved to be correct. It was still more difficult to recover manuscript B. Mr. Josef Tsho-brtan of Leh, our Mission schoolmaster, succeeded finally in tracing Munshi Tsdan of Leh as its happy owner.

From Munshi dPal-rgyas was also obtained his latest, revised and enlarged, version of the Ąṅg ro war, and from Munshi Tsdan a copy of the first chapter of the Lad-rags rGyal-rabs, containing an account of the creation of the world, a chapter which has never been published. At Leh, I chanced upon a number of manuscripts of my own, mostly relating to Ladakhi folklore, which were preserved in the Mission library. These manuscripts, of which only a few have as yet been published, as well as my other stock of unpublished documents, were purchased by the Government of India. Among them is a copy of a woodprint containing a Primer of the Tibeto-Mongolian script of Tibet, and a number of copies of chronicles of vassal chiefs from Ladakh. The Primer has already stood me in good stead in deciphering the seals of the Dalai Lama, of a chief of Sikkim, and of Tsho-dpal rNam-rgyal of Ladakh.

Among the antiquities brought back from my tour let me mention a collection of Tibetan stone implements, and another of clay tablets, many of which are furnished with inscriptions in Indian and Tibetan characters. The Indian legends cover the period from about 600 to about 1200 A.D. These antiquities will be deposited in the Indian Museum at Calcutta with the exception of those unearthed in Ladakh, which have been claimed by the Kashmir Darbār, and, it is understood, are now preserved in the Partab Singh Museum at Šrinagar.

A. H. Francke.
EXCAVATIONS AT HMAWZA NEAR PROME.

The excavations extended over the three open seasons of 1907-8, 1908-9, and 1909-10, the locality having been first visited by me in 1906-7 in company with the late General de Beyléc of Cochin China, whose death was a serious loss to Indo-Chinese archaeology. In 1907-8, the following sites were excavated:—1st Zegn Pagoda (East), 2nd Poguangkangon, 3rd Thaungbyegon, 4th Monthumangon and 5th Lebaw. In the following season I was on leave, and the excavations were continued by my locum tenens, Maung Po Thaug, B.C.E., K.S.M., Assistant Engineer, who exposed the West Zegn Pagoda and cleared the jungle on the Palace site. In 1909-10, the work was resumed under my supervision, and fresh ground was opened at the Singyidaing Pagoda, Kanthonzindaung, and the Atwin Moktaw Pagoda.

Hmauza or Yathemyo (the City of the Rishi) which has been identified with the Śrī-kṣētra of the Burmese Chronicles, is situated about 5 miles to the east of Prome. According to tradition, it was founded by King Dutthaung, 101 years after the Nirvāṇa of the Buddha, that is, in the year 443 B.C. (in accordance with the Burmese method of reckoning). In the native annals it is stated that the city was surrounded by a circuit wall with thirty-two large and twenty-three small gates, and was filled with splendid buildings, including three royal palaces with handsome gilt spires. About the beginning of the second century of the Christian era, the town was abandoned and fell into ruin, but the remains of massive walls, constructed with well-burnt bricks, 18 inches long by 9 wide and 3 thick, and of embankments and pagodas attest that, where seven or eight villages now stand in rice fields and swamps, intersected here and there by patches and strips of brushwood, there was once a large city, the capital of a flourishing and powerful kingdom.

Colonel Gerini identifies Śrī-kṣētra, with the Meroe of Metropolis of Ptolemy, who is reputed to have published his ‘Geography’ about 146 A.D. In the 7th
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1 Cf. L.de Beyléc, Prome et Samara, Voyage archéologique en Birmanie et en Mésopotamie. Paris 1907, (Publications de la Société française des fouilles archéologiques I.)
2 Cf. de Beyléc, op. cit. p. 70.
3 Researches on Ptolemy’s Geography of Eastern Asia, pp. 66 ff.
century A.D. Hiuen Thsang (or Yuan Chhwang) and I-tsing mention Shih-li-ch'au-t'o-lo, which has been identified with Śrī-kṣhetra. 1 In the eighth and ninth centuries A.D., the following is recorded in the chapter on “Southern Barbarians” in the Annals of the T'ang dynasty (618—905 A.D.), the kingdom of the Piu or P'iao having been identified with Śrī-kṣhetra or ancient Prome, although it is quite possible that, at that period, the seat of supreme power had been transferred to Pagan: “When the King of the P'iao goes out in his palanquin, he reposes on a couch of golden cord; but for long distances he rides an elephant. He has several hundred female attendants. The circular wall of his city is built of greenish glazed tiles, and is 150 li. It has twelve gates and there are pagodas at each of the four corners. The people all live inside it. Their house tiles are of lead and zinc, and they use the wood of the Nephalium lioth as timber. They dislike taking life. They greet each other by embracing the arm with the hand. They know how to make astronomical calculations, and are devotees of Buddhism. They have a hundred monasteries with bricks of vitreous ware, embellished with gold and silver, vermilion, gay colours and red kio. The floor is painted and covered with ornamented carpets. The King’s residence is in like style. The people cut their hair at seven years of age and enter a monastery. If, at the age of twenty, they have not grasped the doctrine, they become lay people again. For clothes they use a cotton serong, holding that, as silk involves the taking of life, it ought not to be worn. On the head they wear golden-flowered hats with a blue net, or bag set with pearls. In the King’s palace there are placed two bells, one of gold, and one of silver; when an enemy comes they burn incense and beat the bells in order to divine their good or evil fortune. There is a huge white image of hundred feet high; litigants burn incense and kneel before the image reflecting within themselves whether they are right or wrong, after which they retire. When there is any disaster or plague, the King also kneels down in front of the image and blames himself.....The women twist their hair high up on the crown of the head, and ornament it with strings of pearls; they wear a natural-tinted female petticoat, and throw pieces of delicate silk over themselves. When walking they hold a fan, and the wives of exalted persons have four or five individuals at each side holding fans.

“Nan-chao used to exercise suzerainty over it on account of its contiguity and by reason of the military strength of Nan-chao. Towards the close of the 5th century A.D., the King Yang K’iang, hearing that Nan-chao had become part of the T’ang Empire, had a desire to join China too, and Imousun sent an envoy named Yang Kinning to Kien-nan. The Viceroy of Si-ch’wan, Wei Kao, begged permission to offer the Emperor some barbarian songs, and, moreover, told the P’iao State to send up some musicians. For specimens of their music see the General Annals. His Majesty Diviüs Tien made Shu-nan-do President of the Imperial mews, and sent him back. The Governor of K’ai-ch’un submitted a panegyric upon the P’iao music. In the year 832, the Nan-chao Monarch kidnapped three thousand Burmans, and colonised his newly acquired eastern dominions with them.”

1 Title Watter, On Yuan Chchang’s Travels in India, (A. D. 629—648), Vol. II, pp. 188-s. ; Takakus, Record of the Buddhist religion as practised in India and the Malay Archipelago (A. D. 671—695) by I-tsing, p. LI.
2 Parker, Burma ; with special reference to her relations with China, pp. 12-sf.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>SHWE ZAYAN PAGODA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>GA LON GYI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>DOO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>GALON THE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>DO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>NYI NYI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>SETTAING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>DO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>SINGYIDANG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>PAVA TAUNG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>TUPAYON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>ZEGU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>DO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>PAVANGOKTO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>ZEYAMINGALAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>ATWINTHOKTAW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>BBEBEGYI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>BAWBAWGYI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>YAHANDAGU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>MONTHEMA GON</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ancient Prone is still known to the Hindus as Brahmodēs, and the Irrawaddy (Ecávati) river, on which it stands, is regarded by them as second only to the Ganges in its efficacy to wash away sin. The ancient connection of Prone with India is further confirmed by the discovery about seventeen years ago, at a village seven miles to the south of the Hmawza Railway station, of two gold scrolls containing the well-known Buddhist formula "Ye dhāma hetupabhavā," which is incised in the Eastern Chalukyan script of the 10th century A.D.¹ A certain amount of evidence is also afforded by the style of the buildings. Of the cylindrical-shaped Pagodas or ancient date, the best known are the Thaukkya, Myinbothu, Bawbawgyi, Payagyi and Payama. The first has been thoroughly renovated, and has lost all traces of its original form; the upper portion of the second has been modernized, but its lower part still retains some of the features of its ancient architecture. Of the remaining three (Plate XLV, figs. 1, 2, and 3), Bawbowgyi is the best preserved and the Government has undertaken to conserve it. This edifice may be described as a high cylindrical superstructure resting on five receding terraces and crowned with an iron ti. It has a slight indentation in the centre, and the upper portion below the ti is shaped like a cone. It is 153 feet high from the natural ground level to the top of the ti, and is 240 feet in circumference. The measurements of the height of its several parts are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part</th>
<th>Height</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Square terraces</td>
<td>26 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cylindrical body of Pagoda</td>
<td>73 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conical dome</td>
<td>24 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amalaka</td>
<td>5 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>25 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>153 feet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are three peculiarities in the construction of the Bawbawgyi, which are not noticeable in the shrines of Pagan:

(i) The exposed surface of the brickwork on the body of the Pagoda is notched in squares so as to increase the adhesive qualities of the plaster;

(ii) The core of the Pagoda, which is 80 feet high and 10 feet in diameter, is turned into a vertical hollow shaft, in order apparently to secure economy;

(iii) On the north face, two parallel lines, about 4 feet in breadth, run along the whole length of the cylindrical body, almost detaching a thin strip of brickwork from the structure. These lines indicate that the outer covering, which is in layers, was built in a circular form, and that a small segment was added to complete the whole structure.

Ancient Prone, or Śrī-kshētra, served as a buffer state between the Burmese monarchy of Pagan and the Talaiing kingdom of Pegu, and it was generally despoiled during the devastating wars of those rival powers. It was ransacked by Anawrata, in the 11th century A.D., when his victorious army returned from Thaton. Many pagodas, including the Bawbawgyi, were robbed of their treasure and relics, which were eventually enshrined in the Shwezigon at Pagan. The following account of the

¹ These valuable records were published in Ep. Ind., Vol. V, Part IV, pp. 101-ff. The originals are now preserved in the British Museum.
² Cf. also de Bryant, op. cit., figs. 61, 63 and 65.
plunder and destruction of Prome in the 16th century A.D. given by Ferdinand Mendez Pinto, who professes to have been an eye-witness illustrates the degree of ruthless vandalism committed by the Burmese and Talaings in the hour of victory which did not spare even the monuments dedicated to the service of religion:

"This treason so concluded," says Pinto, "was effected on the 23rd of August in the year 1545, wherein this Tyrant of Bramas [Branginoco or Hanthawadi Sinbyunyan] carried himself with all the barbarousness and cruelty that he used to practise in the like cases. The gate was opened, the city delivered up, the inhabitants all cut to pieces, without so much as sparing one; the King and Queen made prisoners, their treasure taken, the buildings and temples demolished, and many other inhumanities exercised with such outrageousness, the belief whereof is beyond the imagination and thought of men; and truly, I never represent unto myself in which manner it was done, as having seen it with mine own eyes, but that I remain astonished and beside myself at it."

Pagodas and temples with their treasure-vaults were objects of cupidity not only during political upheavals, but also in times of peace. Professional treasure-hunters flocked into them and despoiled them of their valuable contents; and these vandals, while pursuing their nefarious vocation, were sometimes assisted by the active sympathy and co-operation of the native officials and the Buddhist clergy. These circumstances, no doubt, account for the somewhat disappointing nature of the excavations hitherto conducted in Burma.

The archaeological finds unearthed at Hmawza mainly consist of votive terra-cotta tablets and stone sculptures. The age of the former remains still to be determined, but of one of the latter, namely, the sculptured stone (Plate XLVII, No. 4) found at the Zegu Pagoda (East), Mr. J. H. Marshall, Director General of Archaeology, writes:—"This sculpture plainly derives its style from the familiar Gupta work of Northern India. It can hardly be assigned to a later date than the seventh century A.D., and may be earlier." Both the terra-cotta tablets and the stone sculptures belong to a period when it was customary for the votaries of Buddhism in Burma to adore groups of the Buddha and his attendants and devotees carved in stone. This must have preceded, by several centuries, the age when separate images of the Buddha were made of stone, brick or metal.

The sites selected for excavation are indicated on the accompanying map of Hmawza (Plate XLVI).

Zegu Pagoda (East).

It is a structure with an oriented porch, measuring 27 by 24 feet, and arches ornamented with plaster carvings. Its basement is likewise decorated with beautiful mouldings. It must have remained in a neglected condition for many years, because its upper portion has been reduced to a heap of debris overgrown with trees, the biggest of which was about 35 feet in height and 32 feet in girth. A shaft, measuring 5' by 3' by 12', was sunk in front of the throne of the Buddha in the interior of the shrine. Some clay votive tablets were found. The most important find is the stone sculpture measuring 2'8½" by 1'4½" by 4½", which has been referred to above. (Plate XLVII, fig. 4.) In the

---

1 C. Scott-O'Connor, Mandalay and other cities of the past in Burma, p. 304.
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EXCAVATIONS AT HMAWZA NEAR PROME.
upper panel, the Buddha with an aureoled head is represented seated cross-legged between two crowned and well-draped figures, each carrying a chauri, who appear to be two Bodhisattvas. The face of the Buddha has peeled off; the palm of his left hand rests on the left knee; the right forearm is missing. In the centre of the lower panel is an object, probably the wheel-of-the-law, flanked by what look like kneeling deer, on either side of which are two worshippers in an attitude of adoration. The four worshippers are not represented in a kneeling posture, but with legs crossed. The pair on the proper right are apparently monks, as their heads are shaven, while those on the left appear to be laymen, as they wear high headdresses.

Another interesting stone sculpture (Plate XLVII, fig. 1) measuring 9 feet in height, 6 in breadth, and 1 in thickness, which appears to belong to the same age, was found at Paganungkapan, which is situated near the Peikthamonyo, a site close to the southern face of the city walls (see map). As usual, the sculpture is divided into compartments, the figures in the lower one having been completely obliterated. The central figure in the upper division is, of course, the aureoled Buddha sitting cross-legged in a peculiar attitude, which approaches the varadamonra, namely, with the left hand resting on the lap, and the right stretched out over the right knee. Both hands and arms are in a damaged condition. The face has been cut off, and the abdomen has been injured. On the proper right of the Buddha’s throne, a mahārajā head supported by what looks like a leprous is visible. Behind it is a figure holding a chauri. Close to it are two standing crowned personages with their hands clasped in front of their breast. These two are surmounted by a flying figure, probably a dēva. On the proper left of the Buddha are three figures. The first is a chauri bearer, while the second and third, from their drapery and symbols, may probably be identified with Indra or Vajrapāni, and a female companion.1

**Thaungbyegon.**

Thaungbyegon is inside the city and close to the southern wall. It takes its name from the tradition that, at one time, ten thousand pagodas were erected on its site. The actual counting of the monoliths, however, reveals their number to be only one hundred and twenty-two. Two of these were cleared of débris, and the outlines of cylindrical stupas were discovered. A bronze Buddha head, weighing 45 talas, and the stone head of a crowned personage were found. The former is reproduced as figure 8 on Plate XLVIII. The curls of the hair and the contemplative expression of the face are well represented.

**Mōnthemagōn.**

According to the Burmese chronicles, Duttabaung, the founder of Yathemyo, met with a watery grave near Cape Negris in the 5th century B.C., because he had met with a watery grave near Cape Negris in the 5th century B.C., because he had met with a watery grave near Cape Negris in the 5th century B.C., because he had met with a watery grave near Cape Negris in the 5th century B.C., because he had.

---

1 *Cf. de Boyl,* op. cit., *p.* 83 ff. and fig. 59.
Singyidaing Pagoda.

One is numbered 9 on the map. At a distance of 200 feet to the east of the corner of the walls enclosing the palace site of Yathemyo, there is a low mound, which is reputed to have been the site of the pagoda or "the site where the White Elephant was tethered." Close from it to the east is a tank known as the Sinbyugan or the "Tank of the White Elephant." It is about 250 feet in diameter, and is filled with water only in the rainy season, but it dries up in April and May. Both are, as tradition states, with the white sacred elephant belonging to King Dutthaung, the Yathemyo. When the jungle was cleared, the mound was found to be 7 feet at the top and 30 feet at the base. Its height is about 7 feet above the surface of the ground. A trench, measuring 8 feet 6 inches in breadth, in a east to west and across the centre of the mound. A little below the level in the trench were found fifty votive clay tablets bearing effigies of the Buddha, more or less broken. They are very similar to those found at the Bawbawgyi and Leeyehma Pagodas. The most important find made here, and which is the first of its kind ever discovered at Yathemyo is a small headless figure of the Buddha, carved in light porous stone, which the Burmese call andagon. It is well proportioned, and its workmanship forms a striking contrast, in neatness and finish, to that of the votive tablets. (Plate XLVIII, fig. 4.) At 6 feet 3 inches to the west from the centre of the mound, and 3 feet 9 inches below the top level, was found the eighth layer—counting from the lowest one—of bricks. At this point, the north-western corner of the basement of the Pagoda was found. In the trench and on the east side of the mound was found a fragment of the stone which apparently formed the lid of the relic chamber. Digging lower down and at 8 feet below the top level, a deep hole was discovered, which had probably been sunk by treasure-hunters and then filled up afterwards. The bricks on this side had been disturbed in their position. The hole indicates that this pagoda has been ransacked, and as it would be hopeless to make any more important or useful finds, the work was stopped and the trench was filled up.

Kanthônzindaung.

To the south of Hmaawza there is a low range of hills isolating Thayekittaya from the Irrawaddy river. Almost every peak of it was once crowned by a pagoda, which is now a shapeless mass of débris. There are indications that, at some ancient period, the hill sides were used for burying the funeral urns of the Pyu race. They are now used for a utilitarian purpose, that is, for quarrying gravel for the railway and the public roads.

On the top of a hill, which is known as Kanthônzindaung ("Hill of three series of tanks"), a small mound of débris was discovered with a low depression near the centre. It was opened and some important finds were made, most of which consist of terra-cotta votive tablets bearing Sanskrit legends of the well-known Buddhist formula Ye dharmi bêlpâbharā. A great number of them was found a few feet below the surface on the eastern side of the mound. The head of a bronze-
statuette of the Buddha with a face of gold on its face was also discovered near the surface. (Plate XLVIII, fig. 1.)

**Atwin Moktaw Pagoda.**

Among the mounds of brick which were discovered at Hmawza, the one marking the site of the Atwin Moktaw Pagoda is the largest (numbered 16 on the map). It measures about 174 feet in diameter at the base and 39 feet in height, and local tradition assigns it to the early centuries before the Christian era. Work was begun on the eastern side of the mound, and a vertical shaft of 10 feet was sunk. The earth was found to be loose, till a layer of bricks was reached. Below it was found a deep vertical shaft similar to that in the Bawhawgyi Pagoda. A man who was sent down this shaft reported that in the dark he had felt something like a stone. The digging was continued to a total depth of 15 feet, when the fragment of a stone, with a figure of an ogre (Burmese bila) cut upon it in low relief was all that was discovered. Only half of the upper part of the figure is left and the remaining portion is missing. The ogre is represented as holding with both hands a club placed on its right shoulder (fig. 1). The figure was probably placed in the core of the Pagoda as a guardian of its valuable contents. There is a superstitious belief among the Burmans that such figures become animated with life, whenever sacrilege is committed on a sacred shrine.

The pagoda was cleared of debris also on the north side, and the finds made here were a few broken pieces of pottery and three glass marbles of different colours, each measuring about three-fourths of an inch in diameter, and two star-shaped objects in glass. Each of these glass ornaments has a small hole punched through its centre (fig. 2). Evidently, these articles were intended to be strung together and worn as a ceremonial necklace, and were apparently imported from China. Such necklaces are still worn by Chinese mandarins at the present day.
There remain other sculptures to be described. In the absence of lithic records and reliable histories, they constitute the main data from which a chapter of the forgotten history of Buddhism in Burma might be compiled. Within the compound of the Settaing Thein Kyaung, to the south of the railway station, Hmawza, there are two rows of stones facing each other, there being three stones in each. The central stone of each row is larger than the others, and both measure about 6'-7" in breadth, 2'-2" in thickness and 6' in height above ground. On each of these central stones is engraved the figure of the Buddha, seated between two disciples. Each disciple has his hands clasped together and raised to the breast, and instead of kneeling, he sits cross-legged, the outer knee being raised a little higher than the other. The stones have been much defaced. The backs of the thrones on which the attendant figures are seated appear to be Chinese in form. At the bottom of the central stone in the southern row is an inscription in an unknown script, which was discovered by the late General de Beylié (Plate L).\(^1\)

In the Bëbë Pagoda, which is a small square edifice, measuring 17' by 10' at the base and surmounted by a cylindrical sikhara (Plate XLV, fig. 4), there is a large slab of stone measuring 6' 3" in breadth, about 1' 3" in thickness, and 8' 2" in height above the ground level (fig. 3). It bears the effigy of the Buddha seated between two disciples with a Mongolian cast of features, having, as in the case of the other stone sculptures, their hands raised to the breast, and their legs crossed under them. The back of the seats of the disciples is like that in the Settaing Thein Kyaung. In the lower panel there is an inscription in an unknown language, similar in character to that of the Settaing Thein. The inscription has peeled off in many places, and the remaining letters have much weathered.

At the Lemyethna Pagoda, which is a four-sided structure, measuring 22' 5" square at the base, there is a masonry pillar in the centre, measuring about 7' 6" on each side, which supports the roof of the building. Facing the cardinal points, there appear to have been four sculptured stones embedded in the central pillar. Only two stones, namely, those on the southern and western faces now remain. In the upper panel of the stone facing south the Buddha is represented as seated cross-legged on a throne, in front of which are three flower vases. (Plate XLVII, fig. 6.) His right hand is placed on the right knee with the palm downwards and the fingers projecting outwards, while the left hand is placed in the lap. The head is missing. The Buddha is flanked on the right by a standing figure, probably a Bödhisattva. On the left is another standing figure in a bad state of preservation, but from what is left of it, it looks like a female. The attitude is not that of one showing any reverence to the Buddha. It has a bangle on its right hand, and is probably a daughter of Mára. In the lower panel is a lotus border between two headed bands. On the stone facing west (Plate XLVII, fig. 3) the Buddha is represented with an aureoled head over which is a projection probably meant for the foliage of the Bödhi tree. He is seated in the same manner as the one described above, but the legs cross over one another while those in the latter overlap each other. The Buddha is flanked by two chaityas which probably connote deification. The mudrā in these two stones, which may be identified with evrada-mudrā, is strikingly similar and consists in the left

---

\(^1\) Cf. de Beylié, op. cit. pp. 82-ff, and figs. 56 and 67.
hand resting on the lap and the right hand being outstretched and touching the right hand. This peculiar arrangement is seldom met with in modern Buddhist iconography.

Fig. 2.

Another example of figures sculptured in groups is afforded by a carved stone nearly 33 inches high, placed flush with the south wall. The type of the figures is distinctly Mongolian, and the style is that of the Manchurian school. The stone, which is

Plate XIII, fig. 5.) Here the Buddha is represented with an arched

intimately in a bad state of repair, the roof having fallen in. The stone, which is

Pallada (Plate XX, pl. 5) Here the Buddha is represented with an arched

intimately in a bad state of repair, the roof having fallen in. The stone, which is

intimately in a bad state of repair, the roof having fallen in. The stone, which is

intimately in a bad state of repair, the roof having fallen in. The stone, which is
head and seated on a lotus throne holding an almsbowl in both hands. The projection above the nimbus is perhaps an indication of the Bodhi tree. The back of the throne is decorated with two makara heads. On the right side of the Buddha is the seated figure of a disciple, his left hand raised to the breast, and the right hand placed in the lap. Its counterpart on the left of the Buddha has probably been destroyed, traces of chisel marks being still visible on the stone.

**Finds.**

There still remain a few more stone sculptures to be described.

Figure 2 of Plate XLVII represents the Buddha in the bhūmisparśa-mudrā. The arch surmounting him is somewhat remarkable. Indian arches are, as a rule, simple and round with a projection right in the centre, as if the model has been copied from the entrance of chaitya halls. Here the arch is cusped and is shaped like a double bracket; and the capitals of the two columns are crowned by rudimentary makaras. The Indian projection is here represented by a pinnacle, in the form of a lotus-bud. A decorative development of the bracketed arch with makara ornaments, is found at Borobudur;¹ and the Burmese arch appears to be a transition between the Indian and Javanese type.

It may be stated that the inscriptions in an unknown script found at Bèbè and the Settaing Thein are being deciphered by Mr. O. O. Blagden, late of the Civil Service of the Straits Settlements. The results of his researches will be published, in due course, in the Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society.

**Terra-cotta Votive Tablets.**

The tablets found at Hmawza are interesting in that they afford illustrations of

---

¹ Vide Phytho, *Die Buddha-Legende in den Sculpturen des Borobudur*, figs. 9 and 30.

1. STONE SCULPTURE FROM THE KYAUKKATHETIN PAGODA.

2. INSCRIPTIONS BELOW THE SCULPTURE OF STONE NO. 2. FIGURE 1.

STONE NO. 3, FIGURE 1, SHOWING ---- HIS ATTENDANTS.
Figure 1 of Plate XLIX is a diminutive clay seal (m. 045). The mudra is not distinct. In figure 2, the forearm of the right hand is missing, the left hand is outstretched, and touches the left knee. In the nine recognized mudras, described by Burgess and Waddell, the left arm is never outstretched as in this figure. In figure 3, the mudra is bhumi-parśa, as also in figures 7 and 10. The dharmachakra-mudra is met with in figures 6, 8 and 12. In figure 8, there are three tiers. In the upper one, the Buddha is flanked on the right by two disciples, and, on the left, by two figures in secular dress. In the middle, are depicted six persons with the Wheel-of-the-Law placed in their midst. The third division, with its figures of deer, refers to the Deer-park near Benares, where the Buddha preached his first sermon. The five figures seated to the Buddha's right which appear to be monks are probably meant for his first five converts—the Pañchavaggiyas. Figure 10 consists of two compartments: in the upper, the Buddha is attended by two Bodhisattvas, while in the lower, he is placed between two female figures possibly meant for Mara's daughters. Figure 7, when entire, must have represented the eight main scenes in the life of the Buddha: his enlightenment at Bodh Gaya occupying the centre, and his Nirvana the top. The pose in figures 11 and 14 of Plate XLIX is rarely met with in Burma. Waddell calls it the labita-mudra or the "Enchanter's pose," i.e., after the manner of the Enchanter, Mājjuśri. The right leg hangs down with an inclination slightly inwards and the left is loosely bent.

The reverse face of figures 11 and 12, as well as two bricks (figs. 17 and 18 of the same plate) are inscribed with letters in an unknown script.

The ancient Pyu, who occupied Prone, burnt their dead and buried the ashes on the hill sides. Figure 4 illustrates the form of the funeral urns in which the ashes of the dead were deposited and shows other specimens of their earthenware. Figure 4, f is a goblet for holding drinking water, and 4, e is a tobacco pipe. The ancient people of Prone and Pagán as well as of the Shan States were greatly addicted to smoking, as evidenced by the large number of pipes unearthed in these localities.

Another interesting object is a miniature pagoda cut out of crystal, the shape of which is that of the Bawhargyi Pagoda, and bespeaks its ancient origin. The iron nails depicted in figure 5 were unearthed on the hill sides together with the funeral urns.

TAW SHIN KO.

---

1 Cf. de Bayló, op. cit. Plate V, No. 1.
2 In 1908 a complete specimen from the same die was found.
3 General de Bayló, op. cit. p. 89, fig. 22, calls the characters Tihleam, but this must be erroneous.
During the period under review three parts of the *Epigraphia Indica* were issued, viz. Parts VII and VIII of Volume XX, and Part I of Volume X. The last contains the first instalment of Professor Lüders’ List of Brahmi inscriptions (Nos. 1 to 449). The late Dr. T. Bloch has contributed an article on the Umbrella Staff inscription from Saheth-Maheth. The record is very much damaged, but enough remains to make it clear that the inscription is identical with the epigraph on the pedestal of the large standing Bodhisattva from Saheth-Maheth published by himself (*Ep. Ind.*, Vol. VIII, p. 180f.). He states that no possible doubt can be raised against the correctness of Cunningham’s identification of Saheth-Maheth with Sāvastī. Of the two Buddhist inscriptions from Sārnāth, edited by Dr. Konow, one is the Buddhist creed and the other contains a short enumeration of the *ariyasaṅgha*, the fundamental doctrines of the Buddhas. These four truths form the essence of the famous Benares sermon, and the inscription is appropriate at the spot where the Buddha first “turned the wheel of the law.” The language of the inscription is the Pāli of Buddhist literature, and Dr. Konow thinks the passage cut on the stone is meant as a quotation from the Buddhist Canon. In this case, we have epigraphical proof of the existence of a Pāli Canon in the 2nd or 3rd century A.D. This is, besides, the first old inscription of Northern India in the language of the Southern Canon.

The Ābhōṇa Plates published by Mr. Pathak belong to the time of the Kātachchuri king Śaṅkaragana, and are dated in Kālasuri Śaṅvat 347, corresponding to A.D. 595. The Arāṅg copper-plate edited by Mr. Hira Lal introduces a family of Gupta feudatories, ruling apparently over Chattisgarh, of whom the following succession is given:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{Śāṃ} \\
\text{Dayina (I).} \\
\text{Vidhānānu.} \\
\text{Bhimaśeṣa (I)} \\
\text{Dayitavacana (II).} \\
\text{Bhimaśeṣa (II).}
\end{array}
\]
The date of the record is the Gupta year 282 corresponding to A.D. 601. Udayasena of the Munaḍēsvi inscription published by Mr. R. D. Banerji is not known from other records. His date is the year 80 which Mr. Banerji thinks must be referred to the Harsha era. The Thimmapuram plates of Vīshparvadhana I. Vīshmasiddhi are undated; but, according to Professor Hultzsch, Vīshparvadhana was still a dependant of his elder brother, the Western Chalukya king Pulikēśa II. at the time of the grant. The order contained in it was issued from Pishṭepura, the modern Pithāpuram in the Gōdvāri District. The Chandrāvati inscription of Chandrādeva edited by Dr. Konow is the earliest known record of the Gāndāvālas of Kanauj. The same scholar publishes the Śārnāth inscription of Kumaradēvi, queen of Gōvinḍachandra. Kumaradēvi is said to have been a daughter of the lord of Pithi, i.e., Pithāpuram in the Gōdvāri District. The Nārāyanpur record of Gundanahādevi dated in A.D. 1111 adds to our knowledge of the history of Bastar in the Central Provinces, which Mr. Hir Lal has been trying to elucidate, by giving us the names of three Nāga kings who ruled over that part of the country, viz. Dēvāvastudēva, his son Somēvadēva and his grandson Kumaradēva. The Ārīgōṃ (ancient Hādigrāma in Kashmir) inscription records the construction of a vilāva by Rāmadēva, son of Kulaḍēva, in order to replace a wooden structure burnt down by king Simha, whom Dr. Konow identifies with Jayasimha (A.D. 1128). The inscription is dated in Satavat 73 which must be referred to the Lankika era. In this case, the date would correspond to A.D. 1197.

In the Jami’ Masjīd at Būrīhāpur (Central Provinces) is a Sanskrit inscription dated in A.D. 1599, recording the construction of the mosque by the Firāqi king Ādil Shāh. The Krishnāppuram plates published by Messrs. T. A. Gopinatha Rao and Rao Sahib T. Raghaviah belong to the time of the Vijayanagara king Sadēva. They are dated in Saka-Satavat 1480, the cyclic year Prabhava, corresponding to A.D. 1567-8 and are two years subsequent to the battle of Talikota. According to Mr. Hir Lal, the spurious Lāpā plate purporting to be dated in [Vikrama]-Satavat 506 (=A.D. 759) during the reign of the Haidhaya king Pīthvīvēla was fabricated “somewhere between 1560 and 1570 A.D. by a Sanskritist of Rataṇpur.”

The earliest inscriptions discovered during the year under review are from Southern India, where two more covenants with rock-cut beds and Brahma inscriptions were discovered in the Madura District. None of these inscriptions have so far disclosed any king’s name. But they show that the possession of an alphabetic system was one of the factors in the civilization of the Ptnadya kingdom in the second and third centuries B.C. In the Northern Circle, nine inscriptions belonging to the Kushāna period have been brought to light. They range in date from the year 31 to the year 58. Huvishka’s dates are 31, 35 and 42 and the inscription of the year 58 belongs to the time of Vasudēva. Dr. Vogel considers the reign of Huvishka as the great flourishing period of Mathura art. At Mora he has found the remains of a building with considerable fragments of images in the Kushāna style which belong apparently to four standing figures, three male and one female. Dr. Vogel connects these fragments with the “images of the five heroes” mentioned in the Mora inscription of the Great Satrap Rājārūla. In this case, the inscription on the
female figure dated during the reign of Huvishka would show that Rajāvula and his son were not independent rulers of Mathurā but governors under the Kushāna king Huvishka, provided the two records are contemporaneous.

An archaic inscription from Olakkūr in the South Arcot District (No. 356 of 1909) furnishes the name of a new king, viz., Prithviviratāpankura-Adiyāraiyāra. Perhaps he was a Pallava king or chief. Of the Chalukyans of Bādāmi an important copper-plate record has been brought to light during the period under review. It was found originally at Gadval in the Nizam’s Dominions. It is dated in Śaka-Saṅvat 596= A.D. 674, which was the 20th year of the reign of Vikramāditya I, surnamed Raṇarasika, Anivārita and Rājamalla. He is also described as “the destroyer of the Mahāmalla family.” On the occasion of making the grant, the king’s army “had entered the Chōḍika country and was encamped at Uragapura on the southern bank of the river Kāvēri.” Uragapura seems to be a Sanskritized form of the Tamil name Uṟaiyūr. And as Uṟaiyūr is actually situated on the southern bank of the river Kāvēri and was the Chōḍa capital in early times, it is not impossible that this town is meant. Negapatam (Nāgappattinam in Tamil) may also be the Tamil equivalent of Uragapura, though it is not on the southern bank of the river Kāvēri. This mention of the Chalukya army having been encamped at Uragapura proves that the Chalukyas did actually penetrate as far south as the Chōḍa country in their wars against the Pallavas. The fact that the Chalukya army advanced beyond the Pallava dominions into Chōḍa country may show that the Chōḍas (and perhaps also the Pāṇḍyas) combined with the Pallavas in opposing the invading Chalukyas. The Pallava opponent of Vikramāditya I. was Paramēśvararvarman who claims to have taken the city of Raṇarasika (i.e., Vikramāditya I.) and to have defeated him at Pervavalanallūr. This place has not yet been identified. There is, however, very little doubt that it must have been in the Tamil country, to judge by the name. If this be the case, it is clear that Vikramāditya I. must have invaded the Pallava dominions. And it is not unlikely that this invading army penetrated as far south as the southern bank of the river Kāvēri. As the Pallava records are not dated, the chronological order of these events is not absolutely certain. Perhaps Paramēśvararvarman repulsed at Pervavalanallūr a counter-invasion undertaken in consequence of his attack on the Chalukya capital Vātāpi.

A second inscription at Olakkūr in the South Arcot District records the death of a hero when the village was destroyed during the reign of Kampa-Perumal (i.e., Kanavikramavarman). At Tiruvellāri near Trichinopoly, a number of inscriptions on a rock were covered by a modern platform built over it. This has recently been removed disclosing a number of Pallava, Pāṇḍya and early Chōḍa inscriptions. One of them belongs to the reign of the Pallava-Mahārāja Dantinandivarman of the Brahma-Kṣatras family. It is not unlikely that Dantinandivarman was the son of a Dantivarman. Now Dantivarman-Mahārāja of the Triplicane inscription calls himself Pallurakulatilaka. Dantivarman of the Tiruvellāri inscription claims to belong to the Pallavatilaka-kula which sprang from the Bhrāḍāvāja-gāttra. Dantinandivarman was a member of the Brahma-Kṣatra kula. And a certain Nandippotamayar who probably lived in the 3rd quarter of the 9th century A.D. belonged to the Pallavatilaka-kula. It stands to reason that the foregoing two
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Dantivarman and Nandivarman should be kept distinct from the Gaṅga-Pallava kings Vijaya-Dantivikramavarman and Vijaya-Nandivikramavarman, who nowhere claim any connection either with the Pallavatilaka-bhuta or with the Brahmatelatam family. There is no doubt that there were some disturbances and a war of succession on the death of the Pallava king Paramēśvara-varma II. The Udaiyendra plates report that Chākramayya-Pallava, who was probably one of the claimants to the throne, was slain by the usurper Nandivarman-Pallavamalla, who belonged to a collateral line. It may be supposed that those kings whose names do not stamp them as Gaṅga-Pallavas belonged to the branch of Paramēśvaravarman II, until we know more about the history of Southern India in the 8th and 9th centuries A.D.

The Bezavinda inscription of Yuddhamalla is an interesting ancient Telugu record in verse which may be assigned to the 9th century A.D. The inscription consists of several sections, which record the building of a temple at Bejavāda for the god Komarasvāmi by a certain Nripadhānumaṇu, while king Rājarāya Satyārāma Yuddhamalla, the beḷoreśa (son) of Nripāṅkaśa was ruling. The second section refers to the king's grand-father Mallaṇāra. The third section seems to report that a temple of Kārttikeya was built on the occasion of the king's coronation. A portion of the inscription has to be read from the bottom upwards. The record shows that literary Telugu flourished even before the time of Nannaya-Bhaṭia, the author of the earliest extant Telugu work composed in the 11th century A.D., under the auspices of the Eastern Chalukya king Rājarāya I. (A.D. 1022-63).

The earliest epigraph discovered in the Western Circle is from Sakrāi and is dated in Sāvat 879. It records the construction of a moudapya by certain gūḍhaṅkas in front of the goddess Šākara, now called Šākambharī. At Chatsa in the Jaipur State is an inscription which refers to a new Gūḍha dynasty. A Chālaṃāna king named Siwarāja had a daughter named Rājarāva who was married by the Gūḍha prince Bālaṇḍiya or Bālārka. The main object of the inscription is to record that Bālaṇḍiya built a temple of Mūrāri in commemoration of his dead queen Rājarāva. The epigraph is not dated, but Mr. Chandurkar thinks there can be little doubt that it has to be assigned to the 10th century A.D. Among the stones recently added to the Ajmer Museum, there are three inscribed ones, two from Pushkar and the third from Byāna. One of the stones from Pushkar bears two inscriptions, the first dated in Sāvat 982, while the second, whose date is not quite certain, mentions Durgarāja. The second stone from Pushkar is much damaged but mentions Vākpatariṣia, probably identical with the Paramāra Vākpati Mahārāja. The temple at Haras (Jaipur State) known as Harasāṁāṭ was built in V.E. 1013 by a certain Aliṭa, who was the disciple of a Lakulīsa-Pāṇapata teacher named Prāṣasta. It is interesting to note that Harsh was the tutelary deity of the Chālaṃāna family. The Harsha inscription of Vigranarāja (dated in V. E. 1036) which furnishes this information was edited some years ago by the late Professor Kielhorn (Ep. Ind., Vol. II, p. 16). Of the same king a record has been found at Sakrāi. It reports that Dayikā, queen of Vachchharaṇa, i.e., Vatsarāja, uncle of Vigranarāja, repaired the temple of Šākara-mobile. The inscription ends with the date Sāvat 1395, Mahāśādi 5. It is evident that the hundreds are here omitted, as we know from the foregoing inscription that Vigranarāja was living in V.E. 1030. The date of the Sakrāi record must, therefore, be 1055.
The Eastern Chalukyas of Vēṅgi are represented by the Vēmalūrpadu plates of Amaṇa II. (A.D. 945-70) which resemble the Mālayapāṇḍi grant published by Professor Huldreich (Ep. Ind., Vol. IX, p. 47) in the historical portion. Maṅgi and Saṅkila, the two opponents of Vijayaḍītya III, referred to in the latter, are also mentioned here as rulers of Nāḷaṅga-raśṭra and Dāhalaṇa, respectively. The grant registered in the plates was made at the instance of the general Duggarāja, the great-grandson of the famous Pāṇḍaraṅga mentioned as a contemporary of Vijayaḍītya III, in the Masulipatam plates (Ep. Ind., Vol. V., p. 123).

As may naturally be expected, the history of the Tamil country is intimately associated with that of Ceylon. During the period of Chōla ascendency in Southern India, the contact with Ceylon was more intimate than at any other time. Parantaka I. (A.D. 907 to 948) claims to have conquered Ceylon. But no records have so far been found to substantiate this claim. The earliest Chōla records found in the island belong to the reign of Rājāraja I. (A.D. 985-1013). These were discovered already in 1903. The Archaeological Commissioner for Ceylon recently found Tamil records in the Śiva and Vishnu temples at Polannaruva, and sent impressions of them for decipherment to Mr. Krishna Sastri. Of these, the only complete inscription belongs to the reign of the Chōla king Parakāśarivarman alias Adhirājendrāvēra. A fragment of Rājendrachōla I. (A.D. 1011-43) was found among the incomplete ones. These Chōla records found in Ceylon coupled with the fact that, according to the Singhalese chronicle Mahāvamsa, there was an interregnum about the period to which they have to be assigned, prove that the Chōla conquest of Ceylon was not merely a victorious inroad but an actual occupation of the island. One of the monuments of Chōla rule in the island is the temple of Śiva called Vāṇavarmanmādēvarivaram-udaiyar at Jananāthamaṅgalam. Both the village and the temple situated in it were called, the one after a Chōla king Jananātha and the other after a Chōla queen named Vāṇavarmanmādevi. Mr. Krishna Sastri thinks that Jananāthamaṅgalam was a name of Polannaruva itself. The Chōla conquest and subjugation of the island was so complete that Rājāraja ordered some of the villages in the island to contribute to the daily requirements of the Tanjore temple built by him.

Coming to the history of the Chōlas we find that Rājakēśarivarman Rājādhīra- jadēva (A.D. 1018-53) also bore the name Vijaya-Rājendrāvēra. The king appears to have assumed this name towards the close of his reign. An inscription from Kōnēriraippam in the Tanjore District dated during the reign of Rājakēśarivarman Vijaya-Rājendrāvēra refers to his “taking the head of the Pāṇḍya king, capture of Śalai of the Chēna king, of Latkā (Ceylon) and of the Raṭṭapādi seven and a half lakh (country).” These conquests are generally mentioned in inscriptions of Rājakēśarivarman Rājādhīra- āvēra. This identity of Rājādhīradio (I) with Vijaya-Rājendrāvēra was already pointed out in a previous report and is now further confirmed by an epigraph from Ālambākkam whose historical introduction is that of Rājādhīraja. The name of the king here given is Rājakēśarivarman alias Udaiyar Śri-Vijaya-Rājendrāvēra.

It was the practice in ancient times, whenever a temple had to be rebuilt, to copy the litic records found on its walls into a book and then re-enscribe them again
on the new walls. Several such cases were noticed in previous years. The Vyaghra-
padeśvara temple at Siddhalingamadam in the South Arcot District appears to have
been rebuilt of stone in the reign of Jayalhara alias Rajendra Chola II. The earlier
records found on its walls appear to have been re-engraved after the repairs had been
completed. The Naṭṭulōṭṭai Chetties who are rebuilding ancient Śiva temples on a
large scale in the Tamil country will do well to bear in mind that the inscriptions
which they ruthlessly pull asunder were highly valued in ancient times.

At Raghuñāthagadhi in the Jaipur State is an inscription dated V.E. 1150
referring itself to the reign of a Chandel king, whose name is, however, not
mentioned. This shows that the local traditions are correct in saying that the
country was once in the possession of the Chandel Rajputs. At Jīg-Māṭā (Jaipur
State) Mr. Bhandarkar has found several records of the imperial Chañmāna
dynasty. The earliest of them is dated in V.E. 1162 during the reign of
Pṛthivideva, i.e., Pṛthiviraja I. and records the rebuilding of the temple of the
goddess Jīg-Māṭā (Mahāśāmaranginī) by one Ṣhaṭāla, son of Ṣasatla. Mr.
Bhandarkar remarks that the goddess has eight arms and that her drinking propen-
sities are notorious. Two other inscriptions of the same temple belong to the time
of Arunācāja, i.e., Arunācāja, son of Pṛthiviraja I. and contemporary of the Solanki
king Kumārapāla, and are dated in Samvat 1198. A fourth epigraph dated in
Samvat 1330 records the building of the maṇḍapa of the temple during the reign of
Somēvarar, i.e., the Chañmāna sovereign Somēvaram.

Of the early Pāṇḍyas, an inscription has been found at Tiruvellārai of
Mārāṇājanayan, named Pāṇḍya-Mahārāja, whom Mr. Krishna Sastri identifies
with the Mārāṇājanaya of the Trichinopoly cave inscription and the Varagunam-
Mahārāja of the Ambāsāmanovid record. The most interesting among the later
Pāṇḍya inscriptions is a record of Jēṣivarman Tribhuvanachakravarta Sundara-
Pāṇḍya (No. 315 of 1909). It sets forth that a few Brāhmaṇas and a few Veñḍa
Śūdras, arming themselves with weapons, committed murders, insulted Brāhmaṇa
women, committed robbery and destroyed cattle. The matter was reported to the
local authorities who punished the offenders. Evidently the punishment had no
deterrent effect and the people again complained against these rebels. The next
 governor of the province commissioned one of his subordinates to deal with the
rebels effectually and despatched a regiment of Malaiyāla soldiers to his help.
The two of the rebels were secured but were subsequently liberated by the others who
attacked the officers of the king and their party. The king then issued stringent
orders that the rebels should “be captured wherever found and punished according to
rules applicable to the lower classes; that their houses and other hereditary property
be sold to temples or other charitable institutions; that the money thus realised be
credited to the treasury in payment of the fine imposed on them; and that the
balance, if any, be presented to temples as a permanent charity in the names of the
criminals.” The causes for this outbreak are not given. Valluvanāḷavat “gov-
ernor of Valluvanāḷu” was the commissioner entrusted with the suppression of
the rebels. He was evidently a man from Malabar. And the regiment of
Malaiyāla soldiers were probably Nairs. How they came to be in the vicinity of
Tirukkachchur in the Chingleput District is not stated. It may be that the Nairs
of Malabar were looked upon as intrepid soldiers in ancient times and were in requisition wherever there was war or rebellion.

Kākatiya history receives fresh elucidation from the inscriptions of the dynasty copied during the year under review in the Pālahān and Bājpātā tāluks of the Guntur District. The accompanying genealogy of the dynasty is based on these as well as the other known records of the dynasty.

**Kākatiya genealogy.**

Durjaya.

Praka L. constructed a big tank called Kērāni.

Bīśa or Belma,

**Tribhuvanamalla.**

Prakāra or Prakā (II).

Surnamed Jagatikērān, m. Muppākāvē (or Muppamadēvē)

(A. D. 1117).

Rodrē, m. Pālahān (?)

Mahādēva or Māhāhāva, m. Bavayāmbika.

Ganapati or Gaṇapata, m. Nārāray and Pērātē [Saka 1131 (12th regnal year)-1182]

By Śūti 3

Rodrēn 2

(Saka 1186, 2nd year; Saka 1189)

Mummādabhī, m. to Mahāhāva (?)

Pramāntē (Saka 1215 to 1211).

**Ganapāmbī 3 or Gaṇapāmabhī, m. to Kētē (Saka 1172).**

At Mōṭupallē is a charter of Gaṇapati (dated in A.D. 1214-5) issued in favour of merchants trading on sea whose vessels used to call at or start from the seaport of Mōṭupallē, otherwise known as Dēśavyayakkondapattāna. These vessels are stated to have been trading with islands and coast towns in distant countries. The kings used to confiscate all the cargo, gold, elephants, horses, precious stones, etc., of vessels which, on account of unfavourable weather, were stranded and wrecked near Mōṭupallē. King Gaṇapati declares: *Seeing that protection of my subjects is far more important to me than my life, we have remitted out of compassion all taxes except kūpyāka on these enterprising merchants trading on the sea in order*

---

1 See the Malabar Geographical Report for 1885-86, paragraph 42.
4 See 11 - Malabar Geographical Report for 1885-86, paragraph 41.
5 No. 21 of 12 - Malabar Geographical collection for 1897.
to secure fame and to maintain the principles of a righteous government.”

*Kapastuka* probably corresponds to the modern customs duty and comprised the following articles: sandal-wood, country camphor, China camphor, pearls, rose water, ivory, civet (*janūda*), camphor-oil (*kapira-taila*), copper, zinc, viscya (*resin*), lead, silk-thread, corals, perfumes, pepper and areca-nuts.

The Rađi chief Annapāta supplemented in A.D. 1388-9 this charter of Gaṇapati. He permitted the merchants of Mālupalle who treated with distant islands and coast towns to stop at their will in their houses at Mālupalle and to leave for other places (without any official pressure). He also remitted the tax called *aputrika-davdam* on foreign merchants who traded in his dominions. The duties on gold and silver were abolished; a third of the export duty on sandal was remitted and merchants were allowed the liberty to sell their imports to anybody under any conditions and to export any goods they chose. It was also proclaimed that henceforward no cloths would be detained in the warehouse (?) and tolls on other articles would under no circumstances be different from what they were before. The following rates were also fixed:—

(A) Goods from the South: on 100 packages of import, 3 packages; on 100 cloths of export, 2 coins (?)

(B) Imports from the North: on 100 packages 5; on 100 cloths of export 3 coins (?)

(C) On exports: 3 coins (?) on 100 cloths. D. 7½ (coins (?)) on 100 pearls.

In the Burma Circle 53 inscriptions were copied from the Prone, Rangoon, Pegu, Magwe, Thayetmyo and Sagaing districts. Of these 26 are in the Taing language and the rest in Burmese dating from the 12th and later centuries of the Christian era.

The Archeological Survey of the Northern Circle gives a list of 205 Musal-mān inscriptions copied by his assistants. The earliest of them is dated in Hijrah 593 (A.D. 1197) and is one of the oldest in India. Another found in the Punna Qīla at Delhi is in Sanskrit and Persian and records that “12 bigins of land with a pucca well near the Qīla Khāna have been bought and a temple of Shri-Kirishna Bhagwan was erected.” The income from this land was to be spent on the temple. Mr. Bhandarkar has also copied a few Sanskrit records of Muhammadan kings, Alāvādi, i.e., Alā-ud-din, of Jōginipura; i.e., Delhi, is mentioned as the king in an inscription at Pāṇjūkhā (Jodhpur) dated in Sānvat 1358 and at Jīn-Mātā (Jaipur) is one dated in Sānvat 1382 when Muhammad Tuglaq was reigning. The emperor Akbar is represented as the sovereign in an inscription at Bārāṭ dated in Saka 1509 and his son Jahanār in a record of Sānvat 1677 from Meṣṭā (Jodhpur). In the Southern Presidency, Mr. Krishnā Sastri has discovered a Telugu epigraph of the Qutb Shāhīs of Golkonda.

The inscriptions copied during the year throw some light on Jainism and its teachers. In Southern India, Jain antiquities have been found in Kogar Polyyungulam and Muttappatū, where caverns with rock-cut beds were also discovered, as well as in two other villages of the Mōdura District. The former are accompanied by Vatteluttu inscriptions which mention several Jaina teachers. Ajjana-
already known to us from other epigraphical records is one of them. His mother Gūṇamādiyār is also referred to. Kuruṇḍi Ḍāṭṭa- uparāsi Bhāṭāra of Venbu-nādu was another and his two disciples were Gūṇāśina and Māganaṇdi. Gūṇāśina's pupil was Kanakavīra-Periyaḍīgal. Kanakvanandi-Bhāṭāra, his pupil Abinandaṇ Bhāṭāra (I), his pupil Arimandala-Bhāṭāra and the latter's pupil Abinandaṇ Bhāṭāra (II) are the other teachers mentioned in these Vattelūtta records. A careful collation of these with the inscriptions found at Kāḷugumalai and other ancient Jaina centres would surely yield valuable results for the history of the Jaina sect in Southern India. We know from Tamil literature that the Jainas continued in the Pāṇḍya kingdom down to the 7th century A.D., when the Śaiva saint Turajñāna-sambandar discomfited them in a religious dispute and converted the then reigning Pāṇḍya king from Jainism. If the Pāṇḍya king was a Jaina in the 7th century A.D., there must have been a very large number of followers of that creed in his dominions. Consequently, it is no wonder that we find ancient Jaina monuments, in the Madura and Timevelli districts. That these should be found in such close proximity to monuments which may tentatively be assigned to Buddhism requires some explanation. Future researches must decide what relationship the two creeds bore to one another in the Tamil country.

The inscriptions which Mr. Bhandarkar examined in Rajputana furnish the names of a number of later Jaina teachers. The temple of Pāṇḍavamātha at Bairā (the ancient Virāṭapura of the Mahābhārata) is now in the possession of the Śrāvīśī, i.e., the Digambara Jainas. There can, however, be no doubt, says Mr. Bhandarkar, that the temple originally belonged to the Śvetāmbaras. An inscription built into one of the enclosure walls of the temple, dated in Śaka 1500 = A.D. 1587 refers to Hiraṇvijaya as the pontiff and Akbar as the sovereign. Of Hiraṇvijaya of the Tapa-gaheccha we know from other sources that he persuaded the emperor Akbar to issue an edict forbidding the slaughter of animals for 6 months, to abolish the confiscation of the property of deceased persons, the Śujjia tax and a śulkau, to set free many captives, sacred birds and animals, to present Śatrumājaya to the Jainas and to establish a Jaina library. His pupil was Vimalaharsha. One of the inscriptions found on the hill called Sivdarug near Chāṭā, dated in Sānativat 1556, mentions Padmanandin of the Mūla-saṅgha, Sarasvatī-gaheccha, Balākāra-gaṇa and the line of the āchārya Kundakunda. Padmanandin* was followed by Śubhachandra and Jinaḍhindra. One of the pupils of the latter was Rātuvākiṭā. A record of V.E. 1677 = A.D. 1620 from Meḍā (Jodhpur) refers to Jinarājasūri of the Khuratara-gaheccha and Jina-saṅgra. Jinarāja was the pupil and successor of Jinasimha who is said to have received the title of Yogapradhāna from the emperor Jehangir. He also built ribāvas or temples in Kābila (Kabul) and Kāshmir, and caused the edict of non-slaughter to be proclaimed at Śrīkara, Śripura (Śrīnagar) and Garjīnāka (Gazni). Jinasimha was the pupil of Jinaḍhindra, on whom, it is said, the title of Yogapradhāna had been conferred by Akbar. Of Jinaḍhindra it is said that he caused the edict of non-slaughter to be annually promulgated during the periods of ashtāiharaka

* Padmanandin was an old Jaina teacher who is identified with Kundakundīścharīya in an inscription at Vijaya-

---

* Padmanandin was an old Jaina teacher who is identified with Kundakundīścharīya in an inscription at Vijaya-

---

and śāṃkā, saved the lives of fish and other aquatic animals in the sea near Stambhatīrā, i.e., Cambay, and caused the tax at Satrunjaya and other places to be discontinued. Reference is also made to the Upādhyāya Samayājī and the Vīchākas Haranarpamoda, Samayasanadāna and Pungarpaladana. Of these, Samayājī was a pupil of Jīmchandra and Samayasanadāna the preceptor of Haranandana. The earliest record of the Kharneta-gaechhā at Mejjī is dated in V.E. 1507 and speaks of an image of Śaṅtinātha as having been installed by Jīmchandra and Jīnasīgara. Of the Taryga-geechhā pontiffs also a few are mentioned. An inscription dated V.E. 1653 refers to the installation of an image of Śaṅtinātha by Vījyasēnasūrī of the Taryga-geechhā. Inscriptions of the time of his pupil Vijayadēvasūrī are dated V.E. 1677-87. The earliest record of the geechhā, dated in Sānvat 1599, refers to the consecration of an image of Śaṅtinātha by Hēma-vīmalsūrī.

As regards Indian mythology, a few words are necessary. Dr. Vogel has found additional proof of the prevalence of Nāga worship in ancient Mathūrā in an inscribed statuette which was being worshipped as Dānu-ji (Baldéy) in a temple in the city of (Mathūrā), but which undoubtedly represents a Nāga. He adds: "The Nāgas were supposed to reside in rivers, springs, lakes, ponds and tanks and to possess power to yield rain. This explains why they are so extensively worshipped by the agricultural population of India." The Yakṣas, of whom Kubera, the god of wealth, was the chief, were regarded as keepers of treasure and worshipped accordingly. At Tāyāpur near Mathūrā was obtained a sculpture which represents a female deity seated with an infant in her lap and four children between her feet. The pedestal is carved with a group of children at play. Dr. Vogel has no doubt that there is a close relation between this sculpture and effigies of Harītā, the goddess of fertility and queen of the Yakṣas. The villagers were worshipping this image as Gāndhārī, the mother of the Kauravas. Dr. Vogel also notices a sculpture of the god Śūrya seated on his chariot. The number of horses by which the chariot is drawn is only four here, while according to Brahminical iconography the number is seven.

In one of the rock-cut shrines at Kūnyakkūdi in the Madura District (Madras Presidency) is an interesting group of sculptures. The group consists of a four-armed figure of the god Viṣṇu; Brahmā holding in two of his hands the padma and the water vessel (kamandalā) and folding the palms of the two other arms in a worshipping posture; the god Śiva with four arms holding a deer in one hand and a club in the other, while the other two hang down. On the right top of this image of Śiva is a swan. On his right(?) side again is figured a standing image of Viṣṇu in a worshipping posture. This appears to be an earlier representation of Śiva as Lingodbhava, in which the gods Śiva, Viṣṇu and Brahmā are cut on a tall tiṣṭa. Śiva is there figured in relief over a countermask depression of the tiṣṭa, while Brahmā and Viṣṇu are cut in relief on the surface of the tiṣṭa, the former at the top and the latter at the bottom. Mr. Bhandarkar refers to a sculpture of this scene discovered by him during the year vadee review at Haras (Harsha) in Jaipur. At Ālambakkam in the Trichinopoly District is a record of the Chola King

1 He was the successor of Hēnavījaya and was called by the emperor Akbar to Lakhnūpura (Lahore) and received from him great honours; Ep. Ind., Vol. II, p. 38.
Rājakēsariavarman which refers to a temple of Saptamātrikā. This Mr. Krishna 
Sastri identifies with the modern Šellyamman temple where the inscription has been 
found. During the reign of Rājadhirāja, this temple continued to be dedicated to 
“the seven mothers.” In a later record the same shrine seems to be referred to by 
“the shrine of Piṭārī.” An inscription of the Western Chālukya king Bhalōka-
malla dated in Śaka-Sanvat 1051, the Saumya-Sanvatsara (=A.D. 1129-30), records 
a gift to a temple of the Hindu Trinity, viz., Brahmaṇa, Vishnu and Śiva at 
Gurizāla in the Guntur District. The inscription is engraved on a Nāga pillar 
and refers in the beginning to the eight Nāgas, viz., Śesha, Vāsuki, Takshaka, 
Karkota, Abja, Mahāmbuja, Śan̄khadhara and Kulika.

In a temple at Saladdipura (Jaipur State) which may be assigned to about the 
12th century A.D. are some interesting sculptures. Above the shrine door on the 
dedicator’y block is a standing image of Śiva with Gaṇapati on his proper right and 
the goddess Vaishnavi on his left. The door jambs are sculptured with the remaining 
saptamātrikas. Above on the frieze are the navagrahas. The exterior of 
the shrine contains three central niches, of which the niche on the north side is occupied 
by Vārāhī, that on the south by Cāmuṇḍā and the third by a goddess with eight 
hands. Each of these goddesses has a man for her vāhana. The outside walls of 
the shrine contain representations of the ashta-dikpālakas. Kubera is here shown 
with the ram as his vehicle and Nirṛiti with a man (not a dog). Yama has two 
hands of which the left holds a skull-crowned mace.

At Phalodi in Jodhpur State, are two ancient temples, one of Pārśvanātha 
and the other of Brahmāṇi. A legend concerning the origin of the former is 
that the image of Pārśvanātha beneath a tree used to be spontaneously bathed 
with milk from a cow belonging to a Jain. The Jain originally suspected the 
cowherd but was eventually satisfied as to how the milk of the cow was being 
disposed of. This image of Pārśvanātha was then enshrined in the present temple. 
The same legend is related about the origin of the Jalakanṭhāsvaram temple at 
Yellore (in the Madras Presidency). Here the god Śiva in the form of a five-headed 
cobra takes the place of the image of Pārśvanātha.

V. VENKAYYA.
THE KANISHKA CASKET INSCRIPTIONS.

The very admirable impressions which Mr. Marshall has made of the inscriptions on the lid and sides of the Kanishka casket found at Shah-ji-ki-Dhērī in March 1909 are here published for the first time, for the benefit of those who wish to compare the originals with the transcripts and translations which I have previously published elsewhere.¹

The writing is everywhere rendered in series of faint and minute dots, which made the preparation of facsimiles an exceptionally difficult and delicate task, which, however, Mr. Marshall has managed to achieve with the splendid results here shown. (Plates LII and LIII.) The excellent photograph of the casket, with the coin found near it and the crystal reliquary contained in it, which held the few fragments of bone which were the Buddha Relics, is also published here, in order to render this paper a self-contained record of the epigraphs. For the photograph as well as for the impressions my acknowledgments are due to Mr. Marshall.

The writing itself is in the cursive script of the Kharāṣṭrī alphabet, the individual aksara measuring about $\frac{3}{8}$ to $\frac{3}{4}$ in height. In form they are clearly later than the characters of the Taxila copper-plate of Patika, which are also dotted, and a pronounced tendency is noticeable toward a flourish at the left at the bottom of all letters permitting of this addition. This flourish resembles the $a$-vowel as written in the Kharāṣṭrī of the oldest period, but confusion is avoided by indicating the real $a$ by a definitely closed loop.

The language is a consciously Sanskritizing form of Prākrit, witness the genitives *Sarvasatiudana[ṃ]* and *Sarvasatavano[ṃ]* side by side with the compound *kadasaharthis*; but possibly the conventional or stereotyped nature of the formule may explain the strongly Sanskritic form which these brief epigraphs show. One feels that it verges on correct Sanskrit.

As regards the interpretation of the inscriptions I have little or nothing to add to my previously published remarks, but I nevertheless reprint my readings here for convenience of reference, mentioning a few points where possible emendations have occurred to me.

The first of the four (cf. Plate LII, figure b), which is found on the top of the lid, running across the petals of the full-blown lotus with which this surface is decorated, I read:—

*Acharya[mu]* Sarvāstivāda[mu] parigrahe.

This may be rendered, "For the acceptance (or, as the property) of the Doctors of the school of Sarvāstivādins." At first I thought the first *akshara* of the last word was certainly *pra*, but I am less sure of this now, and no longer feel warranted in representing the original as a mistaken writing. The whole is of course a familiar formula, calling for no special remark. Nor need we perhaps be surprised at finding the dedication of Kanishka's Buddha Relics addressed to a sect of the Hinayāna, since the authorities are agreed that the codification at the Council of Kanishka was in accordance with the doctrine of the Sarvāstivādins, and Hiuen-Thang specifically mentions the fact that even in his time the priests living in Kanishka's monastery adjoining the great stūpa of Poshāwar followed the Little Vehicle.¹

But, with Kanishka's adherence to the Hinayāna so abundantly proved, it is difficult to follow Mr. Vincent Smith's argument that the occurrence on Kanishka's coins of the Buddha figure in the midst of a crowd of heterogeneous deities is due to the prevalence of Mahāyānism.²

Kanishka's conception of Buddhism appears certainly to have been the conception of the Sarvāstivāda school, and that this school belonged to the Hinayāna is established.³ It is, however, undeniably surprising, if this is so, that the tradition of Kanishka's Council should have been so completely forgotten among the Hinayānists in the south, and knowledge of it have been preserved only among those northern nations where the Mahāyāna predominates. How is it, in fact, that a self-acknowledged Hinayānīst has become the hero of the Mahāyāna schools? Perhaps the explanation of the seeming paradox is to be found in the lack of really important differences between the two at that early age, and particularly among the largely un-Indian peoples of the North-West Frontier. Even to-day the Hindus of the Poshāwar valley would seem hopelessly lax to the stricter Brahmans of Benares, and in all probability even the Hinayāna of Gandhāra would have seemed unorthodox to the old assemblies of Magadha; while possibly the currency of the Kanishka tradition in the north may have been due to geographical rather than sectarian causes in the first instance.

The second inscription (cf. Plate LIII, fig. a), which occurs between the figures of the flying geese in the band decorating the lower edge of the lid, is unfortunately illegible now, as the metal here is more corroded than anywhere else, and the surface has pecked off in several places. A few individual *aksharas* can be traced with some

---

³ cf. T. Wulsin's introduction to the I-Te King, *The Buddhist Religion*, pp. 217. The remark by I-Te (p. 141) *shee* the sect of the "tathāgata* (now) says in accordance with one, some with the *tathāgata* I have understood the "tathāgata" to be the Buddha, the body of Sarvāstivādins were Mahāyānists after all, the *tathāgata* being the Buddha, *Tatsyas* the *tathāgata* universality followed the Little Vehicle removes all doubt.
(a) BRONZE CASKET, CRYSTAL RELIQUARY AND COIN.
degree of certainty, and, when I had the original before me, I thought I could detect traces of three connected characters, ko, u, and ebba; but the facsimile does not permit even this hypothetical reading. I must therefore leave this one of the inscriptions quite untouched.

The third epigraph (cf. Plate LIII, fig. 6) is found on the main body of the casket, between the heads of the figures in the principal frieze, and, as can be seen from the impression here published, is in the main readable with certainty as follows:

\[ \text{deyadharmo servasatana [in]} \text{ hidusvarthan bhavat}. \]

This is another well-known formula and signifies, "May this pious gift redound to the welfare and happiness of all creatures."—No doubt can attach to the majority of the akshara hero, and the only points calling for special mention are the peculiar forms of certain conjuncts. In Bühlèr's Tables the form given for ma is evidently the same in essentials as we have it here, with the addition of an oblique stroke on the left. The precise value of this I am somewhat doubtful about. But it is obviously intentional, and apparently meant for a vowel stroke, and for these reasons I venture to read the symbol as ma. I should note, though, that I can find no other instance where the stroke for o is added at this angle, which, indeed, appears to be an exact reversal of the usual inclination. A vowel mark which is almost identical with this occurs in connection with a ya on the lion capital at Mathura, and with ya is registered by Bühlèr as representing c. But the absence of other nominatives in c in these casket inscriptions, as well as the strong Sanskritization noticeable both lead me to prefer the reading o, despite the incorrect sandhi involved. One wonders if the unusual position of the stroke may not perhaps be due to the shape of the akshara itself. The irregularity of the e with ya certainly is attributable to this cause, and a further analogous instance is seen in the way the i-vowel is written across the akshara hi in the word hidusvarthan of our epigraph. Another interesting comparison is the fee which is different in form to that shown by Bühlèr. I confess that the constituent elements in this complex are not at all clear to me, but there can be no doubt as to the reading. Neither can I reduce to its elements the symbol for rthah; the difference between it and the form for thra which Bühlèr gives, may be due to an intention of indicating the annuvāra by a kind of subscript. On this assumption I have transcribed the akshara as rthah, but unfortunately I have not been able to find any exact parallel to it. Of the single consonants attention may perhaps be called to the ya and the åka in the first word, as the former shows the late square form of this letter which so closely resembles a ū, while the latter is more compactly written, and at the same time more angular, than any of the symbols for dh registered by Bühlèr. None of the other

---

1 Sir Aurel Stein notes, appendix to the 24. August, 1908: "I think the round of a small curve to the left as the foot of the character ma can be made out in the original. This would represent the ansvara o."

2 To Sir Aurel Stein, again. I am indebted for the following note: "The indication of the ansvara by a curve to the left, or, in the case of certain letters which have no sign, by a small crescent placed below the letter, is quite a common feature in my Kharoshthi documents on wood and leather from Nyo, etc. See Rapin on the Alphabet of common letters in my Kharoshthi documents on wood and leather from Nyo, etc. Also Rapin on the Alphabet, 1906, pp. 464 ff. Also various plates with such records in Ancient Khotan, and Journaal Asiatique, 1906, pp. 464 ff."
oksharas present any variations of interest from the usual type, and apart from the
question of the o in deyañhārno, and the anusvāra in rihā which the reading of the whole
inscription may be called certain. About its meaning, of course, there is no doubt
whatever.

And now we come to the last and most important of the four epigraphs (cf. Plate
III, fig. e), namely, the one incised in the level spaces between the feet of the figures
in the main frieze on the body of the casket. Here, very unfortunately, two or three
difficulties do arise, and this is why the impressions are published, at Dr. Vogel's wise
suggestion, in order that other scholars may satisfy themselves as to the correctness
or otherwise of my interpretation. My own reading of the line is:

\[\text{jasa agbhala navakarmi (k)anishkasa vihare mahasenasa saigharam,}\]

and my original translation, by which I still abide, ran,

"The slave Arisalaoes, the Superintendent of Works at the Vihāra of Kanishka
in the monastery of Mahāsena."

In defence of this rendering let me note the following. The word vihare I
understand to refer to the great monument which we now call the "stūpa" of
Kanishka, where the casket was found, but which from the account given by
Huen-Thieng certainly appears to have partaken more of the nature of a temple or
pagoda than any actual stūpa which has been preserved to us; witness the repeated
references to its many stories and the legend of the robbers who "wished to go in and
steal." This would certainly seem to imply an inner chamber in the monument
(which may indeed have been as a whole not altogether dissimilar to the great
temple or tower at Bōdh-Gayā), and the designation of it as a vihare would thus be
perfectly correct. According to Fa Hien there seem to have been many such
vihāras in this district, each containing some object traditionally associated with the
Buddha, which it is clear from the accounts given must have been more in the
nature of temples or towers than actual stūpas. Assuming therefore, that
Kanishka's vihare was one of this number, I understand the present inscription to be,
as it were, the signature of the officer in charge of its construction for Kanishka,
and I take it that this new pagoda was originally built at or near an older foundation
then known as Mahāsena's Monastery. Of Mahāsena or his monastery nothing is
known, but it may readily be supposed that the latter was a smaller and humbler
structure than ultimately proved necessary for the accommodation of the large body
of priests brought together by the king, and that it then gave way before the larger
monastery which the Chinese pilgrims found associated with Kanishka's name, and
portions of which we have now recovered. No other interpretation seems possible
nor need any other be sought, since nothing here assumed is contrary, either to the
facts as we know them, or to inherent probability.

\[1\text{ Sir Aurel Stein noted, in regard to the initial of this word: "Is not this character to be read }\text{saiban with sub-
themal stroke? This pronunciation of the word before usual comment is a regular feature in the }\text{Kharoshthi docu-
ments found from Nisa, see Baggen, loc. cit., p. 24, 12 (e. g. vihārati, pumā, etc.).}\]

\[2\text{ See the present list of epigraphs, Dr. Venus notes the absence of a verb but in the endorsement on }
\text{the title page of Parāśara's }\text{phalas }\text{ isa ka, see }\text{Ep. Ind., Vol. IV, p. 50.}\]
The minuteness of the reading, however, are unfortunately less certain than the general purport of the whole. To begin with, the first word, dēna, which I take to be =dēna =slave, is so curiously spaced that doubt as to the correctness of the reading is legitimate; nay, more, in the impression now before me I almost seem to detect traces of dots between the da and the sa, which were not visible to me on the original, so that there is at least a possibility that the first word contained three syllables instead of two. But I am by no means sure that these dots do actually occur. I assumed originally that the lines faintly traceable at this point were ornamental streamers depending from the frieze, as is certainly the case with the curious zigzags in the second space and at various other points throughout the inscription, and it may well be that this is actually the case. At all events, no third akshara is sufficiently visible for me to suggest even a hypothetical reading for it, and I am therefore constrained to abide by my former reading as by far the most probable, to my own mind at least. The next difficulty to note, if difficulty it be, is the initial letter of the second word, which I have read as Agisa, and interpreted, with Mr. Marshall's help, as the Greek name Agisalaos. In older Kharoshthi this akshara would certainly be read as ι; but, despite the conspicuous flourish to the left at the bottom of the letter, there is no doubt, so far as I can see, that the vowel actually intended is a simple ι; for elsewhere throughout these inscriptions even elaborate flourishes like this are manifestly decorative and wholly without phonetic significance, while on the other hand a real ι is clearly marked by a closed loop. The difficulty here, therefore, may fairly be called more apparent than real, and the reading Agisala may stand with confidence. The next point is the initial letter in the following word. It is perhaps barely possible that the ι here is not dental but cerebral. But since cerebralization would be incorrect, and the apparent length of the hook to the right may be due to the ornamental zigzag which here comes in from above to obscure the writing, I prefer to assume that the dental is intended, as it should be. The following akshara, sa, is clear enough, and I do not think the succeeding ka will be challenged, but the next complex is not so clear. It seems to me, though, that there can be no reasonable doubt but what we have here a repetition of the curious akshara in the third epigraph which I have read as rma, with the difference that here the vowel stroke crosses the main line, i.e., is here the vowel i instead of the vowel o as above. In this way I arrived originally at my reading mnakarmī for the whole word, and I see no reason to alter this reading now, despite the very real difficulty in assuming the form mnakarmi instead of the much more usual and indeed common form mnakarmika. The difficulty is of course increased by the fact that I do see, or think I see, a ka as the initial of the following word, the important proper name Kanishkasa. But this ka, it must be confessed at once, is

---

very doubtful. In support of my contention that this is the correct reading, despite the obscurity of the akṣhara, I would urge the following. First of all there is no doubt, there can be no doubt, but that this is Kanishka's casket, because it was found, associated with a coin of Kanishka, below the foundations of what, for reasons published elsewhere, is most certainly Kanishka’s stūpa. That some royal personage is depicted in the figure above the word of which this akṣhara is the initial is proved by the figures of the sun and moon deities which Dr. Vogel has detected behind the shoulders of that figure, as well as by an indication of the halo. That, again, this monarch was of Kūṣāṇ race is manifest from the whole style of the image, which agrees in all respects with the royal figures on the Kūṣāṇ coins. That the word under discussion is the name of this monarch is shown, inferentially, by the way in which it is spaced to right and left of the feet, and unmistakably, by the third syllable, which is quite obviously shka. So far, therefore, it is clear that the word in question must be the name of a Kūṣāṇ king, and one of the two (or possibly three) which contain the peculiar akṣhara shka. But no one can possibly deny that the consonant of the second syllable is n, while it is equally clear that the fourth akṣhara is sa. The vowel of the second syllable, unfortunately, is not so certain. It would perhaps be somewhat easier, as the inscription now stands, to read the vowel a than the vowel i; but at the same time due consideration should be given to the fact that there is at least one dot which seems superfluous for a simple na and which can most easily be explained as the first of the series which originally formed the i-stroke, the others having now been lost. In any case, we have as a certainty either—nasakasa or—nishkasa as the genitive of what is, beyond all question, the proper name of the Kūṣāṇ monarch who deposited the only casket contained in the depths of what is certainly Kanishka's chaitya. In these circumstances, therefore, I have not the slightest hesitation whatsoever in reading the word before us as Kanishkasa, nor do I believe that the reasonableness of this reading can be challenged, despite the obscurity of the initial akṣhara. To my own eye I must confess this symbol looks more like a simple a than it does like a ka, and if this is really the case it may perchance be actually the final syllable of the preceding word, which would give us navakarmia for navakarmika, with Prākrit eision of the k between vowels. But to assume this in so strongly Sanskrit an inscription as all those on this casket are, and then further to assume that the writer actually forgot the initial syllable of his royal patron's name, does not appear to me any simplification of the problem. Or again, reading it as a, it may perhaps be that it is still the initial of the king’s name with Prākrit eision of the k. But I can find no authority for the omission of such an initial, and thus I prefer to abide by my original assumption, viz., that the akṣhara was really meant for ka, but that it was badly written in the first place, and has become further obscured by being jumbled up with the preceding ungainly conjunct in, the crowding being due to the writer’s desire to space the royal name equally on both sides of the royal figure.

1 Sir Aurel Stein, it is gratifying to record, declares that he can see two such dots in the original. As regards the initial syllable of the name, however, he says that, while he fully shares my belief that Kanishka’s name is intended still the dots to the left, visible at the foot of the akṣhara, tend to support the reading a, the possibility of which I have noticed below.
At all events, there is not the faintest shadow of a doubt in my own mind but that the characters before us represent, in either one way or another, the name of the great Kushāṇ King Kanishka, whose figure is clearly depicted above, and in whose mighty monument the casket was actually found.

D. B. Spooner.
THE INSCRIPTION ON "BUDDHA’S BOWL" AT QANDAHĀR.

GENERAL Cunningham in giving an outline of the history of the "Alms-bowl of Buddha" relates how the sacred relic was finally taken to Qandahār "where it now stands in an obscure little Muḥammadan shrine." The present writer does not propose to discuss the genuineness and value of the materials on which Cunningham bases his account of the history of the "Alms-bowl;" it was the fact that it "is carved with six lines of Arabic inscriptions" which attracted his attention, the more so as Cunningham adds, "I remember reading the name of Subuktigin and I think also that of Mahmuad." The copy of the inscription which Sir Frederick Pollock had sent to Cunningham was forwarded by the latter to Blochmann for decipherment, but unfortunately it was lost and has never been heard of since. Cunningham, however, gives a reproduction of the bowl from a photograph, on which also the six lines of the inscription can be seen. This reproduction is quite sufficient to convey an idea of the form of script used, but, leaving aside the fact that only a part of every line is visible on the plate, the number of words that can be read from it are confined almost entirely to a few that stand right in the middle of the lines. Under these circumstances it is very fortunate that new materials have become available in the shape of two separate sets of rubbings taken at different times, which enable us to establish the general contents and purport of the inscription with perfect certainty, although it is true they do not provide us with the complete text.

The relic, which Dr. Bellew (as quoted by Cunningham) describes as a "huge bowl, carved out of a solid block of dark green serpentine," measures 3 feet 7 inches in diameter and has an upper circumference of 11 feet 7 inches, its total height being 2½ feet. It now stands on flat ground in the small unroofed enclosure of the shrine of Sultān Wāriz, just near the grave of that saint. The shrine is situated quite close to the old city (Shahr-i-Kulna) of Qandahār at the foot of the Kaitum hill and beyond Maḥallajāt, at a distance of about two miles to the south-west of the modern town of Qandahār.

1 A. S. R., Vol. XVI, p. 103, plate III.
THE INSCRIPTION ON "BUDDHA'S BOWL," AT QANDAHĀR.

The bowl bears inscriptions outside, inside and on the upper circular surface, but only those visible from the outside, which run round the upper straight part of the bowl, have been reproduced on Cunningham's plate and, as already stated, that only partly.

The rubbings had to be taken under trying circumstances and in a great hurry, as it was undesirable to arouse the curiosity of the devotees of the shrine. If therefore, they did not come out satisfactorily, this is in no way the fault of those to whose zeal and caution our thanks are due for procuring them. Whilst not giving up the hope that an opportunity may offer itself, which would allow of rubbings being taken at greater leisure, the present writer ventures to think that the results arrived at from an examination of the rubbings now at his disposal may claim some interest.

Of the text inscribed on the outside a large number of words and also groups of words have come out clearly on both the rubbings or at least on one of them. But as there are many more words in between that are quite illegible and in view of the intricate character of the script which renders the grouping together of letters doubtful as long as the full text is not available, it is impossible to give a transcript or translation of this part of the inscription and I can only offer the following observations.

In the first line the name of Kāhwāja Ayyūb Ansārī can be clearly read (حضرتک خواجه ایوب انصاری). He is possibly the saint whose tomb “situated in the district of Qandahār in the province of Sistān,” (1) قدر راز دادند فن پادشاه مز سیستان is referred to in the same line. For the maintenance of this tomb “the endower mentioned” (رآفت مزکور), who occurs frequently but whose identity cannot be established with certainty, made his endowment (رآفت). Probably the produce of some land was set aside for the maintenance of the tomb and it may be that the words “and it was bought in a legally valid way from the children of the deceased” (و بیع شریعت خویش بود از نرخ واد آنات مرحو) refer to this; the purchase was effected for “a sum of 18,211 (مبلغ دویجه عرصه دویج دژده…” which is shortly afterwards we read the words “His Majesty the Late King” (امیر جال الدین فیروز). In the second line there is some mention of one Amir Jalāl-ud-din Fīrōz (امیر جلال الدین نفر) and shortly afterwards we read the words “His Majesty the Late King.” The King seems to have bought certain things “in a legally valid way” and we next hear of “shops” (بکان) in the bazaar of Qandahār; of these fifty-one were situated on the eastern side of the said bazaar (دیج رابط جانب شرقی باین مزکور) and twenty-seven on the western side of the said bazaar (سی و هفت رفت در جانب غربی باین مزکور) besides “twenty-four” and “a bath situated in” (حمامات رف تا) are mentioned. In the third line various conditions attaching to the waqf are set forth in detail; the words “another condition of the said endower” (شرط دیگر حاکم رف تا مزکور) occur various times, but none of these conditions can be made out. The waqf was not confined to the upkeep of the tomb; there was also a Jāmi‘ Masjid as well as a Madrasa attached to it and in connection with the former the masons (حمید فرش) are mentioned as well as the Ḥāfiz and Imām. The stipends (وزیفه) to

be drawn by the students of the Madrasa have been fixed, consisting of a
certain amount of wheat (حَمْد) and barley (شَعْر) worth so many dinars; and similarly the stipends of the Professor (مدرس) the Hāfīz and the Imām. It seems that the latter drew wheat worth 720 dinars, whilst the amount drawn by the cook (طَلْبَن) was 240, and that of the "servant" (خادم) 300 dinars. Later on we read of four mu'ans of salt, two mu'ans of onions, two mu'ans of peas, two mu'ans of turnip (جَهَرَمِي) but who was to receive this we do not know.

The names of Sabuktigin or of Mahmūd I have not been able to discover, although in a reading so incomplete as the one given above this in itself does not mean much. If we could be certain that Amir Jalāl-ud-din Firūz was identical with the "late Sulṭān" mentioned shortly after his name, we might be able to fix at least a terminus post quem for the dating of our inscription. But as long as the intervening words cannot be read, the matter must remain doubtful. One would, of course, feel inclined to identify the name with that of Jalāl-ud-din Firūz of the Khalji dynasty of Delhi (689—695 H.), but it must not be forgotten that Afghanistan was at that time ruled by the Kert dynasty, the centre of whose rule was Sistān. So we have nothing to help us in dating our document; the form of the script cannot be considered a safe guide: it may point to the eighth, ninth or even tenth century of the Hijra. But there can be no doubt as to the class to which our inscription belongs. It is one of the many inscriptions already known that record the establishment of a waqf. No country seems to be richer in monuments of this kind than Syria, although instances are not infrequently met with in other Muhammadan countries also. Quite a number of inscriptions of this class have been published recently by Dr. Soberheim in his Volume on 'Akkār, Ḥīṣn at Akrād, Tripoli,' whilst Dr. van Berchem has lately made known one from Damascus dated 529-H. In India we find a very curious inscription relating to a waqf in Veraval, written in Sanskrit. In the case of this latter, as well as in that of the Qandahār inscription, we may safely assume that the document recording the waqf was written out in Arabic first and that an extract was then inscribed on stone in Sanskrit and Persian respectively, in order to keep the endowment before the public eye. I ascribe it to this that in our Qandahār inscription we find such a number of Arabic words not commonly used in Persian; these words were copied from the Arabic original. Are there any traces of the original document left at the shrine at Qandahār?

The lines inscribed inside the bowl are of quite a different nature. They read as follows:

حضرت شریف زار خضر ماب
کتاب العبد جای شریف اب
کتیبه جلال الحضر

5 Corpus Inscriptionum Arabicarum, Part II, fasc. 1.
6 Flügel's Hegi's der Vögel, p. 30.
"His Majesty the King, the abode of Khizr (who discovered the water of life) filled this fountain with sherbet: The chronogram of this the scribe, the slave, expressed elegantly thus: 'The place of the sherbet of water.' Written by Jalal-ud-din."

Jalal-ud-din was, therefore, the composer of this tarikh which—if correctly read—gives as date 919-H. The meaning is that the King, whose name is not mentioned, filled in that year the bowl with sweet sherbet probably for the benefit of those who had come to visit the shrine on the occasion of the ‘urs of the saint or some similar festival. In 919 Qandahār was still in possession of Shāh Bég Arghun, from whom Bābar conquered it finally in 928. He, therefore, would be the king referred to, unless—which is not very probable—the term Shahriyar be used here as the title of a saint (just as Shāh, etc., are often employed in that sense).

Does the date 919 refer to the inscription inside only or also to the waqf inscription? The script seems to me to be identical in both cases, and I think it at least highly probable that both were written by the same hand. But if I am right in assuming this, it does not necessarily follow that the waqf was made only in 919; it may have been in existence for some time before an extract from the document was inscribed on the bowl. A complete rubbing would probably enable us to answer this question as well as most others relating to this inscription that must at present remain unanswered.

J. Horovitz.
THREE SCULPTURES IN THE LUCKNOW MUSEUM.

While cataloguing the archeological collections preserved in the Provincial Museum, Lucknow, I met with three curious sculptures, one of them Buddhistic and the other two Brahmanical. I am indebted to the Director-General of Archaeology for the photographs which illustrate this article.

The first sculpture was discovered in 1877 in the Jaisinghpura Mahallah (quarter) of the city of Mathura ( vulgar Muttra) by the late Mr. F. S. Growse, then Magistrate at that place, and rescued by him from some washermen who used it as a washing-stone. It appears at first sight to be an image of Buddha seated in the posture of turning the wheel of the Law (dharma-chakra-mudra). The style is that of the early Gupta period. The upper robe covers both shoulders and its folds are represented by conventional lines without the pronounced relief, which is so characteristic of the Gandhāra School. The figure is headless and the arms are broken, so that the posture of the hands can only be made out by the traces remaining on the breast. The figure is seated cross-legged and a portion of the garment falls in front over the pedestal. The most interesting feature of the sculpture is the inscription on the pedestal, which consists of two lines. The lettering has partly disappeared, but fortunately the first portion of both lines is still sufficiently well preserved to be read with certainty. Mr. Growse states that only a few words here and there can be made out.¹

In the winter of 1907 I had the opportunity of examining the original, and I succeeded in reading the whole of the inscription with the exception of one or two letters in the first line, which remain doubtful.

¹Ind. Amt., Vol. VI, p. 218, No. 7, and plate; and Mathura, a District Memoir, 2nd Edition, p. 126 and plate facing p. 114. Subsequently Professor H. Lüders of Berlin has discussed this inscription in his Epigraphical Notes. He reads it as:
1. Dya-ṣṭhāraḥ... Su... kusumakāraḥ Buddha... evaṃ tīrṇa yā
data cell 1
2. sa (?)... [wa]vareṇa-ākāraḥ Buddha-kṛṣṇa.
The image is carved out of buff-coloured Mathura sand-stone and measures 1’ 8” in width by 1’ 9” in height. It is very important for the history of Buddhism in India and is, in fact, the only one of its kind discovered up to date. It appears from the inscription on the pedestal that it represents Dipaṅkara Buddha, one of the mythical Buddhas. The only representations of this Buddha, hitherto known, are found on the bas-reliefs from Gandhāra illustrating the Dipaṅkara-jātaka. This jātaka needs no repetition, but it is interesting to note that the representation of Dipaṅkara Buddha in the bas-reliefs does not differ in any detail from that of Gauḍaṇa Buddha in any sculpture of the same school. The Indian Museum possesses ten replicas representing the Dipaṅkara-jātaka, but in all of these the figure of Dipaṅkara is exactly similar to that of Gauḍaṇa. I propose to read the inscription as follows:—


"This image of Dipaṅkara Buddha [is] the votive offering of Dhavaṇārjuna, the daughter of Buddha, and the wife of Saṅghatrāka (Saṅghatrāka?). Let it be for [the attainment of] Buddhahood by all sentient beings."

The syllable following the word Saṅgha in the first line is certainly tra, but I am not sure of the letter after this. It may be kuṭa, but in that case the line should have been horizontal and not slanting to the proper left. The correction Saṅghatrāka is provisional. In the second line the first word is clearly legible even in the lithograph published by Mr. Growse. The word Divonkara is evidently a Prākrit form of Dipaṅkara.

The second sculpture (height 4’ 4”) came from Bhitā in the Allahabad District. Unfortunately the absence of registers in the Lucknow Museum makes it impossible to be definite about the find spot of any archaeological specimen in it, and I had to rely on the labels provided by Dr. Führer twelve years ago which now are very often attached to wrong sculptures. Fortunately Dr. Führer had made a note about this sculpture in the Minutes of the Committee of Management. To him it appeared to be the capital of a column. Evidently he did not pay much attention to the inscription, because, had he done so, he would have come to a different conclusion.

It appears from a careful study of the inscription that the sculpture represents one of the earliest forms of the kūra discovered up to date. The top of it is shaped as the bust of a male holding a vase in his left hand, while the right is raised in the posture known as that of giving protection (abhaya-mudrā). Below this bust, where the waist of the figure should have been, are four human heads, one at each corner. From the mode of dressing the hair and the large rings worn in the lobes of the ears,
it appears that these are busts of females. They are more or less defaced, but still retain sufficient detail to admit of identification. The upper part of the head of the male is broken, only the portion below the nose being extant. The male figure wears a cloak which is thrown over the left shoulder, the folds being shown by a double line running over the breast. The vase held in the left hand resembles, to some extent, the ointment vessel found in the figures of Boddhissattvas of the Gandhāra School. The left ear of the male figure bears two circular pendants, which may be earrings. In front, immediately below the heads of the females, the phallus is marked by deeply drawn lines. To the proper left of this, is the inscription to which I have already referred. The lower part of the stone has been shaped as a tenon to be fitted in a mortice.

The inscription is in a good state of preservation, and with the exception of the last three letters, can be deciphered very easily. On the ground of paleographical evidence it may be safely assigned to the first century B.C. The letters pa, ha, and la have become rectangular. The dental sa retains only one hook instead of two, the other having been transformed to an upper vertical limb. The base line of na has become curved and in ye the middle vertical line has become equal in length to the other two. This by itself is sufficient indication of the date of the inscription and consequently of that of the sculpture.

**Text.**

(2) Vāśēthi-pulēna Nāgasirona piyat[a] [i] d[a]nāta.

**Notes.**

(1) There is a vertical line between kha and ja in the first line, which appears to be accidental.

(2) The i in the word linga in the same line is indicated by a slight curve in the proper left vertical line.

**Translation.**

"The linga, the son of Khajahuti, was dedicated by Nāgasiri (Nāgāśri), the son of Vāśēthi (Vāśēthi). May the deity be pleased."

The third sculpture is not inscribed. It represents the proper right half of a frieze or probably a door lintel. This is apparent from the well-known Gaja-Lakshmi device which occupied the trefoiled niche in its centre. The extant portion of the scene consists of the right arm and knee of the goddess, one of the two elephants that poured water over her and an attendant female flywhisk-bearer. To the proper right of the attendant is a seated male figure reclining on a large vase. This may possibly be Kubera, the god of wealth. The goddess Śrī is sometimes represented in sculptures with a vase on either side.² The rest of the sculpture shows

---

¹ When I showed this inscription to the late Dr. Bloch, he told me that the word was līgō, of uncertain meaning, and not līgō, and that, even if it were read as līgō, it could not be taken in the sense of the phallic symbol of Śiva, because in inscriptions such an image is termed Mahāśiva. But the word līgō is commonly used in inscriptions, and is also the name of a Parāśurā. The very same līgō-parāśurā proves that no such distinction was in existence.

² Cf. the large Gupta lintel discovered by Mr. Marshall at Sarnath (A. S. R., 1897-98, Pl. XX).
a mass of foliage next the central niche, and a group of figures. Among these we notice two men, nude but for a loin cloth, engaged in wrestling. On the extreme right, one male and three females are watching the contest from a kind of porch. In the centre, i.e., in the space between the wrestlers and the lookers-on, a man and a divine being are standing turned towards the wrestlers. The divine nature of the figure next to the latter is made evident by the number of his arms, which are two in excess of the natural number. In one of his left hands he holds a mace (gada) and in the other a conch (shankha). In his upper right hand he holds the wheel, while the lower rests on his hip. The face of this figure has been mutilated, but the emblems which he holds in his hands clearly indicate that he is Vishnu or Krishna.

The figure standing on his right holds a bow in his left hand and an arrow in his right. These two figures and the group of wrestlers indicate that the bas-relief represents the fight between Bhima and Jarāsandha, the king of Magadha. In the Sabhā-parvan of the Mahābhārata it is clearly stated that Krishna, Arjuna and Bhima were present in the battle-field. In the beginning of the Jarāsandha-vadha-parvan it is stated that Krishna urged the necessity of the presence of Bhima and Arjuna.

After some discussion Krishna gained his point and started for Girivraj with Bhima and Arjuna:

Devo uktas tataḥ sarvāḥ bhūtārūḥ virāṇanvajah
Vārakṣeṇayah Pāṇḍavān vah prastathu Magadham prati

Ibid. 20, 21.

To witness the battle, thousands of Brāhmaṇas, Kshatriyas, Vaśiyas and Śūdras assembled on the battlefield:

Ṭayor yuddhaṁ tato dvakṣen sametāḥ purocasinah
Brāhmaṇā rāpjñā chaiva kshatriyās cha sahasrānāḥ

Ibid. 24, 29.

The presence of Krishna is indicated by the following lines, where he speaks to Bhima:

Tais vājānaiḥ tathā klāntasā dyākṣānā rājaṁ Janārdanaṁ
Urācha bhūmaṁ karmānām Bhimaṁ saṁbuddhayanniva

Ibid. 24, 25.

King Jarāsandha was unfairly attacked by Bhima and killed in this wrestling contest.

The sculpture measures 3’-3” by 9½”. It may be assigned to the early Gupta period on the ground of its style. It came from Garhū, a mound near Bhānī in the Allahabad District.

R. D. Banerji.

1 We quote the new South-Indian edition published at Kumbakonam 1906-10 by Krishnacharya and Vyasaśāstra.
THE HOYSALAS IN THE CHOLA COUNTRY.

An indigenous family of kings that ruled over the modern Mysore State, or rather over the whole Karnaṭa kingdom of old, between the 11th and the 14th centuries of the Christian era, were the Hoysalas. In the volumes of the Epigraphia Carnatica Mr. Rice has given us an exhaustive account of them so far as could be made out from the Mysore records. It is therefore unnecessary to tread once again over the ground so thoroughly explored by that scholar regarding the origin and progress of the Hoysalas in the earlier period of their history. It may, however, be noted that the great conqueror of the family was Vishnuvardhana who established the reputation of the Hoysalas as a powerful ruling race and that his grandson was Vira-Ballāja II, who by his great prowess and conquests actually acquired the titles of an independent sovereign and made the dynasty known to subsequent ages by the name Ballāja. Vira-Nārāsimha II (A.D. 1220 to 1234) the next Hoysala king appears to have extended his dominion farther south, even into the interior of the Chola country. An attempt has been made in the sequel to put together the information about Nārāsimha II and the Hoysala kings who succeeded him so far as could be collected from their records copied in the Tamil districts of the Chola country by the Madras Epigraphical department since 1886. Conformatory evidence from Kannada records of the Mysore State will also be quoted, as occasion requires it.

The development of events in the Chola kingdom which favoured the advance of the Hoysalas at the beginning of the 13th century A.D., may briefly be examined.

1 An article by me entitled “the Hoysalas in and beyond Mysore” appeared in Volume II, No. 4 (July, 1911) of the Quarterly Journal of the Mythical Society, Bangalore. The present contribution is mainly based on it; but deals only with the Hoysalas and their career in the South. Some slight additions and alterations have also been made.

2 During the reigns of Vishnuvardhana I and Ballāja II the Hoysala kingdom extended even beyond what Karnaṭa proper would include. In Nārāsimha’s time however, and subsequently, it appears again to have been limited to the South Canara, Salem, Coimbatore, Bellary and the Annamalai districts of the Madras Presidency, portions of the Bellary and Dharwar districts of the Bombay Presidency and the Mysore State, excluding of course the small possessions held in the Chola country. The name Karnaṭa is applied in early inscriptions to the Western Chalukyas of Ballāja, and Mr. Rice considers the Kaṭchuma mentioned in the Velākiṇā grant of Neeladari (Madras Epigraphical Report for 1908, paragraphs 25 and 26) to be identical with Karnaṭa (Mysore and Coorg, p. 65n).

3 Some Tamil records (Madras Epigraphical Report for 1911, paragraph 45) count his reign from A.D. 1217-18 which was perhaps the date when Nārāsimha was chosen heir-apparent by his father Ballāja II in the last days of his reign.
first. Kulōttuṅga-Chola III (A.D. 1178 to at least 1218) was practically the last great powerful king of the Cholas who, it is stated, “despatched matchless elephants, performed heroic deeds, prostrated to the ground the kings of the North, entered Kāśchī when (his) anger abated and levied tribute from the whole (northern) region.” He was succeeded by Rājarājadēva III (A.D. 1216 to at least A.D. 1248) during whose unsuccessful reign the Pândyvas became aggressive in the South; and in the North, the Kālakṣetras and feudatory chiefs like Köpperūṅjīga and others, actually assumed independence by subverting the Chola suzerainty in at least a portion of their extensive territory. Internal administration too does not appear to have been quite satisfactory and dissensions in the royal family had also, perhaps, a hand in the eventual decline of the Cholas. This being so, it does not become a matter for surprise to hear from records of the Hōyala Vira-Nārasiṁha II that the cause for his interference in the Chola affairs was ostensibly to quell the rebellious Kāčava (i.e., the feudatory chief Köpperūṅjīga), to re-instate the Chola on his throne and to drive the Pândyas out of the Chola country. It is an established fact that by this time the Hōyala in their own land had become quite secure and had advanced so far in power as even to take up the cause of other kings who required their help. Vira-Ballāja II, who was crowned to rule the Hōyala kingdom in A.D. 1178, had assumed the imperial titles of Mahārājadēvāṅga for the first time in Hōyala history, in A.D. 1192, and had successively defeated in battle the Western Chālukyas, the Cholas, the Pândyas and other contemporaneous kings who stood in his way. He bore particularly the distinguishing epithets ‘emperor of the South’ and ‘the destroyer of Chola forces.’ One of the near relations of Ballāja even claims to have been the ruler of “Dakshīga mahat-mahāḍula” (the Southern country). Perhaps it was Ballāja II that made anything like an attempt, for the first time, to encroach upon the Chola territory. In some of his records we are told that ‘on the East he had shaken Kāśchī, on the West he had made the ocean roar while the great Chēra rose up and fled and the whole of Pândya kings took refuge in forests entering even those with fear.’ In spite of this boast we may not be far wrong if we suppose that Kulōttuṅga III, whose contemporary Ballāja II was, was more than a match for him and that the designs of Ballāja II would have, if at all, but touched the fringe of the Chola dominions. It must be stated that apart from the desire for conquest and power which was not quite an uncommon weakness among the Indian kings of old, the Hōyalas who considered themselves the political successors by right to the Karpāta kingdom of the Western Gangas of Tāḷakāḍ, were sadly disappointed in their hopes, by the Chola usurpation of Mysore which lasted from the time of Rājarāja I to that of Kulōttuṅga I and perhaps even down to that of Kulōttuṅga III. Consequently, the Hōyalas, it may be presumed, were only waiting for an opportunity not only to replace the rule of the Cholas in Mysore by their own but if possible also to invade the Chola country under one pretext or another. This opportunity offered itself and Vira-Nārasiṁha II was not slow to utilise it to his best advantage.

1 S. L. L., Vol. III, p. 218; also Madras Epigraphical Report for 1908, p. 64.
2 Rājarāja-Chola III, the successor of Rājarāja, is described in some of his records to be “the cunning hero who killed Rājarāja (i.e. apparently his predecessor on the Chola throne) after making him wear the double crown for three years” (Madras Epigraphical Report for 1912, p. 22).
3 A record from the Kolar district registers that Ballāja II was ruling the earth in the 12th year of Kulōttuṅga-Chola III.
In the 2nd year of his reign (i.e., A.D. 1222) we are told that Vira-Narasimha was marching against the Raṅga in the South, viz. Śrīraṅgam in the Trichinopoly district, and in A.D. 1224 he is stated to have held the titles "the uprooter of the Magara kingdom" and "the establisher of the Chōla kingdom" both of which will be shown, in the sequel to be based on established historical facts. The latter of the two birudas clearly indicates Nārasimha’s march to the South in order, it may be, to establish the Chōla king. The reference, however, to the conquest of Magara in the early years of his reign suggests very probably the continuance of a definite plan of conquest which was begun already in the last days of his father Ballāja II. It cannot, therefore, be that Nārasimha’s march was confined entirely to establishing the Chōla. He must have been intent upon securing for himself a status and a petty dominion in the Chōla kingdom as a compensation, perhaps, for the help which he successfully rendered to the Chōla against the aggressive Pāndya, prior to the incidents mentioned in the Tiruvendipuram record.

An inscription at Badanālu in the Mysore district, dated in A.D. 1228-29, speaks of Nārasimha’s son Somēvarā occupying Kanpanūr in the Chōla country and bearing the imperial titles Mahārājaḍhirāja, etc. An earlier record at Tirugokkaram near Pudukkōṭṭai, dated in the 10th year of Tribhuvanachakravartin Rājarājadēva (III), (i.e., in A.D. 1225-26) registers a gift of land by Somaladēvi, the wife of Somēvaradēva, who was the son of the Pōṣala (Hoysala) king Vira-Nārasimhadēva of Dōrasamudra. Nārasimha, therefore, appears to have been a friend of the Chōla king almost from the very commencement of his reign and to have effectually helped him against Pāndya incursions in the earlier years of his career. Meanwhile troubles, perhaps, were rife in the north of the Chōla kingdom and rebellious chiefs had risen up to oppose the Chōla power. The Tiruvendipuram inscription, dated in A.D. 1231-32, is a record of highly historical interest in this connection and has been published by Professor Hultzsch in the pages of the Epigraphia Indica, Vol. VII.

It states that Vira-Nārasimha despatched two of his military officers Appara and Samudra-Gopapya with the object of compelling the Pallava chief Köpperuṭṭiṅga to release the Chōla (Rājarājadēva) whom he had imprisoned at Śendamaṅgaḷam (South Arcot district) and to invade the dominions of the enemy. Leaving his capital Dōrasamudra, Nārasimha II is stated to have marched first against the Mahara kingdom and halted at Pāchchūr whence he issued the above said orders to his military officers. These latter passed through the enemy's country from Pāchchūr and reaching Śendamangalam, released the Chōla emperor and reinstated him. The route followed by Nārasimha's officers is given in detail in the Tiruvendipuram record. From this, Professor Hultzsch has concluded that they must have crossed the present South Arcot district from south to north through the Gajallāti pass and that the Mahara (Makara or Magara) kingdom has accordingly to be located in the Coimbatore or Salem district. Referring to the conquest of Mahara, a Kanarese record from the Channagiri taluka of the Shimoga

1 One of the queens of Ballāja II was named Chōjamahēdevī. If this was, as her name indicates, a Chōla princess, the fact that the Hoysala and Chōla had become united by intermarriage either in the time of Kulottuṅga-Chōla III or a little before explains the interest that Nārasimha evinced in the Chōla.
district says that Nārasimha marched 100 gāvuda (i.e., roughly 1,000 miles) from his capital Doraśamudra and captured the elephants of Maṇaṇa after conquering the haughty kings of the east. Another record from the Chaṇḍarāyaṇapūta tāluka refers to Nārasimha's march on Maṇaṇa and to his encampment at Chudāvāṇḍyā-koppa which Mr. Rice correctly identifies with the modern Međiyāνūr in the Mulāḍgal tāluka of the Kolar district. There is, therefore, little doubt that the kingdom of Maṇaṇa which Nārasimha conquered after leaving his capital by 100 gāvudas, was reached only on marching eastward from Doraśamudra and not southward as Professor Hultsch has taken it by identifying Pāchehrūr with a village of that name in the Trichinopoly district and hence supposing Nārasimha to have entered the Coimatore district by the Gajalāṇṭi pass.1 The campaign against the Kāḍava Köpperuṇīṇa mentioned in the Tiruvēndipuram record does not appear to have ended with the freedom restored to the Chōḷa king Rājaṇāja III and the defeat inflicted on the rebellious Kāḍava. Nārasimha appears to have carried his victorious march further south and directed it, now, against the Pāṇḍya who was apparently still troublesome.

It is stated that Nārasimha was encamped at Rāvītadāṇakūppa in A.D. 1233, about the same time as the Tiruvēndipuram record, 'with the object of leading a successful campaign on the Pāṇḍya king.' On the island of Śrīrāmāg in that year he must have stopped with his Mahāpradhāna Bhinjābalabhimma Kāḍava-Dāṇḍanāyaka. On this occasion a certain Dévāladevīyār provided for four rice offerings every day in the temple of Raṅg anātha with sandal, cīvet, ghee, musk, camphor and such other articles as were required for the bodily decoration of the god. The Kōilōṇgu, a Tamil work which pretends to give a history of the improvements made to the temple at Śrīrāmāg from almost prehistoric times, speaks of a certain Vira-Narasiṅgarāja, king of the Kāṇṭa country who built a maṇḍapa in that temple. Perhaps the reference is to the Hoysala king Vira-Nārasimha II, and the occasion for his building the maṇḍapa was very likely his halt at Śrīrāmāg on the march against the Pāṇḍya. Eulogistic records of Nārasimha speak even of a third campaign against the Pāṇḍya, in which Nārasimha set up a pillar of victory at Sēṭa (i.e., Rāmeśvaram). In this third campaign, as in the others, Nārasimha appears to have been associated with his son Sōmeśvara; for, in A.D. 1237 (i.e., three years after his actual coronation) the latter is stated to have granted eleven villages to the two able generals Bōgaya and Maḷlayya who, in their turn, conferred them on Brāhmaṇas in the presence of Śrī-Rāmaṇāṭha at Sēṭa. It is not impossible that Bōgaya and Maḷlayya were the generals that actually took part in the conquest of the Pāṇḍya and in planting a pillar of victory at Sēṭa. The Pāṇḍya king who at this time was powerful in the Chōḷa country was Maṇavaranma Sundara-Pāṇḍya I (A.D. 1216 to at least 1235). In his records which are almost confined to the Madura and Tinnevelly Districts and to the Pudukkōṭṭai State, Sundara-Pāṇḍya I boasts of "having burnt Tanjore and Uraiyūr and presented the Chōḷa country" (perhaps, among chiefs who were subordinate to him). The hostile attitude maintained by Vira-Nārasimha II, against the Pāṇḍya does not

---

1 It is not, however, precluded that the generals of Nārasimha may have changed their direction southward after marching 100 gāvudas from Doraśamudra eastward, in order to subdue the generals of Köpperuṇīṇa and destroy his country.
appear to have been continued long, either by himself or by his son Sōmeśvara; for Māravarman Sundara-Pāṇḍya II, who succeeded Māravarman Sundara-Pāṇḍya I in A.D. 1230, refers to Sōmeśvara, in inscriptions, as his māmaḍiga ‘father-in-law’ or ‘uncle’ and makes grants for his merit. Political changes in the south must have seriously altered the relations between the Hoysalas and the Pāṇḍyas subsequent to the death of Vira-Narasimha, which may have happened about A.D. 1234-35.

Viraśomēśvara or Sōvidēvara was at Kannānūr in the south as early as A.D. 1228 (i.e., about 6 years prior to his actual coronation) bearing the imperial titles Mahārājādhirāja, etc. It is not ascertained when he was elected crown-prince by his father Nārasimha II. But it may be inferred from the Badanāḷu record which supplies the above information, that Sōmeśvara must have been installed Yucarāja by his father while he was yet young and placed almost simultaneously in independent charge of the Hoysala possessions in the Chōla country. It was perhaps thus that Sōmeśvara came to be associated with his father in the campaigns against the Magara, the Kāḍava and the Pāṇḍya and won in the earlier years of his reign the epithets ‘who rolled down the king of Magara,’ ‘crushed the forces of the Kāḍava,’ ‘uprooted Pāṇḍya and re-established the Chōla sovereignty (chakrīn).’ Records attributable to the regular reign of Sōmeśvara count only from Śaka 1155 (=A.D. 1233-34) and extend up to his 29th year which roughly corresponds to A.D. 1262-63. In the second or third year after his accession, Sōmeśvara is stated to have camped at Maṅgalada-Koppa on a victorious campaign against the Kāḍava king. Evidently the latter seems to have re-asserted his hostile attitude towards the Chōla, subsequent to the defeat inflicted on him in A.D. 1231-32 by Vira-Narasimha’s generals Appāna and Saṇuddra-Goppaya. In a record from Tirunaiyam (in the Pudukkōttai State), of about A.D. 1246, Appānna-Daṇḍanāyaka is stated to have conquered Kāṇa-nāṭu, a sub-division of Virudhākṣara-Kāṇa-vālaṇāḍu. The inscription being dated in the 7th year of the reign of Māravarman Sundara-Pāṇḍya II, and remembering that Sōmeśvara was the uncle of Sundara-Pāṇḍya II we have perhaps to infer that Appānna-Daṇḍanāyaka’s conquest of that district was now on behalf of the Pāṇḍya king as against the Chōla or some other unnamed enemy. In A.D. 1240-41 another chief named Śiṅgaṇna-Daṇḍanāyaka, perhaps also a Hoysala general, is stated to have invaded the Tamil country. Evidently, the Chōla king Rājarāja III in the latter part of his reign became an enemy of Sōmeśvara and it was perhaps in consequence of this that Rājendrā-Chōla III who succeeded Rājarāja declares himself the hostile rod of death to māma-Sōmeśvara. In one of his records Sōmeśvara is also credited with having ‘uprooted Rājendrā-Chōla,’ and with having reinstated him after the latter begged for protection. Sōmeśvara as stated in his later records was ‘the elevator of the Pāṇḍya race’ whereas, his father Nārasimha was known as ‘the displacer of the Pāṇḍyas.’ Consequently, Sōmeśvara as recorded already must have changed his attitude towards the Chōlas and become more closely allied also as an uncle to Māravarman Sundara-Pāṇḍya II.

The Chōla king Rājendrā-Chōla III who was an enemy of Sōmeśvara also refers to him as māma-Sōmeśvara. It is not possible to say how this Karava king stood in the relation of an uncle to both Rājendrā-Chōla III and Māravarman Sundara-Pāṇḍya II. The fact appears to be that he was really an uncle of the latter, but was known to the former and perhaps also to his other enemies as māma-Sōmeśvara having held no more important position in the Pāṇḍya kingdom than that of being the king’s uncle (māma). As suggested in a footnote above, the Chōlas, by their inter-marriage with the Hoysalas, may have also stood on terms of close relation.
Vikramapura, the southern capital of Sōmeśvara, has been identified with the modern Kannanār near Trichinopoly. The Bangalore Museum plates of Sōmeśvara dated in Śaka 1175 (=A.D. 1253) state that, in order to please himself, the town Vikramapura had been founded by him in the Chōla country which he had acquired by conquest. The Badanāḷu record, quoted above, informs us that in A.D. 1228, already during the lifetime of his father, Sōmeśvara was ruling from Kannanār. A Tamil inscription at Rayaśeṭṭipur also states that he was at Kannanār in A.D. 1250. The date when Sōmeśvara actually moved his capital to the south, is approximately determined by an examination of his records from the Mysore State. These state that in Śaka 1159 (=A.D. 1237) Sōmeśvara was ruling in the Chōla country. Subsequently too, we find him there in Śaka 1161, 1165, 1166, 1168—perhaps almost till the end of his reign. Narasimha III, the son of Sōmeśvara by Bijjalaṇāi, is known to have been installed on the throne of Dvārakamādura in A.D. 1255, while he was yet young. The cause or causes which led to Sōmeśvara thus prematurely installing his young son on the Hoysaḷa throne at Dvārakamādura and himself ruling at Kannanār in the Chōla country, are not forthcoming. Perhaps it may be that the growing power of the Yadvana king Singhaḷa on the one hand, and on the other the political changes in the south in which Sōmeśvara apparently interested himself largely contributed to this distribution of attention which cannot but have directly affected the disintegration of the Hoysaḷa power. The Chōla history too at this period was one of bewildering confusion. Though weak, the Chōla emperor still managed to hold a nominal rule over his hereditary possessions. The Pândyas flourished side by side with them—now dispossessing the Chōlas partly of their dominions and now retiring. The northern portions of the Chōla country appear to have been permanently snatched away by the turbulent Kōpperuṇītiṇgaḷa and his colleagues. The Kākatiya king Gaṇapati of Warangal made a dash, just at this period, into the South; took Kāitī and was encamped on the island of Śrīraṅgam. Amidst such circumstances territorial distribution and demarcation was almost impossible. We find, consequently, Sōmeśvara holding his small estate in the South by entering into political intermarriages with the rising Pândyas and coming into constant conflicts on that account with rival claimants and adventurous intruders. His records in the Tamil country, outside Mysore, are found at Śrīraṅgam, Jambokeśvaram, Tiruvādi, Rattanaḷi and Tirunallavadi in the Trichinopoly district, at Māmārguḍi in the Tanjore district and at Tiṅgūḷ and Adhanamkottai in the Salem district. We do not know if Sōmeśvara’s possessions extended further south beyond Trichinopoly and Tanjore. Some lithic records of the Tīnnevelly district, at any rate, dated in the reign of Māravarman Sundara-Pândya II refer to grants made and temples built in the name of the king’s mānādīgal Vinā-Sōmeśvara, at his own request. It appears very likely that Sōmeśvara, though not in the capacity of a conqueror, may have penetrated into the Tīnnevelly district in order to help the Pândya. This is probably hinted in the record from Nuggihalli (Mysore district) which is dated in A.D. 1246-47 and refers to Sōmeśvara’s forces being at that time on the banks of the Tāmravārṇi river. Inscriptions of Sōmeśvara between his 6th (i.e., A.D. 1241) and

1 A gift of lamps to the temple of Tīnnevelly-Pāṇḍyaśēvara at Tīnnevelly by an officer of Vinā-Sōmeśvara is registered in Appendix C (No. 15) of the Madras Epigraphical Report for 1912.
21st (i.e., A.D. 1256) years are conspicuously absent in the Tanjore and Trichinopoly districts. Into this period which coincides with the rule of Māravarman Sundara-Pāṇḍya II falls the conquest of Kāṇa-nādu by Appaṉa-Daṇḍanāyaka, the invasion of the Tamil country by Śiṅgāna-Daṇḍanāyaka and the gifts made in the Tinnevelly district by Māravarman Sundara-Pāṇḍya II on behalf of his nāmaḍiṇī. Though no definite conclusions can be drawn from this paucity of inscriptions in the Tanjore and Trichinopoly districts, regarding the kingdom of Sōmeśvara in the Chōla country between the years A.D. 1241 and 1256, still, the fact appears to be that Sōmeśvara must have now been actively supporting the Pāṇḍya king in his victorious campaigns in the further south. Māravarman Sundara-Pāṇḍya II was succeeded by Jalāvarman Sundara-Pāṇḍya I who apparently revived hostilities with the Karnāta king Sōmeśvara and continued doing so till about A.D. 1265 (the 29th year of Sōmeśvara), in which year he appears to have killed the latter and occupied his capital Kāṇanāṭur. The grounds for such hostility despite the close relationship that his predecessor Māravarman Sundara bore to Sōmeśvara, are not quite apparent. Jalāvarman Sundara was a staunch Vaishnava, and his record at Śrīraṅgam corroborates the great love which he bore for that faith. Sōmeśvara in this latter inscription is referred to as ‘the moon (Sōma) of the Karnāta (country) who had reduced this lotus pond of Śrīraṅga into a pitiful state,’ apparently suggesting that as a Śiva, Sōmeśvara must have totally neglected the Vaishnava temple at Śrīraṅgam and supported the Śiva temple of Jambukēśvaram, on the same island. It is stated that he had established in the 2nd year of his reign (i.e., in or about A.D. 1236-37) several minor shrines of Śiva within the Jambukēśvaram temple, called Vallaḷiśvara, Padumaliśvara, Viraṉarasingīśvara and Somaliśvara, which were evidently so named after his grandfather Ballāla II, grandmother Padmaladēvi, father Vira-Nārasiṁha and aunt Sōvaladēvi who, it is stated elsewhere, was to him ‘like a mother.’ Sōmalā- mahādevi who in the 25th year of Sōmeśvara’s reign (i.e., in A.D. 1258-59) provided for the repairs, worship, etc., in these shrines must have been this same aunt Sōvaladēvi for whose merit one of the shrines was built and not his queen Sōmaladēvi who, according to the Bangalore Museum plates, appears to have died in or before Śaka 1175 (=A.D. 1258). The magnificent pōṭara of seven storeys of the Jambukēśvaram temple may have also been constructed in Sōmeśvara’s reign. At Kāṇanāṭur was established a Śiva temple called Pūṣaliśvara (the modern Bhōjiśvara) in the name of his mother Kājalaḷadēvi. An apparent cause, therefore, for the revival of hostilities between Jalāvarman Sundara-Pāṇḍya I and Sōmeśvara may have been among others one of a purely sectarian nature. It is interesting to note that in the 21st year of Sōmeśvara’s reign (i.e., about A.D. 1256) there was at Jambukēśvaram a lady pilgrim of perhaps a royal family from the Kāšambhidēsa (in the north?). This was Jākhalaḷadēvi or Jāgalaḷadēvi wife of Jājalladēva of the Saḥānavaṉāka. These names have not been identified with any among the ruling families of the north, in the period under discussion. It may further be added that in all his Tamil inscriptions Sōmeśvara signs his name as Malaparololu-gaṇḍa, in bold Karnāṭa (Kannada) characters. This was a family title of the Hoysalas from the very commencement of their career.

¹Ep. Ind., Vol. 111, pp. 7 to 17.
Somaśvara's son by the Chālukya queen Dēvalamahādevī was Vīra-Rāmanātha. Between him and Narasimha III (the son by Bījjarāṇī) the Hōysal kingdom appears to have been divided during the lifetime of Somaśvara. From the distribution of inscriptions it is inferred that the Kānṭha country included within the Mysore State must have gone to the share of Narasimha while the estate outside that was enjoyed by Rāmanātha. Narasimha III was only a boy of 15 years when he succeeded to the Hōysal throne at Dvārakamādura in A.D. 1255. His inscriptions are solely confined to the Mysore State and do not, consequently, come under review in this article. One point, however, of some interest is the defeat which he is said to have inflicted on the Śeṇa kings Mahādeva Rāṇe and his nephew Rāmarchandra. The famous general of the latter, vī. Sālva Tikkana is stated to have attacked Dvārakamādura in A.D. 1270 and to have been 'driven back in confusion beyond Dūmmi' by Narasimha III. A fragmentary Kanarese inscription now preserved in the National Museum at Copenhagen (Denmark) refers to the invasion by a certain Sāluvēya of a place, whose name is not preserved on the stone. There is no doubt that the missing name is Dvārakamādura and that the events registered in the Copenhagen inscription refer to the attack on Dvārakamādura by the Śeṇa general Sālva Tikkana. Vīra-Rāmanātha's accession to the Tamil districts coincided with that of his brother Narasimha III in the Kanarese country and took place about A.D. 1255. It was also in the same year that Somaśvara assuming the imperial title Sārvaśāhuna is stated to have performed the rich royal gifts littipuruṣo, retuṇādhāra, etc., at Kānkanā. As Rāmanātha's initial date corresponds with the 21st year of Somaśvara and as the latest date for the latter is his 29th, it has to be presumed that Rāmanātha was co-regent with his father during the first eight years of his reign. Rāmanātha's inscriptions are found in the Tanjore and Trichinopoly districts, in parts of Salem and Bellary and rarely also in the Bangalore, Kolār, and Tumkur districts of the Mysore State. In some of them Rāmanātha assumes all the titles of his father Somaśvara without any omission. It was already suggested that Jayāvarman Sundara-Pāṇḍya I must have killed Somaśvara and occupied Kānkanā in or about the year A.D. 1264-65. Inscriptions of the 12th, 15th and 17th years of Rāmanātha which correspond to A.D. 1267, 1270, and 1271 are found in the temples of Rāgaṇā at Śrīnāgam and Pōysaḷēśvara at Kānkanā. It is reasonable, therefore, to infer that Rāmanātha must have regained his possessions in the Chōḷa country from the successors of Jayāvarman Sundara-Pāṇḍya I, if not from Sundara-Pāṇḍya himself. Rāmanātha's queen was Kannalādevī the daughter of Aroha-Pīḷḷai who, as his name denotes, was evidently of southern extraction. The uterine sister of Rāmanātha as disclosed by one of his inscriptions at Śrīnāgam, was called Pommambala-mahādevī perhaps after Pommambalam, a surname of the famous Śiva temple at Chāḷambara. Rāmanātha's records in the Tamil country are not many nor are they of much value. Still a few items of historical interest such as the general checking of revenue accounts (corresponding perhaps to the modern jamābandi) in the 4th year of the king's reign, the communal repair made to the Vāṇīgan's well—the present Nālumālai-kēvi at Tiruvellāri, whose walls, it is stated, had sunk in on the four sides probably on account of heavy rains in the 8th year of the king and the exemption of tax on salt-dealers at Tirunalavādi, in the
15th year, are worthy of note. The temple of Raṅgānātha at Srīrangam, which was vastly improved by Jatāvarman Sundara-Pāṇḍya I, appears to have been substantially benefited also in the 15th year of Rāmānātha’s reign by the gift of a gold crown set with jewels, two flywhisks with handles of gold and a kalanjī (betal-pot). It is interesting to note that the donor of these was a certain Mudaliyar Kariyamani who bore the titles Sakalakalāśārvabhauma and Sakalavidyāchakravarthi and had himself received the presents now transferred to the temple from Perumāl Vira-Pāṇḍyadēva on account probably of his high proficiency in learning. In the Salem district the feudatories of Vira-Rāmānātha were the Mudaliyars of Taramangalam generally known as Geṭṭi Mudaliyars. His capital above the ghauts, on the Mysore border, was evidently Kundaṇī. I owe to Mr. F. J. Richards, I.C.S., the suggestion that Kundaṇī mentioned in the Tunkūr inscriptions as the capital of Rāmānātha is to be identified with Kundāni near Hosur (of the Salem district) and not with Kundāna of the Dēvanhalḷi taluka of the Bangalore district, as Mr. Rice would have it. The Hēsar-Kundāni kingdom, too, referred to in some Hoysala records of the Bangalore and Kolar districts must have been so named after Kundāṇi near Hosur. This place still shows ruins of fortifications and structural monuments which indicate its past greatness and importance as the capital of a powerful Hoysala king. Existence of stray records of Rāmānātha in the Hassan district shows that Rāmānātha must have occasionally attempted to deprive Narasimha III of his dominions, and there are a good number of inscriptions to corroborate Rāmānātha’s aggressive attitude. In the last days of his reign, i.e., about A.D. 1290, Rāmānātha appears to have led a campaign against Dvārasamudra itself.

Rāmānātha’s records in the South do not go beyond his 23rd year which roughly corresponds to A.D. 1277, but in Mysore his inscriptions extend up to his 39th year Vijaya and even also to his 41st. The absence of records beyond the 23rd year in the Tamil districts shows that by this time Rāmānātha may have been dispossessed of his southern dominions by the rising Pāṇḍya king Māravarman Kulasekhara I (A.D. 1285 to at least 1308) and may have, consequently, chosen to stay in his northern possessions now and then raiding, as opportunity offered itself, into the kingdom of Narasimha III.

Vira-Rāmānātha was succeeded by his son Vira-Visvanātha in A.D. 1293-94; for, we learn from inscriptions that the 3rd year of the latter corresponded to Śaka 1218 Dvarmukha. Visvanātha’s records are confined to portions of the Salem district and to the Bangalore and Kolar districts of the Mysore State, his latest record being dated in his 4th year. Ballāla III, son of Narasimha III, who had succeeded to the throne already in A.D. 1292, is represented to have

1This is probably the Vira-Pāṇḍya for whom Professor Kilburn gives the initial date A.D. 1232-52 and who has been identified with Jatāvarman Vira-Pāṇḍya, the conqueror of Ceylon, Koṅgu and the Chola country (Madras Epigraphical Report for 1912, para. 37).

2Kundāni is at present known as Pēvar-Kundāni and in one of the inscriptions found there it is called Perumānatham-Kundāni. Tytham, another important village near Kundāni, is designated Tīṭh-Pēvar, in epigraphy. Perhaps Hēsar-Kundāni of the Kanarese records under reference is either a mistake for Dēvar-Kundāni or only a phonetic corruption of Pāṇḍar-Kundāni.

3A mrigal preserved in the National Museum at Copenhagen refers to a battle in which the brothers Vira-Narasimha III and Rāmānātha were interested. It is not dated but may possibly refer to an attack on Dvārasamudra.
remitted all taxes on temple endowments and also made fresh grants in Śaka 1224 (A.D. 1802) throughout the districts that were once held by Rāmānātha and, perhaps also, by his son Viśvanātha. We have to infer that subsequent to Viśvanātha, about A.D. 1302, the Hoysala kingdom again became reunited under Ballāla III and enjoyed apparently a peaceful rule, in spite of the Muhammadan invasions, almost until it was absorbed in the rising power of the Vijayanagara dynasty. In extent too, the Hoysala kingdom does not appear to have suffered seriously in the time of Ballāla. Except for the loss of the southernmost possessions in the Tanjore and Trichinopoly districts, it was entire. Kōṅga and the Koṅkan were still included in it as also the whole of the Karnāta. Ballāla was, as stated already, crowned in A.D. 1292 when Rāmānātha was still alive and evidently, therefore, the fight for dominion which began in the last days of Narasimha III may have continued also into the reign of Ballāla VII.

In A.D. 1310 came the first invasion of Malik Kafur in which the Muzzalman general is stated to have sacked and devastated the capital town of Dvārapamudra and to have carried away its hoarded treasures. The town was rebuilt but its charms were apparently lost. An interesting record from the Shīmoga district (Sh. 68) states that prince Vīra-Ballāla-Bēja 1 who was taken as a hostage to Dīli (Dehli) was returned in A.D. 1313, Pramāṇa. Ballāla, according to tradition, is stated to have changed his capital to Toṇḍanur (Tonkur near Seringapatam). He was actually ruling from Arunāsamudra in A.D. 1321. In 1323, Uṇḍāmalai-patana (i.e. Tiruvanāmalai in the South Arcot district) was the permanent capital. Inscriptions also mention another capital town, viz., Viravījaya-Virupākshapura (Virupākshapattana) near Hosadurga, Hosabettā or Hosaviṇur Bukkana-Vodeyar (i.e. Bukka I), one of the two founders of the first Vijayanagara dynasty, was ruling from Hosapatana in the early years of his reign. 2 The exact grounds for Ballāla leaving the ancestral capital of Dvārapamudra are not apparent. The complete destruction of the town in 1327 and perhaps also, in a way, the rise of some of the feudatory chiefs into prominence, may have brought about a change in the capital. The latest date for Ballāla obtained from inscriptions is Śaka 1355 (= A.D. 1343).

By this time Harīharā I the founder of the Vijayanagara dynasty had already established himself in the Koṅkan. A powerful family of Hoysala subordinates in the Koṅkan were the chiefs who founded the fort and town of Danāyakakōṭṭa in the Satyanarāyanagārama taluka of the Coimbatore district and who, when the firm hold of imperial suzerainty had become slack, declared themselves independent. They were the rulers of Pudumālu-nādu with their seat of government at Terkanāmbi in the Cundlapete taluka of the Mysore district and were the descendants of Perumāḷa-Danāyakakōṭta, one of the able generals of Narasimha III. The son of Perumāḷa was

1 I.e. Vīra Virupakshala Ballāla IV, referred to later on.
2 Mr. Rice doubtfully identifies it with Hosadurga in the Chittoor district. It appears very tempting to identify Hosadurga, Hosabettā or Hosaviṇur with Hosapatana and that again with the modern Hospet in the Bellary district, particularly on account of its alleged proximity to Viravījaya-Virupākshapattana which as it stands is a fitting district, as the town surrounding the Virupaksha temple at Hospet. This suggestion, no doubt, unsetles the name or surname of the town surrounding the Virupaksha temple at Hospet. The existence of the foundation of the town of Vijayanagara for the first time during the reign of Harīharā I. The existence of a Hoysala inscription in the Virupaksha temple leaves it beyond doubt that the temple (and perhaps even more so of a Hoysala inscription in the Virupaksha temple) are at that place long before the Vijayanagara capital was established.
Mādhava-Dandanaṭaka who assumed the titles 'the subduer of Nīlagiri,' Immacī- Rāhuttarāya Sītaganaganda, Kocāramāri, etc.

Ballāla III had a son named Vira-Virāpāksha Ballāla IV, sometimes also known as Hampaṇṇa (Hampa-Voḍey). It is doubtful if this prince, who was formally crowned to the Hoysaḷa throne in A.D. 1342, did ever rule. The Hoysaḷa chiefs Harilharā I and Bukka I were already growing in power and we may suppose therefore, for all practical purposes, that with Ballāla III ended the power of the Hoysaḷas. The memory of their once glorious rule was gratefully remembered even in the time of their political successors of the Vijayanagara dynasty and is still retained in a numerically small section of Brāhmans called Hoysaḷa or Hoyissaṇa-Karnatikas. Many of these Brāhmans are even to-day found in Salem and North Arcot, having settled there in the days when these districts were included in the Hoysaḷa dominion. In the 17th Century A.D., at Venkuraṇam in the North Arcot district was a petty chief called Kapōji who claimed descent from Viṭṭhala (Vishnuvardhana?), the Hoysaḷa king of Eḷeṇḍi and erected in that village a rest-house for the merit of his mother. This is the last we hear of the name Hoysaḷa.

In Mysore there exist still in all their glory those wonderful repositories of Indian Architecture, the magnificent temples of Eḷeṇḍi, Bēḷūr, Somanathapura, Araiśikere, Taēikere, etc., of which Mr. Ferguson says "the style to which these buildings belonged attained its fullest development and highest degree of perfection during the three centuries A.D. 1000 to 1300 in which the Hoysaḷa Ballālas had supreme sway in the Mysore country."

H. Kṛishna Sastri.

---

1 Ballāla I is stated in a record from Penagondi to have been ‘ruling the territory belonging to the kings of the Hoysaḷa dynasty (wearing it with as much ease and grace) as an ornament on his shoulder.'

2 Another sub-sect of the Karnatika Brāhmans was the one called Udayangiri-Kanmadiga to which belonged the able general Hoyasam Kenjarammaṇya of the Vijayanagara king Kṛishnāya.
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<td>Ambarnath . . . . .</td>
<td>Ditto . . . . .</td>
<td>Ditto.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elephanta . . . . .</td>
<td>Ditto . . . . .</td>
<td>Ditto.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) Bijapur . . . . .</td>
<td>Ditto . . . . .</td>
<td>Ditto.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(d) Rajputana—</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mount Abu . . . . .</td>
<td>Ditto . . . . .</td>
<td>Ditto.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ajmir . . . . .</td>
<td>Ditto . . . . .</td>
<td>Ditto.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jaipur . . . . .</td>
<td>Ditto . . . . .</td>
<td>Ditto.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ujwar . . . . .</td>
<td>Ditto . . . . .</td>
<td>Ditto.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e) H. H. the Nizam’s Territory—</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kalbughan . . . . .</td>
<td>Ditto . . . . .</td>
<td>Ditto.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title of work</td>
<td>Name of official designation of author</td>
<td>Press and date of publication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>India—contd.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(f) Punjab—</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memorandum on Ancient Monuments in Ferozepur, with a description of the explorations undertaken from the 1st February to the 19th April 1883, and suggestions for the disposal of the sculptures</td>
<td>H. H. Cole, Curator of Ancient Monuments in India.</td>
<td>Government Central Branch Press, Simla, 1881 to 1883.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report on the Bhima cave temples and the other Brahmanical and Jainas caves in Western India. (N. I. S.)</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>Ditto.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A. Cunningham, Director-General, Archaeological Survey of India.</td>
<td>Superintendent, Government Printing, Calcutta, 1884.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Title of work.** | **Name and official designation of author.** | **Press and date of publication.**
---|---|---
**INDIA—contd.**
Preservation of National Monuments, India.
1. Agra and Gwalior.
3. Delhi.
4. Greece-Buddhist sculpture from Yusufzai.
5. Great temple to Siva and his consort at Madura.
8. Great Buddhist Tank at Sanchi.
9. Tomb of Jahangir at Shahulm near Lahore.
10. The temples at Trichinopoly.


- Report of the tours through Bihar, Central India, Peshawar, and Yusufzai, during 1881-82, Vol. XIX. (C. S.)
- Reports of a tour in Bundelkhand and Rewa, in 1883-84 and of a tour in Rewa, Bundelkhand, Malwa, and Gwalior in 1884-85, Vol. XXI. (C. S.)
- Report of tours in Gorakhpur, Saran, and Ghazipur in 1877-78-79 and 80, Vol. XXII. (C. S.)

- H. B. W. Garriek, Assistant, Archæological Survey.
- A. Cunningham, Director-General, Archæological Survey of India.
- A. C. L. Carlyle, Ist Assistant, Archæological Survey.
- H. B. W. Garriek, Assistant, Archæological Survey.

- Plates prepared in Paris, 1884-85.
- Ditto.
- Ditto.
- Ditto.
- Ditto.
- Ditto.
- Ditto.
- Ditto.
- Ditto.
- Ditto.
- Ditto.
- Ditto.

- Ditto.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title of work</th>
<th>Name and official designation of author</th>
<th>Price and date of publication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>List (s) of photographs of Ancient Buildings and Antiquities.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeypore Portfolio of Architectural Details; Paris I—X.</td>
<td>Colonel S. S. Jacob, C. I. E.</td>
<td>Disto, 1890.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahabodhi or the Great Buddhist Temple under the Bhoji tree, at Bodhiga Vāra.</td>
<td>A. Cunningham, late Director-General, Archaeological Survey of India.</td>
<td>Superintendent, Government Printing, Calcutta, 1892.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Superintendent, Government Printing, Calcutta, 1894.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title of work</td>
<td>Name and official designation of author</td>
<td>Press and date of publication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>List of Architectural and Archaeological Remains in Coorg. (N. I. S.)</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>List of photographic negatives belonging to the India Office.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ditto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A list of photographic negatives of Indian Antiquities in the collection of the Indian Museum with which is incorporated the list of similar negatives in the possession of the India Office.</td>
<td>Dr. T. Bloch, 1st Assistant Superintendent, Indian Museum.</td>
<td>Superintendent, Government Printing, Calcutta, 1900.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title of work</td>
<td>Name and official designation of author</td>
<td>Press and date of publication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title of work</td>
<td>Name and official designation of Author</td>
<td>Press and date of publication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>INDIA—concl.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for the year 1903-04, Parts I and II</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>Ditto, 1905-06.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for the year 1904-05, Part I</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>Ditto, 1906.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for the year 1904-05, Part II</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>Ditto, 1908.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for the year 1905-06, Part I</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>Ditto, 1907.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for the year 1906-06, Part I</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>Ditto, 1908.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for the year 1906-07, Part I</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>Superintendent, Government Central Branch Press, Simla, 1908.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for the year 1907-08, Parts I and II</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for the year 1908-09, Parts I and II</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for the year 1909-10, Parts I and II</td>
<td>Ditto, Vogel, Offg. Director-General of Archaeology in India</td>
<td>Ditto, 1911 and 1912.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MADRAS—</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes and Inscriptions from Temples in the Madura District, Vol. IV.</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>Ditto, 1885-86.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamil and Sanskrit Inscriptions with some notes on village antiquities collected chiefly in the south of the Madras Presidency.</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>Ditto, 1886.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title of work</td>
<td>Name and official designation of author</td>
<td>Press and date of publication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MADRAS—contd.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>List of ancient monuments for conservation in the Madras Presidency in 1884.</td>
<td>J. Burgess, Government Archaeological Surveyor for Western and Southern India</td>
<td>Government Press,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto, in 1891.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Madras, 1886-87.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto &quot; V, 1898-99</td>
<td></td>
<td>Printing, Calcutta, 1895.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto &quot; VI, 1900-01</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ditto, 1897.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto &quot; VII, 1902-03</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ditto, 1899.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto &quot; VIII, 1905-06</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ditto, 1901.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto &quot; IX, 1907-08</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ditto, 1904.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto &quot; X, 1909-10</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ditto, 1907.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>List of tombs and monuments of Europeans, etc., in the Madras District.</td>
<td>Dr. E. Hultzsch, Professor in the University of Halle and Dr. Sten Know, Government Epigraphist for India.</td>
<td>Ditto, 1908.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ditto, 1910.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>List of tombs and monuments erected in Madras.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>List of statues, monuments and busts erected in Madras in honour of distinguished servants of the State.</td>
<td>Government of Madras</td>
<td>Ditto, 1908.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto, 1900-01</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ditto, 1901.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto, 1901-02</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ditto, 1902.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto, 1902-03</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ditto, 1903.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto, 1903-04</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ditto, 1904.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto, 1904-05</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ditto, 1905.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title of work.</td>
<td>Name and official designation of author.</td>
<td>Press and date of publication.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto, for the year 1906-07</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>Ditto, 1907.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto, for the year 1907-08</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>Ditto, 1908.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto, for the year 1908-09</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>Ditto, 1909.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto, for the year 1909-10</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>Ditto, 1910.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto 1893.</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>Ditto, 1893.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto do. 1893 to do. 1894.</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>Ditto, 1894.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto do. 1894 to do. 1895.</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>Ditto, 1895.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto do. 1896 to do. 1897.</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>Ditto, 1897.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto do. 1897 to do. 1898.</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>Ditto, 1898.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto do. 1898 to do. 1899.</td>
<td>V. Venkayya, 1st Assistant to the Government Epigraphist.</td>
<td>Ditto, 1899.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto do. 1899 to do. 1900.</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>Ditto, 1900.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto do. 1901 to do. 1902.</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>Ditto, 1902.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto do. 1902 to do. 1903.</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>Ditto, 1903.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madras—concl.</td>
<td>Bombay—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Title of work.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Name and official designation of author.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Press and date of publication.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for the year 1906-07</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>Ditto, 1907.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for the year 1907-08</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>Ditto, 1908.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for the year 1908-09</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>Ditto, 1909.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOMBAY—</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Antiquities of Kolhapoor illustrated. (Ser. 350, Sel. Rec., Bombay, N. S. No. 8.)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1854.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extracts in connection with Mahommedan Architecture at Kolhapoor, in the Satara Districts, etc. (1854). (Ser. 350, Sel. Rec., Bombay, N. S. No. 40.)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1857.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations on inscriptions on copper-plates dug up at Naroor, in the Koodal Division of the Sawant Wares State, 1843 ; with translations and facsimiles, 1853. (Ser. 350, Sel. Rec., Bombay, N. S. No. 10.)</td>
<td>Major G. LeG. Jacob</td>
<td>1855.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report on the illustration of the Archaic Architecture of India, etc.</td>
<td>Dr. Forbes Watson and Mr. Ferguson, General Cunningham, and Colonel Meadows Taylor.</td>
<td>1869.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes to accompany a series of photographs designed to illustrate the Ancient Architecture of Western India.</td>
<td>Captain Lyon, late of Her Majesty's 68th Regiment of Light Infantry.</td>
<td>Carey Brothers, Old College Street, 3, Geneva, 1871.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title of work</td>
<td>Name and official designation of author</td>
<td>Press and date of publication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memorandum on the remains at Gunil, Gop, and in Kachh, etc.</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>Ditto.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provisional lists of Architectural and other Archaeological remains in Western India, including the Bombay Presidency, Sindh, Berar, Central Provinces, and Hyderabad.</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>Ditto.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reports (from the Collectors) regarding the Archaeological remains in the Karachi, Haidembad, and Shikarpur Collectories in Sindh, with plans of tombs.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ditto, 1876.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title of work</td>
<td>Name and official designation of author</td>
<td>Press and date of publication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bombay—contd.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes on the Buddha Rock-Temples of Ajanta, their paintings and sculptures, and on the paintings of the Bagh Caves, modern Buddha Mythology, etc.</td>
<td>J. Burgess, Archeological Surveyor, Western India.</td>
<td>Government Central Press, Bombay, 1876.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inscriptions from the Cave Temples of Western India with descriptive notes, etc.</td>
<td>J. Burgess, Archeological Surveyor and Blagwanlal Indrani Pandit.</td>
<td>Ditto, 1881.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheme for the protection and conservation of ancient buildings in and around the City of Ahmedabad.</td>
<td>A. W. Crawley Bosvy, C.S.</td>
<td>Education Society's Press, Bombay, 1886.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title of work</td>
<td>Name and official designation of author</td>
<td>Press and date of publication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progress Report of the Archaeological Survey of Western India for the months of December 1890 to April 1890.</td>
<td>Ditto.</td>
<td>Ditto, 1890.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for the months of May 1890 to April 1891.</td>
<td>Ditto.</td>
<td>Ditto, 1891.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for the months of May 1891 to April 1892.</td>
<td>Ditto.</td>
<td>Ditto, 1892.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for the months of May 1892 to April 1893.</td>
<td>Ditto.</td>
<td>Ditto, 1893.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for the months of May 1893 to April 1894.</td>
<td>Ditto.</td>
<td>Ditto, 1894.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for the months of May 1894 to August 1895.</td>
<td>Ditto.</td>
<td>Ditto, 1895.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for the months of September 1895 to April 1896.</td>
<td>Ditto.</td>
<td>Ditto, 1896.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for the year ending 30th June 1897.</td>
<td>Ditto.</td>
<td>Ditto, 1897.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for the year ending 30th June 1898.</td>
<td>Ditto.</td>
<td>Ditto, 1898.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for the year ending 30th June 1899.</td>
<td>Ditto.</td>
<td>Ditto, 1899.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for the year ending 30th June 1900.</td>
<td>Ditto.</td>
<td>Ditto, 1900.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for the year ending 30th June 1901.</td>
<td>Ditto.</td>
<td>Ditto, 1901.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for the year ending 30th June 1902.</td>
<td>Ditto.</td>
<td>Ditto, 1902.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for the year ending 30th June 1903.</td>
<td>Ditto.</td>
<td>Ditto, 1903.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title of work</td>
<td>Name and official designation of author</td>
<td>Press and date of publication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BOMBAY—concl.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for the year ending 30th June 1905.</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>Ditto, 1905.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for the year ending 31st March 1905.</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>Ditto, 1905.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for the year ending 31st March 1906.</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>Ditto, 1906.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for the year ending 31st March 1907.</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>Ditto, 1907.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for the year ending 31st March 1908.</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>Ditto, 1908.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paintings in the Buddhist Cave-Temples of Ajanta, Khundesh, India. Volume I (Pictorial subjects).</td>
<td>John Griffiths, late Principal of the Sir Janshedji Jijibhai School of Art, Bombay, Fellow of the University of Bombay, Member of the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society.</td>
<td>W. Griggs, London, 1896</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Ditto, ditto, Volume II (Decorative details).</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>Ditto, 1906.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BENGAL—</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Account of a visit to Mount Parisornath (in Chutia Nagpoor) and the Jain Temples thereon in 1897. (Ser. 250, Sol. Rev., Bengal, No. 33.)</td>
<td>A. P.</td>
<td>1801.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruins of the Nalanda Monasteries at Burgaon, Sub-Division Bihar, District Patna.</td>
<td>A. M. Broadley, B.C.S.</td>
<td>Bengal Secretariat Press, Calcutta, 1872.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>List of objects of antiquarian interest in Bengal.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ditto, 1780.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title of work</td>
<td>Name and official designation of author</td>
<td>Press and date of publication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bengal—contd.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bengal</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ditto, 1887.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revised list of ancient monuments in Bengal, 1886.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ditto, 1891.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some Historical and Ethnical aspects of the Burdwan District.</td>
<td>W. B. Oldham, C.I.E., Indian Civil Service</td>
<td>Ditto, 1892.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discovery of the exact site of Asoka's classic Capital of Pataliputra, the</td>
<td>L. A. Waddell, M.B.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patalothra of the Greeks, and description of the superficial remains.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sikkim Gazetteer</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ditto, 1894.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some Historical and Ethnical aspects of the Burdwan District with an</td>
<td>W. B. Oldham, C.I.E., Indian Civil Service</td>
<td>Ditto.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>explanatory Index (Reprint).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>List of Inscriptions on tombs, or monuments possessing historical or</td>
<td>C. R. Wilson, M.A., of the Bengal Educational Service</td>
<td>Bengal Secretariat Press, Calcutta, 1896.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>archaeological interest.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ditto.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>List of Ancient Monuments in Bengal—Revised and corrected up to 31st August</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>List of ancient monuments:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dacca Division</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ditto.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rajshahi Division</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ditto.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orissa Division</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ditto.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chota Nagpur Division</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ditto.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bhagalpur Division</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ditto.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chittagong Division</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ditto.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burdwan Division</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ditto.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patna Division</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ditto.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title of work</td>
<td>Name and official designation of author</td>
<td>Press and date of publication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report with photographs of the repairs executed to some of the principal</td>
<td>M. H. Arnold, M.Inst. C.E., Executive</td>
<td>Waterlow &amp; Sons, Limited, London, W. -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>temples at Bhulandeswar and caves in the Khandagiri and Udaigiri Hills,</td>
<td>Engineer, Bengal Public Works.</td>
<td>1903.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orissa, between 1899 and 1906.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1900-01.</td>
<td>Bengal Circle.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for the year ended April 1902.</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>Ditto, 1902.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for the year ended April 1903.</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>Ditto, 1903.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for the year ended April 1904.</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>Ditto, 1904.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for the year ended April 1905.</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>Ditto, 1905.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>List of Photographic Negatives of the monumental antiquities in the North-</td>
<td></td>
<td>Superintendent, Government Printing,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Provinces and Oudh.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Calcutta, 1860.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progress Reports of the Epigraphical and Architectural Branches of the North-</td>
<td></td>
<td>Government Press, North-Western</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Provinces and Oudh from October 1899 till 30th June 1891.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Provinces and Oudh, 1899.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### United Provinces—contd.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title of work</th>
<th>Name and official designation of author</th>
<th>Press and date of publication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for the year ending June 1893.</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>Ditto, 1893.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for the year ending June 1894.</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>Ditto, 1894.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for the year ending June 1895.</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>Ditto, 1895.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for the year ending June 1896.</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>Ditto, 1896.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for the year ending June 1897.</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>Ditto, 1897.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for the year ending June 1898.</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>Ditto, 1898.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for the year ending 31st March 1901.</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>Ditto, 1901.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for the year ending 31st March 1905.</td>
<td>Ditto</td>
<td>Ditto, 1905.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title of work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>United Provinces—concl.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for the year 1907-08.</td>
<td>Ditto.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for the year 1908-09.</td>
<td>Ditto.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for the year 1909-10.</td>
<td>Ditto.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>List of Christian tombs and monuments of archaeological and historical interest and their inscriptions in the North-Western Provinces and Oudh.</td>
<td>A. Führer, Archaeological Surveyor, North-Western Provinces and Oudh.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The remains near Kasia in the Gorakhpur District.</td>
<td>V. A. Smith, I.C.S.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/Portfolio of Indian Architectural drawings, Part I.</td>
<td>E. W. Smith, Archaeological Surveyor, North-Western Provinces and Oudh.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plates illustrating the Report on the antiquities in the district of Lalitpur, North-Western Provinces.</td>
<td>Ditto.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Punjab—</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objects of Antiquarian interest in the Punjab and its dependencies compiled from statements furnished by the several Deputy Commissioners, His Highness the Maharaja of Kashmir, and the Superintendents, Cis-Sutlej, Bahawalpur, and Chamba States.</td>
<td>......</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Descriptive List of the Principal Buddhist Sculptures in the Lahore Museum.</td>
<td>A. Cunningham, Director-General, Archaeological Survey.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Descriptive List of Photographic Negatives of Buddhist Sculptures in the Lahore Central Museum.</td>
<td>J. L. Kipling, Curator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W. Ball &amp; Co., Lahore, 1891.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name and official designation of author</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W. H. Nicholls, Archaeological Surveyor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Führer, Archaeological Surveyor, North-Western Provinces and Oudh.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. A. Smith, I.C.S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. W. Smith, Archaeological Surveyor, North-Western Provinces and Oudh.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poonam Chander Mukherji.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Cunningham, Director-General, Archaeological Survey.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. L. Kipling, Curator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. J. Rodgers, Archaeological Surveyor.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Press and date of publication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Government Press, United Provinces, 1907.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto, 1906.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto, 1909.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto, 1910.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Press, North-Western Provinces and Oudh, 1890.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Griggs &amp; Sons, London.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomson College Press, Roorkee, 1890.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Works Department Press, Lahore, 1875.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...... 1889.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. Ball &amp; Co., Lahore, 1891.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title of work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PUNJAB—continued.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft list of inscriptions in the Lahore Museum.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report of the Archæological Survey, Punjab Circle, for the period from 1st</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January to 30th June 1901.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archæological Surveyor, Punjab Circle, for the year ending 31st March 1902.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for the year ending 31st March 1903.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superintendent, Archæological Survey, Punjab and United Provinces Circle,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for the year ending 31st March 1904.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for the year ending 31st March 1905.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superintendent of the Archæological Survey, Northern Circle, for the year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ending 31st March 1906.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for the year ending 31st March 1907.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for the year ending 31st March 1908.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>N.-W. P. PROVINCE—</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report on the explorations of the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buddhist ruins at Jammalgarh during the months of March and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 1873. (Supplement to the Punjab Government Gazette of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th February, 1874.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title of work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N.-W. F. Province—contd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report on the explorations of the Buddhist ruins near Kharkai during the months of March and April 1874. (Supplement to the Punjab Government Gazette of 11th June 1874.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report on the exploration of the Buddhist ruins at Takht-i-Bai Yusafzai, during the months of January, February, March and April 1871. (Supplement to the Punjab Government Gazette of 6th August 1874.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report on the explorations at mound Shahji-ki-ilkeri near Peshawar. (Supplement to the Punjab Government Gazette of 18th November, 1875.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report on the explorations at Taizik near Peshawar. (Supplement to the Punjab Government Gazette of 18th November 1875 and of 30th March 1876.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reports of Buddhist explorations in the Peshawar District by the 10th Company of Sappers and Minors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memorandum on excavations at Sikri, Yusafzai.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detailed report of an Archaeological tour with the Buner Field Force.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report of Archaeological Survey work in the North-West Frontier Province and Baluchistan for the period from January 2nd, 1904, to March 31st, 1905.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for 1907-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for 1908-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for 1909-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto for 1910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title of work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bhyna—</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes on the early History and Geography of British Bhyna—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I. The Shwe Dagon Pagoda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II. The first Buddhist Mission to Suvarnabhumi.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>List of objects of antiquarian and Archaeological interest in British Bhyna.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reports on Archaeological work done in Bhyna during the years 1879-89. (Being a Review dated 15th June 1889.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>List of objects of antiquarian interest in Bhyna.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inscription of Pagan, Pinza, and Ava (deciphered from the ink impressions found among the papers of the late Dr. Forchhammer).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memorandum of a tour in parts of the Amherst, Shwegyin and Pegu Districts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Note on a tour in Burma in March and April 1892.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title of work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burma—contd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A preliminary study of the Pe U Daung Inscription of Sibiyuyin, 1774 A.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A preliminary study of the Kalyani Inscriptions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes on antiquities in Ramannadesa (The Taing country of Burma.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inscriptions of Hogan, Paya and Ave. Translation with notes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inscriptions collected in Upper Burma.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>List of objects of antiquarian and archaeological interest in Upper Burma.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>List of Pagodas at Pagan under the custody of Government.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto, for the year 1902-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto, for the year 1903-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto, for the year 1904-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title of work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BURMA—concluded.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto, for the year ending 31st March 1907.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto, for the year ending 31st March 1908.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto, for the year ending 31st March 1909.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ditto, for the year ending 31st March 1910.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MYSORE AND COORG.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epigraphia Carnatica—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vol. II. Inscriptions at Sravanabelgola, a chief seat of the Joins (Mysore).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vol. IV. Ditto, Part II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title of work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Epigraphia Carnatica—</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vol. XI. Inscriptions in the Chitradurg District.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mysore and Coorg from the Inscriptions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ASSAM—</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report on the progress of historical research in Assam.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>List of archaeological remains in the Provinces of Assam.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
List of Public Libraries, etc., to which copies of the Director General's Annual Report, Part II, are regularly supplied.

I.—COUNTRIES OUTSIDE INDIA.

UNITED KINGDOM.

British Museum Library, Great Russell Street, Bloomsbury, London.
Bodleian Library, Oxford.
London University Library, Imperial Institute, London, S.W.
Cambridge University Library, Cambridge.
Edinburgh " " Edinburgh.
Glasgow " " Glasgow.
Aberdeen " " Aberdeen.
Trinity College Library, Dublin.
Folklore Society, 11, Old Square, Lincoln's Inn, London, W.C.
National Art Library, South Kensington Museum, London.
Royal Institute of British Architects, 9 Conduit Street, Hanover Square, London, W.
Advocates' Library, Edinburgh.
The Royal " Windsor Castle, Berks.
Royal Society, Burlington House, Piccadilly, London.
Royal Society, Edinburgh.
Royal Irish Academy, 10, Dawson Street, Dublin.
National Library of Ireland, Leinster House, Kildare Street, Dublin.
Royal Asiatic Society, 32, Albermarle Street, London.
Society of Antiquaries of London, Burlington House, Piccadilly, W.
Royal Scottish Museum, Edinburgh, Scotland.
Society of Antiquaries of Scotland, National Museum of Antiquities, Queen Street, Edinburgh.

Imperial Institute, London.

Indian Institute, Oxford.
Society for Protection of Ancient Buildings, 10, Buckingham Street, Adelphi, W.C.
The Royal Academy of Arts, Burlington House, London.
Society for the Promotion of Hellenic Studies, London.
Royal Anthropological Institute of Great Britain and Ireland, 3, Hanover Street, W., London.

FRANCE.

Institut de France, Paris.
Musée Guimet, 7, Place d'Iéna, Paris.
I.—COUNTRIES OUTSIDE INDIA—contd.

Germany.
Bibliothek der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Halle (Saale), Germany.
Royal Prussian Academy of Sciences, Berlin.
Royal Museum for Ethnology, Berlin.
Königliche Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen, Göttingen.

Austria.
Imperial Academy of Sciences, Vienna.
Hungarian Academy, Buda-Pesth.

Italy.
Biblioteca Nazionale, Vittorio Emanuele Rome.
R. Biblioteca Nazionale Centrale di Firenze.
The Società Asiatica Italiana Firenze.
British School at Rome.
American School of Classical Studies at Rome.

Other Countries in Europe.
Kuninklijke Akademie van Wetenschappen te Amsterdam, Holland.
Royal Institute of Netherlands, India, The Hague, Holland.
Imperial Academy of Sciences (for the Asiatic Museum), St. Petersburg, Russia.
Royal Library, Copenhagen, Denmark.
National Museum, Copenhagen, Denmark.
Académie Royale d'Archéologie de Belgique, Antwerp.
University Library, Uppsala, Sweden.
University Library, Christiania, Norway.
British School at Athens, Greece.
La Société Archéologique d' Athènes, Athens, Greece.

America.
American Oriental Society, 235, Bishop Street, New Haven, Conn, U.S.A.
Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D. C., U.S.A.
Secretary, National Museum, Washington, U.S.A.
Field Museum of Natural History, Chicago.

British Colonies.
The Museum, Canterbury, New Zealand.
Literary and Historical Society, Quebec, Canada.
Melbourne Library, Melbourne.
University Library, Sydney, New South Wales.
Victoria Public Library, Perth, Western Australia.
Royal Asiatic Society, Ceylon Branch, Colombo.
Straits Branch, Royal Asiatic Society, Singapore.
North China Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society, Shanghai.
Museum of Arabic Art, Cairo, Egypt.
I.—COUNTRIES OUTSIDE INDIA—contd.

FOREIGN COLONIES.

Bataviansch Genootschap van Kunsten en Wetenschappen, Batavia.
Institut Français D’Archéologie Orientale du Caire, Caire, Egypt.
Ethnological Survey for the Philippine Islands, Department of Interior, Manila.

II.—INDIA.

(1) IMPERIAL.

Imperial Library, Calcutta.
Indian Museum, Calcutta.
*Press Room, Calcutta and Simla.

(2) PROVINCIAL.

MADRAS.

Secretariat Library, Fort St. George.
University ” Madras.
Public ”
Presidency College ”
School of Art, ”
Government Central Museum, Madras.
Christian College Library ”

BOMBAY.

Secretariat Library, Bombay.
University ”
Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society, Town Hall, Bombay.
School of Art, Bombay.
The College of Science, Poona.

BENGAL.

Secretariat Library, Writers’ Buildings, Calcutta.
University Library, the Senate House, Calcutta.
Presidency College Library, 1, College Square, Calcutta.
Sanskrit College Library, 1, College Square, Calcutta.
Asiatic Society of Bengal, 57, Park Street, Calcutta.

UNITED PROVINCES.

Secretariat Library, P. W. D., Allahabad.
University ” Allahabad.
Public Library, Allahabad.
Provincial Museum Library, Lucknow.
Sanskrit College, Benares.
Thomason College, Roorkee.
Archaeological Museum, Muttra.
II.—INDIA—concl.

PUNJAB.
Secretariat Library, Public Works Department, Lahore.
Punjab Public Library, Lahore.
Museum Library, Lahore.
University Library, Lahore.
Government College Library, Lahore.
Delhi Museum and Institute, Delhi.

NORTH-WEST FRONTIER PROVINCE.
Secretariat Library, Peshawar.
Museum Library, Peshawar.

BURMA.
Secretariat Library, Rangoon.
The Bernard Free Library, Rangoon.
The Phayre Museum, Rangoon.

CENTRAL PROVINCES
Secretariat Library, Nagpur.
Museum Library, Nagpur.

ASSAM.
Secretariat Library, Shillong.

COORG.
The Chief Commissioner of Coorg's Library, Bangalore.

NATIVE STATES.
Hyderabad.
The Resident's Library, Hyderabad.

CENTRAL INDIA.
Library of the Agent to the Governor-General, Indore.
The Librarian, Dhar Museum Library, Dhar.
Rajkumar College, Indore.

RAJPUTANA.
Library of the Chief Commissioner and Agent to the Governor-General, Ajmer.
College Library, Ajmer.
Rajputana Museum, Ajmer.

BARODA.
Library of the Resident at Baroda.